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In the year of Our Lord, two thousand and nine (2009), on this eighth (8th) day of December, PERSONALLY CAME AND APPEARED:

EARL JONES, born June 24th, 1942, having an address at c/o Jeff Boro, 500 Place d'Armes, Suite 2350, Montreal, Province of Quebec;

WHO, after having solemnly affirmed, doth depose and say as follows:

CONTINUATION OF EXAMINATION BY Me NEIL H. STEIN
on behalf of the Trustee:
1Q- Good morning, Mr. Jones.

A- Good morning.
2Q- Yesterday we dealt with various facets whereby you acknowledged that various funds were taken out of the Earl Jones In Trust account and used for your own personal benefit or for the benefit of members of your family et cetera. And at a point in time you said that certain matters were paid for by personal funds that you had
taken from your personal account as opposed to the trust account.

My first question to you is the following. Mr. Jones, did you ever keep any records of how much money you were taking out of the in trust account for your personal use?

No.
So throughout the entire period after you started using funds from the trust account, at no time you kept any record as to what funds were yours as opposed to what funds belonged to the funds in the in trust account of the people whose money had been deposited to that in trust account? Well, over the years from time to time on, I guess, about every six (6) months or an annual basis $I$ would sit down and figure out what was owing from the in trust account. And basically would conclude that these are funds that some of which I have used, but I didn't on a day-to-day basis record.

4Q- Did you...
A- Well, record. It was in the... in the trust account the withdrawals that were made.

5Q- Okay. So is your answer that you would do that verbally or would you do that in writing or...

AI would do it sometimes just on a...

6Q- Scrap of paper?
A- Exactly, yes.
7Q- So those records were never kept, I...
A- No.
8Q- ... I assume?
A- No.
9Q- Okay. So at the end of the day, when I take a look at exhibits... off the record for a second.

OFF RECORD

A- Can I request that I am -- as yesterday -- protected under the Canadian Evidence Act...

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
10Q- Certainly.
A- ... and the Bankruptcy \& Insolvency Act?
11Q- Certainly. OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
12Q- So at the end of the day, when you referred to this thirty million dollars $(\$ 30,000,000.00)$ in Exhibit EJ-15, that was your, what I would call a guesstimate...

A- You're right.
13Q- ... of what you thought...
A- You're right.

14Q- ... was taken from the in trust account?
A- Yes.

15Q- It could be more and it could be less?

A- Could be.
16Q- Okay. Now, what I would like to do is go through -we won't go through every one of them because it would be too time consuming -- but go through certain years and try and understand what was used for your personal purposes from the in trust account and as compared to what your declared earnings were on your income tax returns for the particular year.

And I think we'll start with the year nineteen ninety-six (1996). And I'm going to provide you with a summary of the various years.

OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

17Q- Okay, we'll give you a summary for each one of the years, and then we'll go year-by-year for the particular cheques.

Okay. In nineteen ninety-six (1996), I show
you here a copy of your tax return for the federal tax return. And on this tax return you're indicating total income of forty-two thousand dollars zero twenty-seven and forty-nine cents $(\$ 42,027.49)$, which I would ask you to produce as Exhibit EJ-34.

## Exhibit No. EJ-34

18Q- If you want to just take a look at that. OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
19Q- Okay, the first item... do you have the cheques with you?

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Yes, they're right here.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
20Q- And we'll show you the cheques. And the cheques may have been dated, some of them, at the end of ninetyfive ('95), but went through the bank account in nineteen ninety-six (1996).

The first one is a cheque for eleven thousand one forty-three ninety $(11,143.90)$, the Riverview School, Cape Cod, for eight thousand $(8,000)$ U.S. I show you here a copy of the cheque. Can you
identify that, and tell the Court what that cheque was for?

A- That cheque was for my daughter's... would have been for my daughter's fees at the Grow Program at Riverview. And my, if I recall, I had received a cheque from a scholarship fund for eight thousand dollars (\$8,000.00), so I would have thought that the... I could have put the eight thousand $(8,000)$ from the Canadian Scholarship Trust Fund into the trust account and wrote this cheque, but that was for part or all of my daughter's...

```
21Q- Okay. Well, we'll...
```

A- ... school fees at the Grow Program at Riverview School.

22Q- Okay. The cheques which we're showing you all come out of the Earl Jones In Trust account.

A- Right.
23Q- Okay. And so the first one is for your daughter's
school, eight thousand $(8,000)$ U.S. We'll produce
all the cheques en liasse as Exhibit EJ-35...
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
For nineteen ninety-six (1996).
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
24Q- ... for nineteen ninety-six (1996).
Exhibit No. EJ-35

25Q- Okay, so that's number 1. The next one is ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) to your wife. And she explained to us that that was to pay for the household expenses and various matters that she may have been paying such as Visa cards, is that correct?

A- I guess so.
26Q- Okay.
A- That's what she used it for. I see in the back it marks "fees", so... I'm just wondering whether there should have been a... that should have been drawn on my company account, but...

27Q- Well, that's in your handwriting that you've marked "fees", is that it?

A- Yes.

28Q- Okay. And...
A- Whether it came out of the wrong account, I don't know.

29Q- The next one is a cheque made to yourself for five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00).

A- Yes.
$302-$ And that represented what?
A- I don't know.

31Q- Okay. The next one is to Earl Jones, your corporation, for three thousand four forty-six
eighty-three (3,446.83). Would you tell us what that represented?

AThese are fees from my clients. You'll see at the bottom corner Heather Clark.

32Q- Okay. And what... three thousand four forty-six $(3,446)$ would represent what type of fees?

A- My management and annual management fee.
332- Okay. The next one is seven sixty-five (765) to Golfside Management Inc.

A- That's an expense we paid on behalf of John Lafave, who was one (1) of our clients.

34Q- Okay. The next one, for two hundred and thirty-five dollars (\$235.00) to Earl Jones Corp.

A- That would be a fee as well. At the bottom you'll see the invoice number.

35Q- Okay. The next one again is to your corporation for two thousand seven thirty-four $(2,734)$.

A- Again that's an administration fee.
362- Okay. Next one is for cash three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00).

A- That was for a client, Sharon Lazar. She would have probably had money with us and asked for a three thousand dollar (\$3,000.00) payment.

372- And why would it have been made in "Cash"?
A- Because she would have requested that.

38Q- And I notice on the back...
A- K. Stewart would have went and got the cash at the bank. It was Kathy Stewart. That was Debra Stewart's mother who used to work for me.

39Q-All right. So the cheque would be made to "Cash", and she would go to the bank and she would cash it?

A- That's right.
40Q- Okay. The next one, for six twenty-six seventy-five (626.75), Earl Jones Corp. There's a notation there.

A- That's the same thing. Margaret Davis.
41Q- That's fees?
A- That was a fee, yes.
42Q- Okay. The next one is for eight hundred dollars (\$800.00) to Kathy Stewart.

OFF RECORD

A- I don't know what that would be for. It could... I'm just... I don't know what it'd be for.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

43Q- Okay.
A- I think $I$ know what it is, but $I$ don't know.
44Q- Now, I'm going to show you a series of cheques made to your corporation. All of them have notations on
them. Would you tell me if these represented fees or what they represented? There's one (1) more.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD: There's one (1) more.

OFF RECORD

A- These would all be fees.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

45Q- okay.
A- And this one here with the name John Lafave. John was a client of ours. And $I$ was appointed his guardian by the courts. And that was a payment to him from the monies that we were...

46Q- Now, this goes back quite a while to nineteen ninety-six (1996), but in your mind in respect of any of these people or some of these people, and I'll give you examples, Mr. Mandl -- these names would come to my memory quickly -- Bev Hamilton, Babington, Kent, Davis, Beardmore, these people that end up at the end of the day being part of the victims and people whose money didn't, or won't get returned to them, is that correct?

A- They are people... they are member... they are part of the victims, yes.

47Q- Okay. Now, there are, you will see on the list that you have in front of you -- this one over here...

A- Right.
48Q- ... at the end of every month on the bank statements there are cash withdrawals from the in trust account marked "ATM".

A- Right.
490- In this case, in January, twenty thousand four hundred dollars (\$20,400.00) total withdrawn during the month.

A- Right.
50Q- And we're going to show you in a moment those bank statements. But I would like you to confirm that those withdrawals would have been made on the debit card that you had at the bank, is that correct?

A- I would think so, yes.
51Q- Okay. Now, let's go to February of nineteen ninetysix (1996). The first cheque is one to Kristine Jones. Take a look and tell us if you are able to state what that cheque is for.

A- I don't know what that was for.
52Q- Okay.
A- I assume... it might have been education. I don't know.

53Q- All right. Now, here are two (2) cheques made to

Ian Forrest, and the reverse side is marked "personal loan". Would you take a look at those and tell us if you're able to identify what they represented?
These would have been loans made to Ian Forrest utilizing his funds in the trust account and probably allocated to a client who gave us some monies.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
54Q- Who is Ian Forrest?
A- Ian Forrest is a former... former client of mine.
His parents were clients.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
55Q- So this is a loan that you are making to him or this
is repayment of the loan?
A- Well, it's a cheque to him, isn't it?
56Q- That's correct. But...
A- So...
57Q- So is it a loan being made to him or is a repayment
of a loan made to him?
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Made by him.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
58Q- Made by him.
A- I would say it's a loan we made to him.

59Q-A loan you made to him?
A- Yes.
60Q- And when it says "personal loan" on the other side, does that refer to a personal loan being made by yourself?

A- I think "personal loan" means personal to him because at that time we probably were settling his mother or father's estate. So it was probably personal monies - his... that he was responsible for.

61Q- Okay. And the next cheque is another cheque to your wife for ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00). That again, I presume, represented, as you explained, it represented amounts that she used to pay for household expenditures.

62 The next cheque is a small amount of three hundred and seventy dollars and fifty-nine cents (\$370.59) made to Allied Pickfords. Can you tell us what this represented?

A- No. It's... Allied Pickford is a moving company, as you probably know.

63Q- No, I don't know.
A- And I have no...
64Q- All right. You don't know you don't know. Okay.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

65Q- Did you move in nineteen ninety-six (1996) from one house to another or... this is dated February fifteenth (15th), and it doesn't mention any specific clients.

A- We may have moved from the building that we are in. We had three (3) different offices. So that may have been a move from one office to another.

It may have been a client who we arranged a move for...

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
66Q-Well, three hundred (300) and...
A- ... and the bill came in and...
67Q- Okay.
A- It's obviously not a huge bill.
68Q- All right. Let's not guess. Let's... you either know or you don't know. If you don't know, please...

A- I don't know.
692-... as I mentioned to you before, don't guess. The next cheque is for thirty thousand dollars $(\$ 30,000.00)$ to the Bank of Bermuda.

A- That was money that Brenda Moroz gave to us, which we sent down on her behalf to the Bank of Bermuda for an investment, as indicated on the back of the cheque.

```
70Q- Okay. So Brenda Moroz was a client, is that it?
A- That's right.
71Q- Okay. Here is a series of cheques for five thousand
        four twelve (5,412); two thousand two seventy-nine
        (2,279); one thousand one thirty-six (1,136); one
        thousand one thirty-nine (1,139); and four ninety-
        five (495). They appear to be cheques for fees.
        Would you just identify them as such.
    A- I guess it would be fees here.
    72Q- Okay. Cheque to Carol Lafave for five thousand
        dollars ($5,000.00). What would that represent?
    A- That would have been monies that Carol had with us
        or part of her mother's estate that we settled.
    73Q- Okay.
    MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    Up here it would be John Lafave.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    74Q- I'm showing you here three (3) more cheques. One
        (1) made to Sciascia Fargnoli Poletto & Associates,
        Hydro Quebec and Royal Bank. And the notation is
        John Lafave. Would you tell us what those
        represent?
    A- These would represent payment of accounts...
    75Q- Okay.
    A- ... on behalf of Mr. Lafave, who we were looking
```

after.
76Q- The next one is to Dianne Gilker for a thousand one seventy-two (1,172).

A- Yes, this was final distribution of her... a Mrs. Boyle, who Dianne personally looked after. And we were...

77Q- So why would it...
A- We were settling her estate. And...
78Q- So why would the cheque be made out to Dianne Gilker?

A- I think Dianne had received a cash legacy or... Dianne looked after her before she died.

79Q- Okay. Another cheque, to Scotia McLeod for thirtyfive hundred dollars $(\$ 3,500.00)$, re: Kathy Stewart RRSP.

A- Well, these are funds that were obviously given to Kathy Stewart, who used to work for me. She...

80Q- Who...
A- ... put them in her RRSP.
810- Who would have given her the funds?
A- Well, I would have authorized the cheque to be
issued.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
82Q- Was that part of her salary or...
A- It may have been. It may have been a bonus that I
would have given her. And then... and she looked after all the banking and did it through the in trust account. But I don't know.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
83Q- I'm going to show you here some more. Four (4) different cheques. Would you just confirm that these represent fees as well to your corporation?

A- Yes, for fees.
84Q- Okay. And then at the end of that month, again the bank statement indicates ten thousand three hundred $(10,300)$ total of cash withdrawals from the... I presume it's the debit card, which we'll show you afterwards.

Now, March nineteen ninety-six (1996). Cheque for ten thousand $(10,000)$ to yourself and your wife. Appears to be endorsed by yourself and deposited by your wife. There are three (3) cheques for ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00).

Let's go one (1) at a time. That's for ten thousand (10,000) dated February nineteenth (19th), nineteen ninety-six (1996).

A- Right.
85Q- Okay. That would be used for household purposes and personal purposes?

A- I would think so.

86Q- Okay. Another one dated March eighth (8th), nineteen ninety-six (1996) for ten thousand (10,000). Again the same thing, is that correct? Now, I notice on the back of these cheques you're marking "EJ own funds". And you're going to see that at the end of the year, although your income being reported is forty-two thousand dollars $(\$ 42,000.00)$, you have cheques which are marked "EJ own funds" which exceed this forty thousand dollars (\$40,000.00).

I assume that -- and you'll correct me if I'm wrong -- that the simple marking of "EJ own funds" was really in effect fictitious, that those funds were not your funds that were in the in trust account, but were third party funds in the in trust account?

A- They could be, all or part. Or I may have put some of my own funds in the in trust account prior to nineteen ninety-six (1996) or during the year nineteen ninety-six (1996). And I assume that some of these monies were actually my own personal monies that went in the in trust account.

87Q- Well...

A- I don't know if there was any deposits in ninety-six ('96) or earlier from my own monies.

```
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    88Q- If we go back to nineteen eighty-seven (1987), your
    income has always been around the forty (40) to
    thirty-five thousand (35,000) to forty thousand
    dollar ($40,000.00)...
    A- Right.
    89Q- ... level at that time.
    A- Right.
    90Q- The disbursements to yourself, your wife, schooling
        and everything...
    A- Right.
    91Q- ... were way in excess of those income.
    A- Right.
    92Q- Have you ever had any other source of income to
        justify all monies?
    A- I know there was some monies made when we... when
        that Clairehaven project was sold. And I'm not sure
        where they went to.
    93Q- But that again...
    A- But other... as regular other streams of income, the
        answer is no.
    94Q- And there again, Clairehaven, I mean, the
        substantial portion of the money that was made
        was... went back to probably reimburse money that
        was taken out of the trust account originally to pay
```


## for it, no?

ANo, I would say there was... there was a net gain from the Clairehaven. The Claire... I don't think there was a lot of funds used for Clairehaven.

95Q- Okay.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
96Q- Mr. Jones, in March of nineteen ninety-six (1996) you'll see that there are cheques of thirty thousand dollars $(\$ 30,000.00)$ out of the in trust account in that one (1) month alone, okay?

You didn't inherit any vast sums of money in nineteen ninety-six (1996). You didn't have any other major sources of income in nineteen ninety-six (1996).

It would be fair, I would assume, to say that your notation "EJ own funds" may be your reference to the fact that you're taking out these monies for your own personal benefit, but not that it represented monies you had put into the trust account?

A- It may be.
97Q- I show you here a cheque to Scotia McLeod for ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00). Would you tell us what this represented?

A- This represented a deposit to my Registered

Retirement Savings Plan at our Scotia McLeod.
98Q- Okay. I show you here another one for five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) payable to Scotia McLeod. The reference is "Pierre Courchesne nineteen ninety-five (1995) RRSP". What did that represent?

A- That was a deposit to Mr. Courchesne's RRSP. And I would assume that it was instead of paying his fees, Kathy had sent it out as an RRSP. But that was a payment to Mr. Courchesne's RRSP at Scotia McLeod.

99Q- Mr. Courchesne was, according to his testimony, preparing the corporate tax returns for your corporation. This would have represented payment in respect of the corporation?

A- It... I'm saying it could have represented part of the fees that we owed him, and Kathy may have paid it in this manner as opposed to paying the fees directly to Mr. Courchesne from the company account. 100Q-Okay.

A- It's an assumption I'm making.
101Q-Okay. A cheque for a thousand dollars ( $\$ 1,000.00$ ) to Kathy Stewart. Appears to be deposited to her account.

A- I don't know what that's for. 102Q-Okay.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

These are all fees.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
103Q-A group of cheques made out to Earl Jones Corporation. And most of them are indicated as being invoices with respect to various clients.

A- Golfside Management is Mr. Lafave's, but...

104Q-Right. Golfside Management you've identified as being cheques made on behalf of Mr. Lafave. That's right.

105Q-They're all for small amounts. Seven sixty-five (765).

A- That's his rent, yes.
106 Q-Okay.
A- And the rest are all fees.

107Q-Okay. The next one is for Kathy Stewart. Another two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00).

A- I don't know.

108Q-Okay. The next one is a thousand dollars (\$1,000.00), Royal Bank Visa, re memo is Kathy Stewart.

A- I don't know if that was a payment for her or for one (1) of my Visa cards. I assume it's hers.

109Q-Another for a thousand five hundred (1,500), Kathy

Stewart.

A- Don't know.

110Q-Don't know. Earl Jones Corp., a thousand seven hundred and nine (1,709). It looks like it's a fee.

A- That's a fee for Mr. Shewchuk, yes.
111Q-Okay.

OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
112Q-Dorval Oldtimers, two hundred dollars (\$200.00).

A- That would have been a donation that was made.
113Q-Donation?

A- Yes.
114Q-okay. Then at the end of that month there's cash withdrawals of five thousand nine hundred dollars (\$5,900.00). Okay. Excellent.

Okay. We have two (2) small cheques. One (1)
made... the memo is Marlene Lafave and the other is John Lafave. I presume that those were payments made on behalf of those two (2) clients.

A- That's correct.
115Q-Okay. Then we have one (1) to Scotia McLeod for four thousand seven seven three $(4,773)$. Tell me what that represented?

AI would think that's a deposit to my investment account that $I$ had with Scotia through Mr. Menard.

116Q-Okay. Now, you then have a cheque for a hundred and twenty-five thousand $(125,000)$ U.S. or a hundred and seventy-one thousand three seventy-two $(171,372)$ Canadian made to... from the Earl Jones In Trust account made to the Bank of Bermuda.

A- That would have been funds deposited in the Bank of Bermuda for one (1) of our clients.

117Q-Are you able to identify which client?
A- I think it could have been Dr. and Mrs. -- not doctor -- but McKenty.

118Q-Okay. A cheque for a thousand five hundred dollars (\$1,500.00) to Patrick Mills.

A- No idea.
119Q-No idea?
A- No.
120Q-Marked "personal". You have no idea what... who is Patrick Mills?

A- No.
121Q-A cheque to Robert Hausner for fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00), re personal Pointevest.

AI think this was a loan made to Mr. Hausner. And the funds were allocated to a loan made from Pointevest, which I don't think was correct, but I think there was a loan from the trust account to Mr. Hausner.

```
122Q-Loan made from the trust account to Mr. Hausner?
    A- Right.
    123Q-I understood that Mr. Hausner was one (1) of the
        people that was lending money to you.
        Mr. Hausner borrowed money when he was -- I think
        the amount could have been up to sixty thousand
        dollars ($60,000.00) -- when he was owner of Canada
        Carpet, I think the company was. And we had... we
        had loaned him, I think up to sixty thousand dollars
        ($60,000.00).
    124Q-Okay. Was that loan fully paid back?
    A- I don't think so.
    125Q-Am I not correct in saying that at a point in time
        he was part of the various so-called bridge loans
        where he was advancing money?
    A- Yes.
    126Q-So he was advancing money to you for loans, and he
        hadn't paid back his loan account to you?
    A- I believe so.
    127Q-Why would you allow that? Wouldn't you offset?
    A- Well, I would, but, you know, I think we were going
        to offset at a later date. But it was not offset at
        this... at any time.
    128Q-Golfside Management.
    A- I think.
```

```
129Q-We know what that one's about. Okay. Kathy
    Stewart's loan for a thousand dollars ($1,000.00).
A- No idea.
    130Q-No idea. And then Carol Lafave for three thousand
    dollars ($3,000.00).
    A- That was monies from her mother's estate account.
    131Q-Okay. And then at the end of that month again
    there's forty-eight hundred dollars ($4,800.00) in
    cash withdrawals from the ATM machine.
            Next month, May nineteen ninety-six (1996).
    Again Allied Pickfords for three hundred and seventy
    dollars ($370.00). And the notation is "E. Jones
    storage".
    OFF RECORD
    A- Those cheques for Kathy Stewart -- I think you're
        looking at the cheque for Kathy Stewart?
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    132Q-No, no, he's looking at a cheque for Carol Lafave.
    A- Allied Pickfords -- now... now I recall -- this is
        a storage that we had out in Kirkland, which I
        believe Mr. Robillard is aware of.
    Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    133Q-Is that the one... there was one. Is that...
```

A- Yes, originally it was Allied Pickford, I think. I don't... I think...

134Q-So that's... I don't think it's Allied Pickford anymore.

A- Well, if it's with Allied Pickford Storage, then we may have been paying on behalf of an account. I don't... that's the only storage area that... it may have been... I... I don't know.

135Q-okay.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
136Q-Okay. The next one is ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00). May first (1st), nineteen ninety-six (1996) to your wife. And she explained that that would have been used for household purposes. And then we have a cheque for three thousand $(3,000)$ payable to Cash, which appears to be endorsed by Kathy Stewart.

A- That... let me just go back on Kathy Stewart, this comes to mind. This could have been monies that she obtained for a client and given to a client. There's no name on it, so I can't tell you, but that wasn't uncommon, where a client would ask for and she'd take a cheque over to the bank, get cash and give it to a client. But let me go back on some of the cheques to

Kathy, straight cheques. She had deposited with us monies from her mother's estate from Chicago. And some of those may have been the distribution of her own funds.

137Q-Okay. The testimony, as I recall it, from some of the employees were that they would try and reconcile cheques into ledgers at the end of the month by looking at the notations on the cheques, in other words, the memo. So if there was cash withdrawn on behalf of a client, the memo would read the name of the client.

A- Right.
138Q-Was that the process that you would normally use to try and keep the ledgers for the clients' accounts correct?

A- It was the normal process. But I would think at times, a cheque like this could have been written and it could have been indicated in the ledger of the client right away or there was no notation on it.

139Q-Well, I mean, a sum of three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00) ... I can understand a sum of five (5), six hundred (600), four hundred (400). This is in nineteen ninety-six (1996). Sum of three thousand dollars $(\$ 3,000.00)$ is a fairly, or would have been
a fairly large amount in nineteen ninety-six (1996)
to withdraw in cash.
And you're telling us that this was the procedure that was used from the very beginning to obtain cash?

AAt times that procedure was used. And normally they would have on the bottom left-hand corner they'd have a notation, as my employees mentioned to you.

```
140Q-When the cash would be given to the client...
```

    A- Right.
    141Q-... would you obtain receipts from the client?
    A- Most cases, no.
    142Q-So was there any particular reason why a receipt
        wouldn't be obtained?
    A- We felt it was not necessary. It was indicated in
        their account ledger. And our clients had been with
        us for... ninety percent (90\%) of our clients had
        been with us for twenty (20) years plus. We had,
        like I said, it's the relationship that we had.
    143Q-So you had no fear of anybody coming back in the
        future and saying, "I didn't receive this cash,"?
    A- No.
    144Q-Now, I'm going to show you a series of cheques, all
        of which appear to be for fees charged to your
        corporation. Just take a look at those and tell me
    

II Brasserie. So it may have been related to a loan to Mr. Stewart.

149Q-It would be a loan to him to run or to buy?
A- It may have been a personal loan to him.
150Q-I see there's a notation "loan" on the back.
A- Okay, so it was a loan of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) to him.

151Q-And the loan would have been made by yourself to him, is that it?

A- It would have been made by funds in the in trust account and allocated, I would think, to a client. 152Q-Would there of normal course be a loan agreement?

A- There probably would be, yes.
153Q-And how exactly would you do that? Because you've mentioned that these bridge loans were basically fictitious.

A- Not every one.
154Q-Not every one?
A- $\quad$ No.
155Q-There were certain ones that weren't and certain ones that weren't?

A- That's right.
156Q-Okay. And how would you differentiate them?
A- Well, there would be indication in the clients' files as to the actual loan made and the cheque
issued and...
157Q-Well, there's indications in all kinds of files as to loans made, but they're all fictitious. And I'll give you...

A- No, the ones...
158Q-... the perfect example is the Gibsons.
A- The ones that... in the case of this Don Stewart, I think you'd find in his file that there is probably a notation of the cheque issued to him and... and an acknowledgement of that.

159Q-But who would have lent the money to Mr. Stewart?
A- I'm not sure.
160Q-You're not sure?
A- No.
161Q-You made the loan and you wouldn't remember Don
Stewart? You knew him well? He claims to know you well.

A- Yes, I know him well...
162Q-Okay.
A- Yes.
163Q-So you wouldn't recall...
A- I don't know what account we would have allocated it to, no.

164Q-I see. Okay. This is a small cheque for two
thousand three eighty-five $(2,385)$ made to the City
of Dorval. The notation is C. Lafave. I presume that's real estate taxes being paid on behalf of Mr. Lafave?

A- This is real estate taxes being paid on behalf of... of Carol Lafave's mother.

165Q-Okay. Here's a cheque to Royal Trust for five hundred and sixty-one dollars seventy-four cents (\$561.74). The notation is "mortgage payment". Tell me whose mortgage payment that represented, if you can tell.

A- No.
166Q-Okay.
A- Must have been a client's mortgage payment.
167Q-There's a cheque for three thousand nine fifteen $(3,915)$ to Rubin \& Rubin Public Attorneys, re: John Lafave. Tell me what that represented.

A- That was a U.S. firm, I believe, in... yes, he owned property down in north of Jacksonville, Florida.

168Q-Okay. I have three (3) more cheques to Kathy Stewart. One (1) for three twenty-five (325). No indication. Another for two hundred (200). Indication John Lafave. And another for a thousand (1,000). No Indication.

A- These could, although there's no notation on it, these could all relate to Mr. Lafave. Mr. Lafave
had a major, let me just say medical problem. And which we were at times bailing him out of... of many situations including dealing with the law enforcement and God knows what.

And he had a bad habit, which, unfortunately, people showed up at our door and demanded money. So I would think a lot of that in and around that time was Mr. Lafave's.

169Q-Requesting cash payments, is that it?
A- Yes.
170Q-Now, this cheque for nine thousand seven fifty $(9,750)$ to Peterson \& Company in trust. And the notation in the back is "subscription Southeastern Resources Inc. B. Earl Jones".

A- I could only think it was an investment that I had bought. I have no idea, to be honest.

171Q-Another five hundred dollars (\$500.00), Kathy Stewart. No notation. Do you have any idea what that is?

A- No. No, in the... in the ledger account of John Lafave's that... that... those may be indicated.

172Q-But why is it that on certain cheques there are memos as to payments being made for a particular client and on certain cheques there are no memos?

A- The sloppiness on the part of, in this case $I$ think
we're dealing with Kathy. She did all the accounting and a lot of it was by hand in a... in her own way.

And when you see... and we're talking here about these cash be it a thousand $(1,000)$ or five hundred (500), in all around... about that time -because John Lafave's name keep coming up -- I would think that those who showed up at our door -- it was pretty violent -- and I would think that Kathy had written the cheques, got the cash and paid them and got rid of them. So she may not have made a notation.

173Q-In nineteen ninety-six (1996)...
A- That's assumption.
174Q-... you say it was...
A- That was assumption on... pardon?
175Q-You say it was violent. I'm not quite following what you mean.

A- Well, Mr. Lafave, when he got into the people that came to our office were... demanded the cash, his cash were pretty violent.

176Q-He demanded his money back, is that what you mean?
A- Well, he wasn't demanding his money. I mean, they... he told them, when... when they put him against the wall, that, "Go see Jones and he'll
give you your money."
177Q-You lost me. He told who?
A- Mr. Lafave had a major problem with alcohol and got
into the utilization of ladies. And as a result
there was numerous times where, I guess you'd call
them pimps or whatever, would show up and demand the
money and would not leave. And there was times that
we had to phone the police. And there were times
that they had basically taken a knife to his neck
and so on.
And in order to save his life he would call and
say, "You've got to give these guys five hundred
(500)." "You've got to give them a thousand
(1,000)." "You've got to give them this." And
that's what occurred. And it was scary, especially
when the girls were in the office by themselves.
Marlene Lafave, whose name appears, that was
her husband. And the Court had asked me to assume
tutor and guardianship over him, which was a long
history. I've known him for many, many years.
So...
178Q-Okay.
A- ... anyway. So it's... in answer to your question,
I don't know why the memo wasn't put there.
179Q-Okay. Now, I'm going to show you three (3) cheques
made to your corporation. Again they appear to be for fees. Just identify them as such.

A- Yes.
180Q-Now, how would you charge fees to estates? How would you determine the fee?

A- On different bases. One (1) would... from my experience, knowing what it would take to settle an estate, I would quote a specific fee, which may be a thousand $(1,000)$ or five thousand $(5,000)$.

In general, I would charge one percent (1\%) of the gross value. Again, subject to the assets. If there was a two million dollar (\$2,000,000.00) life insurance policy where he had to fill out one (1) form, you'd certainly adjust accordingly.

181Q-And did you have signed contracts for each one of these...

A- No.
182Q-... experiences?
A- No, no. There was some... some... I wouldn't call a contract, a memo agreeing that the fee would be five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) or fifteen hundred (1,500), what have you. But in general, the answer is no.

183Q-So in general, the fee arrangement was verbal, is that correct?

```
A- Yes, yes.
Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    184Q-If we look at these two (2), for example, two (2)
        different estates...
    A- Right.
    185Q-... do you recall...
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
        And just for purposes of identification, could
        you just identify which cheques you're referring to?
    Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    186Q-I'm referring to two (2) cheques in the amount of
        five thousand six hundred and ninety-seven dollars
        and seventy-five cents ($5,697.75). One (1)
        identified as the...
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
        Estate
    Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    187Q-... estate of Pappin.
    A- Yes.
    188Q-And the other one the estate of Bush.
    A- Yes.
    189Q-Do you recall how those fees were determined? Given
        that the amounts are somewhat higher than the other
        fees we've looked at earlier.
    A- They were determined on a general basis by myself.
```

I never in the file put down the hours that I work. I made the assumption that the estate administration fee was a five thousand dollar (\$5,000.00) fee. I think the six ninety-seven (697) is the taxes.

And the client would be advised before of the fee that was going to be charged either when the estate came in or before the fee charge was made.

190Q-And those represent quarterly fees or...
A- No, that would.. there would... that would represent, I would think, the estate administration fee. The estate Evelyn Pappin. And then we carried on in handling her two (2) physically... physically disabled children.

191Q-Was there... was there an invoice sent to...

A- There would be an invoice either sent or in the file.

192Q-So it would be a verbal notification to the clients that this was how much fees was being taking out of the account?

A- In many cases it was. The clients were fully aware of it, of the fee that was being taken out. And in this case here, the... in the Pappin, I would think that there was probably not an invoice sent to the two (2) Pappin... well, maybe to the older daughter, there could have been an invoice sent. But there
was always invoices prepared. And copies of those invoices, of course, went to Mr. Courchesne at the end of the year for my company account.

193Q-And the third cheque is even higher, eleven thousand three hundred and ninety-five fifty (11,395.50). And is identifies as "Estate of Beardmore"?

A- Right.
194Q-Again, we're looking at a... an amount...
A- Well, you're looking at... when you... when you settle estates, as you... I'm sure you well know, the... the complexity of each estate is different and the time involved is different.

In the case of Beardmore there was an awful lot of time that $I$ put into... into this estate working with Dr. Harvey Beardmore. And also did a lot of work for the property up in... in the Morin Heights area for the Beardmores.

So the fee being higher would be one (1) of two
(2) things, mostly the time put in, the work involved and, you know, sometimes if the... if the amount were... like a trust company, you know, if the fees... if the estate is worth two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00) versus five hundred (500), they get a higher fee.

195Q-Okay.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
196Q-Now, at the end of that month there appears to be twenty-eight thousand two hundred dollars $(\$ 28,200.00)$ which is taken out in cash. These cash withdrawals that we see every month, you've identified them as being taken vis-à-vis the debit card on the account, what would you use those funds for?

A- I know there were times that I had given my debit card to Kathy Stewart to take out cash. And I'm not sure whether that relates to payment of cash to some clients. But in retrospect, the twenty-eight thousand dollars $(\$ 28,000.00)$ would be used for my... my day-to-day cash needs, of buying gas for the car. It may be also used for purchasing an item or an article. I'm not sure.

197Q-All right. In June of nineteen ninety-six (1996), again there are three (3) cheques to your wife. One (1) for five thousand (5,000), one (1) for one thousand five hundred $(1,500)$ and another for five thousand (5,000).

Take a look at those. Those again were identified by your wife as for usage of family personal matters.

This cheque for thirteen thousand six dollars
$(\$ 13,006.00)$ the notation is "Mark Davis - made to the Bank of Montreal".

A- This would have been money advanced to Mr. Davis through his mother's account, Betty Davis.

198Q-And he would be an heir, is that it?
A- He's.. no, Betty Davis is alive. Mark... Mark Davis is one (1) of her sons who's alive. And it was probably money that she was giving to him as a gift.

199Q-I see. Okay. A small amount to Watson Poitvin. The notation is John Lafave. I presume it had to do with some notarial work.

A- That's right.
200Q-Okay. Small amounts paid on behalf of John Lafave. And then this cheque again for cash, four thousand six hundred $(4,600)$, again endorsed by Kathy Stewart.

A- It's for John Lafave.
201Q-There's no notation.
A- I... I don't know, but there was, I know, two (2) or three (3) times for Mr. Lafave where we paid out three (3), four thousand (4,000). One (1) time it was six thousand dollars $(\$ 6,000.00)$. So that could have been relating to that.

202Q-And then a cheque to Kathy Stewart for forty-eight
hundred dollars (\$4,800.00).

A-
I don't know.
203Q-Another one for a thousand dollars $(\$ 1,000.00)$ to Kathy Stewart.
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Me NEIL H. STEIN:
204Q-Now, there's a series of cheques made to your corporation, again with various notations, I would ask you to take a look at and tell us what they represented and how you arrived at the amounts.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Here, there's this one also.
A- These were the fees that were charged. And, as I explained, I charged an annual fee and the fee was charged every six (6) months. Some were charged in January and in June, I guess. And some were charged in February and August. And the fee was a predetermined fee by myself, which was discussed with the clients.

Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
205Q-These appear to be on a monthly basis.
A- The names there?
206Q-No, the cheques.

```
A- Yes, because what happened...
207Q-Similar...
A- ... is I spread my fees out over a twelve-month
        basis. So if I charged someone in January, they
        were also charged six (6) months later. And for
        cash flow I had these going through every month for
        twelve (12) months.
    208Q-okay.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    209Q-And there's a cheque to Earl Jones Corp. for twenty-
        three thousand nine thirty (23,930) marked "re:
        Clairehaven Senior residence".
    A- That would have been a fee that I charged the
        individuals involved with Clairehaven for the work
        that I did.
    210Q-But why would you be getting paid out of the trust
        account?
    A- Because they had put some money in the trust account
        originally when they were looking at developing that
        property.
    211Q-But weren't funds disbursed to help develop that
    property from the trust?
    A- No, because what happened, it was sold before it was
        developed.
    212Q-My recollection is that...
```

A- It could have been expenses that were... that was distributed.

213Q-Yes.
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Me NEIL H. STEIN:
214Q-If I take a look at the exhibit that we've produced as EJ-21... well, first of all, can you tell me, according to your recollection, how much would have been deposited to the trust account for the Clairehaven project?

A- The... we may have made a loan. I'm not too sure how much was deposited on a personal basis. But we may have made a loan from a client to the Clairehaven Group.

215Q-And would the client have known about that loan?

A- Yes.
216Q-Who was the client?
A- I have no idea.
217Q-Well, I'm showing you here Exhibit EJ-21, which is the Clairehaven Project, and I... we have shown you cheques that have gone out for eleven thousand three fifty $(11,350)$ from the trust account; ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00); seven thousand two twenty-
three $(7,223)$; two hundred thousand dollars $(\$ 200,000.00) ;$ eleven thousand $(11,000) ;$ o six (06)...

Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
That's the one.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
That's the same one, sorry. Okay.
218Q-Five thousand three hundred and fifty (5,350);
nineteen thousand seven fifty (19,750), twenty-five
thousand $(25,000)$, ten thousand o twenty-nine
$(10,029) ;$ seven thousand two hundred $(7,200)$; eight
thousand seventy-five $(8,075)$; and five thousand
five thirty-three $(5,533)$.
I haven't done the total, but my colleague here
has. It amounts to three hundred and seventy-eight
thousand dollars ( $\$ 378,000.00$ ) that went out of the
trust account from...
A- And that...
219Q-... for the...
A- That would have...
220Q-... Clairehaven project.
A- That would have... that would have been the money
that was received from the sale of the property.
221Q-Well...
A- It was distributed to... it would have been
distributed to Mr. Henderson and Mr. Smith and I would have retained the balance in the trust account.

222Q-Okay. How much did you receive in payment?
A- How much... from... from what?

223Q-For Clairehaven. For the sale of the Clairehaven project?

A- I think... I think it was three hundred thousand $(300,000)$.

SHORT RECESS

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

224Q-Now, you wanted to see... which exhibit was it that you were...

A- You're looking at an exhibit related to...
Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:

The one for Clairehaven.

A- ... Clairehaven.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

Right here.

225Q-At the end of June there was a further nine thousand two hundred (9,200) withdrawn in cash from the debit card.

Now, July. A cheque for seventeen thousand two
twenty-one $(17,221)$ written to Life Inc. I assume it's for your daughter, is that correct? The American equivalent was twelve thousand four fifty $(12,450)$.

A- That's correct.
Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
226Q-What was it for?
A- For her annual fees. How much was it?
227Q-Twelve thousand four fifty $(12,450)$ U.S.
A- That would be for part of her fees. Or it could be her annual fees.

228Q-Okay.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
2290-A cheque for ten thousand $(10,000)$ to yourself and your wife. That's the same thing, the personal expenses that your wife has identified.

A cheque for one thousand two sixty-eight $(1,268)$ to a Mr. Yvon Barrette.

A- He was a handyman and did work at the office and work at home for us.

230Q-Okay.
Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
2310-There's -- so that we don't have to go over -there's numerous amounts of money paid to Yvon Barrette.

```
A- Right.
    232Q-So it's all the same thing? It's all...
    A- No, it could be... he did... he looked after
        probably five (5) to ten (10) of our clients as
        well. So there may be some cheques that we had paid
        to him for his charges to our clients.
    233Q-Okay.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    234Q-Okay. A cheque for five thousand ninety-nine
        (5,099) to Bedford Interiors, which your wife
        identified as being for your personal homes.
        Okay.
    235Q-A cheque for four thousand dollars ($4,000.00) to
        your wife. Part of the monthly payments, I presume,
        to your wife?
    A- I guess if she identified them. Did she?
    236Q-She's identified all of them, yes, as...
    A- Okay.
    237Q-... cheques which she's deposited to her account for
        the personal purposes.
        Another one for fifteen thousand (15,000) to
        your wife. One (1) for five thousand (5,000) to
        yourself.
    A- I don't know what it was for.
    238Q-okay. A cheque to Yvon Barrette with the memo "BEJ"
```

for one thousand one seventy-seven (1,177).
A-
It could have been, as I say, work at the office or at home.

239Q-Okay. A cheque to Hilary Thomas Management for six thousand nine twenty-two $(6,922)$.

A- That's Hilary Hayden. She has a management company. And she would have requested the funds.

240Q-She was a client?

A- Yes.

241Q-okay. That's U.S. funds, right. She's in the States or...

A- She has a Florida property on the west coast.

242Q-okay. Cheque to your corporation for a thousand seven o nine (1,709), "re: Estate Philpott", I think it is.

A- That would be a fee for Dr. Philpott.
243Q-Philpott, okay. Cheque for two thousand four fortynine $(2,449)$ to the Municipality de Mille Iles.

A- That would have been the taxes for the Beardmore farm...

244 Q-okay.
A- ... in Morin Heights, Mille Isles area.
245Q-All right. And we have Golfside Management again.

A- That's for rent...
246 Q-Right.

A-
... for Mr. Lafave.

247Q-Then we have a cheque that was used to purchase a draft Royal Bank of Canada. No notation. For two thousand seven sixty-seven $(2,767)$.
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Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
248Q-What's the answer?
A- I don't know. I would think it's for a client.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
249Q-For a client. You had no mention on the memo. Another one to the Royal Bank of Canada for thirtyfour thousand one one four sixty-four $(34,114.64)$. Would these relate to the... to Kimberly's condo in Cape Cod?

250Q-I... it's possible. We don't know. Could you take a look at...

A- Well, I think you had yesterday a... didn't you have a breakdown of the payment?

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Oh, it may be the payments for the condo.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

251Q-For the condo. Yes, it's possible. Another one for the Royal Bank of Canada for four thousand one
fifty-one (4,151). Again no notation. That would be the same answer? You...

A- Could be.

252Q-Yes.
A- I don't know.
253Q-okay.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
This is for a draft.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

254Q-Another one for twelve thousand (12,000)... no, I'm sorry, a hundred and twenty-five thousand (125,000)...

A- That would have been...
255Q-... eight o six (806).

A- That could be the condo in Cape Cod.
256Q-That's the condo in Cape Cod.
A- Could be...

Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
257Q-Is it in nineteen ninety-six (1996) that it was...
A- I think so.
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A- It could also be the purchase of the Hayden condo in Fort Meyers, which we arranged to purchase.

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:

258Q-Could that have been a condo for your daughter prior to the acquisition of the Hyannis condo? Rental or acquisition or utilization of some type of condo for her while she was in Hyannis at that time, prior to acquisition by the trust?

A- No, she didn't... prior to moving to Life to that condo she... she actually rented a room. She was at a Grow Program, and then she rented a room.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
Do you have the file... okay, so it's not
there. All right.
259Q-So you don't know what that was used for?
A- Well, if it's U.S., right...
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

260Q-No, it's not U.S. It's Canadian.
A- No, it's Canadian.

261Q-It's Canadian.
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Me NEIL H. STEIN:
262Q-Cheque for a hundred and fifty dollars (\$150.00) to the Royal Bank of Canada. The notation is "re: John Lafave line of credit".

A- That's right. We would have paid off his line of credit for him.

263Q-okay. Who's Jamie Stewart?

A- Jamie Stewart was Kathy's Stewart's daughter. She used to come in and do some work for clients of ours, like go and wash windows or paint. That could have been a...

264Q-Five hundred dollars (\$500.00).

A- ... five hundred dollars (\$500.00), yes.
265Q-okay. Twenty-five hundred dollars $(\$ 2,500.00)$ to Cash. Appears to be endorsed by Debra Stewart and deposited...

A- I think that's my account, 3096...
266Q-Yes.

A- ... yes.
267Q-And deposited to your account.
A- Right.

268Q-Okay. Then there's a series of cheques that appear to be for fees. Those are for fees?

A- Yes.

269Q-Okay. A small cheque for six hundred dollars (\$600.00) to Kathy Stewart.

A- I don't know.

270Q-You don't know, okay. And there appears to be some small expenses paid on behalf of clients. And then
there's a cheque for thirteen thousand three ninetynine $(13,399)$ to Scotia McLeod.

AMr. Menard was with Scotia McLeod. A number of our clients had an... had accounts with him. It could have been a client or it could have been an account that I had there. Don't... don't know. 271Q-Okay. Again there's no notation...

A- Notation.
272Q-... on the memo...

A- $\quad$ No.
273Q-... as to what it's for. A cheque to your corporation for eight thousand two twenty-seven $(8,227)$. The memo is "CDF".

A- I would think that that's a fee from one (1) of our clients. "CDF", I don't know. I don't know if it was Dr. Forrest or... could be.

274Q-Another one for five hundred dollars (\$500.00) to Kathy Stewart. Sorry.

A- No.
275Q-And then a ATM withdrawal...
A- These ones for Kathy Stewart, again, as I said previously, I believe relate to monies that... from... that she had deposited with us from her mother's estate in Chicago, these periodic withdrawals that she's taken.

276Q-All right. And then there's cash withdrawals at the end of that month of ten thousand seven hundred dollars (\$10,700.00).

Okay. June nineteen ninety-six (1996).
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
August. You're going backwards.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
June nineteen ninety-six (1996).
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Okay, sorry.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
277Q-A cheque to the Bank of Bermuda for six thousand nine eleven fifty $(6,911.50)$. I can't read the notation. Perhaps you can just tell us what it is?

A- Well, that's an account set up at the Bank of Bermuda for Vera Dowd.

278Q-Okay. And then another one similar for the same amount for Robert Hausner. The memo stated...

A- Right.
2792-... Robert Hausner.
A- Right.
280Q-Okay. So that would be an account that you set up at the Bank of Bermuda for him, is that it?

A- Yes, that's right.
281Q-Okay. Is there any particular reason that it would
be six thousand nine eleven fifty (6,911.50)? It seems to be a very particular amount.

A- Isn't that the Canadian amount? It may have been... 282Q-I see, it's five thousand $(5,000)$ U.S. That's it, okay. Thank you.

A cheque from the trust account for eighteen thousand two thirty-two $(18,232)$ to Slapper Investments Inc., "re: EJ auto".

AThat would be an auto... one (1) of my cars I bought.

283Q-Okay. Allied Pickfords we covered.
A- I don't know what that Pickford is.
284Q-Okay. And Maxine Jones, fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000.00) July fifteenth (15th), nineteen ninetysix (1996). Again, your wife identified that as being personal and used for personal expenses. Five thousand dollars $(\$ 5,000.00)$ to yourself. That would be personal use money again, I assume?

A- I would assume.
285Q-Ten thousand dollars $(\$ 10,000.00)$ to your wife again, which she identified as personal usage.

A cheque for eleven thousand two ninety-eight
$(11,298)$ to Ma Baie. I presume that's Ma Baie
Construction. Maybe not. A certified cheque.
A- I don't know what that's for.

286Q-Okay.
A- It's not... Ma Baie... Ma Baie... Ma Baie... it was, as you say, a construction company out in the West Island, Ma Baie. They owned... I... I don't think it relates to me at all, but I can't tell you what client it is. I... I know...

287Q-Okay.
A- Yes.
288Q-There's a series of cheques which appear to be for your fees and payable to your corporation. Just identify those.

A- That's right.
289Q-Okay. And then there's a cheque to Guy Lefaivre Imports for four thousand dollars $(\$ 4,000.00)$. What would that relate to?

A- That would be a repayment of funds that he had probably given to us. I think yesterday we talked about Mr. Lefaivre having...

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
290Q-Right.
A- Okay.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
291Q-So this would be a... funds that you were investing or a loan?

A- He... it's one (1) of two (2) things. He... he may
have given us funds to invest for him or to handle for him. Or it may be the repayment of monies from his estate that went into Guy Lefaivre Import. If I recall, Guy Lefaivre Import when Guy died was purchased by a gentleman by the name of Ron Jones, who was in the shoe business as well. By doing that he was able to obtain the quota that was in that shoe business at that time.

292Q-So this would represent what?
A- I would think that just a represent... a representative of monies going back to Guy Lefaivre Import that... that we have handled.

293Q-Okay. A cheque for one thousand three ninety-one $(1,391)$ to Bank of Montreal. No memo on it.

A- No idea.
294Q-Sorry?
A- No idea.
295Q-No idea, okay. Then a cheque for forty-five
thousand zero zero six $(45,006)$ to the Bank of
Montreal for the purchase of a draft.
A- I would think it's for a client, but I have no idea. 296Q-Okay. And again, two (2) cheques to Kathy Stewart. One (1) for five hundred dollars (\$500.00). One (1) for a thousand dollars $(\$ 1,000.00)$. That relates to the same thing, as you mentioned before?

A- This would be relating to, I think, either her mother's monies or monies that she would have... yes, her mother's monies because it went into her account.

297Q-And then a cheque for five hundred dollars (\$500.00) to Cash to "re: Debra Stewart".

AI don't know.

298Q-okay. And then at the end of that month there would be six thousand $(6,000)$ taken out of the account from the ATM machine.

Okay, next month. August/September. Again ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) to your wife, which she's identified as being the monthly amount that she would use for personal expenses.

Another three thousand five hundred $(3,500)$ to your wife, which she identified as personal expenses. And another sixty-five hundred $(6,500)$ to your wife, which she identified as personal expenses.

Okay. Then there are a group again which appear -- cheques -- which appear to be for fees. Take a look at those. Payable to your corporation.

A- Correct.

299Q-Okay. And then Yvon Barrette, "re: John Rozzini".
A- He was a client that...

300Q-Okay.
A- ... as I mentioned to you, Mr. Barrette did work for clients.

301Q-Okay. And then Kathy Stewart again. Three (3)
cheques of two hundred (200), five hundred (500) and five hundred dollars (\$500.00) here to be deposited to her account.

And then for the month of September, cash
withdrawals of fifteen thousand zero seventy-three ninety-seven cents $(\$ 15,073.97)$.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD: No, six thousand (6,000).

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
No, we're down here.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
We've just missed that month.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

I'm sorry.
302Q-Okay, six thousand $(6,000)$.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

No, you were right.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
No?
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD: You were right.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

```
                            Yes, fifteen thousand zero seventy-three
        ninety-seven (15,073.97) is the correct number.
303Q-Okay.
A- M'hm.
304Q-Now, October. Cheque for five thousand (5,000) to
        your wife again, which she identified as personal
        expenses.
            Another cheque to Ma Baie for eleven thousand
        zero seventy-three (11,073). This time it's
        identified as the Hymus II Project.
        Yes. The... going back to... to the eleven thousand
        two ninety-eight forty-three (11,298.43)...
    305Q-Yes.
    A- ... the August first (1st) and this cheque...
    306Q-Yes.
    A- ... they were monies that we loaned the Hymus II or
        Don Stewart to pay the rent. This was his monthly
        rent for the Hymus II, and it was paid to Ma Baie,
        who owned the building.
        307Q-I see.
    A- And that was allocated to Mr. Stewart.
    Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    308Q-Those were loans to Mr. Stewart?
    A- They ended up as loans, yes. And they were repaid.
```

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
3092-How did they end up as loans? In other words...
A- Well...

310Q-... he didn't have any funds on deposit with you, so that loans were being made to him?

A- That's right. That's right. That's right.
311Q-Okay. And he repaid those amounts?
A- The amount was repaid through Mr. Peter Lindsay, who is his brother-in-law. We talked about that yesterday. And in Mr. Lindsay's file you'll see the repayment of those... the rent. It was advanced to Mr. Stewart.

312Q-Okay. But he... those amounts were repaid prior to or after Mr. Lindsay's decease?

A- They were paid prior to, I believe.
313Q-Prior to.
A- Yes.
$314 Q-$ So Mr. Lindsay would have been reimbursing amounts
that were...
A- Yes.
315Q-... owed by his brother-in-law?
A- Yes, yes.
316Q-Okay. And was Mr. Lindsay aware?

A- Fully aware.
317Q-Is there any written document that evidences those
loans?
A- In Mr. Lindsay's... Mr. Lindsay's file there should be documentation.

318Q-Okay. An amount of a hundred and seventeen thousand three fifty-six $(117,356)$ to Scotia McLeod. The notation is "Anna Carol".

A- That would have been an account we set up for Anna CarRoLl. And I would think that monies would have been received from her and transferred to Scotia... Scotia McLeod.

319Q-Cheque for two thousand $(2,000)$ to Kathy Stewart. OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
320 Q-How much money did Kathy Stewart have on deposit with yourself?

A- I think up... I think it was... I would guesstimate
seventy (70) to ninety thousand (90,000), I think.
I think in that area.
Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
321Q-When was it done, these deposits?
A- I really don't know.
322Q-You have an idea?
A- I have no idea when it was done. When her mother...
her mother died.
323 Q -You have no idea...
A- I have no idea. There would be a file for Kathy Stewart and it would be fully indicated in that file.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
324 Q-Okay. Another cheque to Ma Baie for ten thousand nine eighty-two $(10,982)$. That would again be a loan for Don Stewart?

A- That's right.
325Q-Okay. A cheque to your corporation for three thousand four eighteen $(3,418)$. The notation is "Anna Carroll".

A- That would be an invoice. Fee. $3262-$ Fee. Cheque for three thousand dollars $(\$ 3,000.00)$ to Cash. Deposited by Kathy Stewart at the Royal Bank.

A- This may have been for a client.
327Q-Cheque for five thousand seven fifty (5,750). Scotia McLeod. Reference Kathy Stewart.

A- I don't know.
328Q-Now, in November there are two (2) cheques to your wife. One (1) for three thousand five hundred (3,500). Another for seven thousand $(7,000)$, which she's identified as being used for personal
purposes, for you and her and your family.
And then a cheque for two thousand seven eight
(2,78-) . .

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
It's two thousand $(2,000)$ U.S.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
329Q-... two thousand seven eighty-one fifty (2,781.50)
Canadian for the equivalent of two thousand $(2,000)$
U.S. made to your daughter Kim.

A- That would have been funds to Kimberly, yes.
330 -For her personal use?
A- Yes.
331Q-Okay. And then just going through the... the next cheque is one of five thousand $(5,000)$ to yourself. Take a look at it.

OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
332Q-Okay. A cheque for Earl Jones Corp. which appears to be fees, three (3) fees. Small amount.

A- Correct.
$333 Q-0 k a y$. A cheque for thirteen thousand five ninety $(13,590)$ to the Bank of Bermuda. And another one for thirteen hundred and seventy-one dollars and
fifty cents $(\$ 1,371.50)$ to the Bank of Bermuda. The notations on these cheques are, I believe, the names of your clients. Is that correct?

A- That's correct.
334Q-Okay. And those would be deposited at the Bank of Bermuda for their personal accounts?

A- That's right.
335Q-And they were aware of those accounts and opened those accounts?

A- They were.
336Q-Okay. And another cheque for ten thousand eight ninety sixty-four $(10,890.64)$ to Ma Baie. That again would be another loan...

A- That's correct.
337Q-... for Don Stewart?
A- Correct.
338Q-Okay. Then a series of cheques made to your corporation re various clients. You'll identify those, I believe, as being fees. Is that correct?

A- Yes.
3390 -And then at the end of that month there was a total
of twenty thousand two ninety $(20,290)$ withdrawn
from the ATM machine.
Now, December of two thousand (2000)... of
nineteen ninety-six (1996). Two (2) cheques made to
your corporation which appear to be fees. Is that
correct?
A- Yes.
340Q-Okay. A cheque to... a thousand dollars (\$1,000.00)
to your daughter Kristine.
A- Yes... no, just for her personal use.
341Q-All right. Another one to Ma Baie Construction for
ten thousand seven ninety-eight ninety-seven
(10,798.97), re Hymus II.
A- That's right.
342 Q-Is there any particular reason why you wouldn't
indicate "loan Don Stewart" as opposed to "Hymus
II"?
A- No.
Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
343Q-Were you involved in Hymus II personally?
A- As I said yesterday, I owned a quarter of it for, I
think, a year or a year and a half.
$344 Q$-But you were involved in that?
A- Yes.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
$345 Q-$ What year would that have been?
A- The year it was purchased.
346 Q-Do you recall the year?
A- What.. what year is those cheques? Oh, this here
is ninety-six ('96).
347Q-These are ninety-six ('96).
A- I would say it was purchased in late ninety-four ('94) or ninety-five ('95). Probably in ninety-five ('95).

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:

348Q-And you exited when?
A- Probably a couple of years later.
349Q-Okay.
A- It would be... it would be in all Mr. Lindsay's file because...

350Q-What year?
A- I don't know.
3512-A couple of years later?
A- Yes. Ninety-eight ('98)...
352Q-But within one (1) year?
A- ... ninety-nine ('99). I'm not sure.
353Q-And who just were the other partners again?
A- The original partners were Don Stewart and two (2) of his friends, Bob and Rob... I forget their last name, M-C... it's...

354Q-Who's the lawyer who handled this transaction?
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

Hold it. Let him...
A- Steven Hart.

355Q-Okay. A cheque for a hundred and forty-three thousand zero ninety-one $(143,091)$ to Jean-Guy Savard in trust.

A- That would have been the purchase of Mrs. Marlene Pinck's condo, I would think.

356Q-Okay. Who was Jean-Guy Savard? Is this a notary?

A- It'd be a notary, yes.
357Q-Okay. A series of cheques which are made to your corporation which appear to be all fees. Is that correct?

A- Yes.

358Q-Another cheque for ten thousand (10,000) to your wife and another one for thirty-five hundred $(3,500)$ to your wife, which she has again identified as being used for personal purposes.

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
359Q-Do you agree with her that ones she said is personal purposes, it is personal purposes?

A- Yes, I think she probably brought in a book and showed...

360Q-I asked you a question. Do you agree or you don't?
A- Yes.
361 Q-Thank you.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
362 Q-A cheque for one thousand four hundred and seven

```
    dollars ($1,407.00) made to the Caisse Populaire
    Desjardins. And it's marked "BEJ rent".
    A- Oh, this is the condo in Dorval.
    363Q-Okay. And then a cheque for a thousand dollars
        ($1,000.00) to Katherine Stewart. You answer would
        be the same? That's a withdrawal of the fees, is
        that correct... withdrawal of her funds?
        I would think it's from her account, yes.
    364Q-From her account. And then another one re: fees
        Peter Lindsay for five sixty-nine (569) payable to
        your corporation.
        And then you have cash withdrawals from the ATM
        account of eighteen thousand four ninety-eight
        ninety-seven (18,498.97) for that month.
            Now, can you just provide me with the bank
    statements, so that we can identify the cash
    withdrawals from...
    MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    So it starts here at January ninety-six ('96)
    to December ninety-six ('96).
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
            Okay.
    365Q-Now, I show you here the statement, the bank
        statement from the Royal Bank of Canada,
        Beaconsfield branch, to B. Earl Jones In Trust.
```

And. . .

OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
366Q-I'd ask you to take a look at the first statement from the bank and, in particular, the notation where it says "PTB", okay, which is, if we look at the reverse side, "PTB" is personal touch banking, which we are advised are the cash withdrawals from the account.

And you'll see that there are numerous cash withdrawals for January. Our calculation of those cash withdrawals are twenty thousand four hundred dollars (\$20,400.00) in that month.

I'm not asking you to do the addition, but just assume for the moment that the accountants know how to add.

A- I would assume they were cash withdrawals.
367Q-Okay. Who had access to the debit card?
A- I did.
368Q-Only you?
A- Yes.

369Q-Okay.
A- I... I may have... if any other person had access to
it, it would have been Kathy Stewart. Which I, you know, may have given to her to go take out some cash or so on. But basically myself.

370Q-Basically yourself, okay. All right.

OFF RECORD

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
371 Q-How many cards were there? Just one (1)?

A- I believe so, yes.

OFF RECORD

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
372 -For her to use the card, she'd have to have the PIN number.

A- I would have given it to her. 373Q-There's only one (1) PIN number for this card?

A- Yes.
$374 Q-$ And you had that PIN number?

A- Yes.
375Q-And you were the one who used the card all the time?
A- Yes.

OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
$3762-I$ show you here your federal income tax return for the year nineteen ninety-seven (1997), which indicates that you have total income for the year of thirty-four thousand four sixty-four (34,464). Take a look at that. Would you produce that as Exhibit EJ-35...

OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

Okay, so this will be EJ-36.
Exhibit No. EJ-36

OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

377 Q-The first cheque in nineteen ninety-seven (1997) is
one made to Amex Bank of Canada for eighteen
thousand five ninety-four seventy-six $(18,594.76)$.

The notation is "BEJ". That was for payment of your
AMEX account, I presume?
A- I would think so.

378Q-Okay. The next one is to Life Condo for thirteen thousand eight hundred and twenty dollars
$(\$ 13,820.00)$. The indication is it's for your daughter's condo in Hyannis. Would that be correct? Ten thousand $(10,000)$ U.S.

A- I would think so, yes.
379Q-Okay. The next one is to your corporation for eleven thousand three ninety-five $(11,395)$, and it's marked "fees Rison Corporation". Can you tell us what that is about?

AThat would be, I gather, a company that one (1) of our clients owned.

380 -But what would the cheque represent?
A- Fees.
381Q-Fees?
A- Yes.
382Q-What would you have done for the client for...
A- I really don't know. I don't recall the client's name.

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
383Q-Rison, I-S-O-N. Doesn't ring a bell to you?
A- No.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
Looks like Risoh to me, R-I-S-O-H. "Fees Risoh
Corp." Okay.
384 Q -Cheque for three thousand $(3,000)$ to Guy Lefaivre Imports. That again would represent according to
you what?
A-
I... I would assume it's a representing...
representing funds repaid to Mr. Lefaivre, his company.
$385 Q-A$ cheque for one thousand four o seven $(1,407)$ to Caisse Populaire, "re rent". That you've identified as being the payment of your condominium, is that correct, where you were staying?

A- The original condo that we...

386 Q-Right.
A- ... moved into and rented, yes.

387Q-Okay. And then another for the same Caisse Populaire, again identified as rental to the condo for two thousand three hundred dollars $(\$ 2,300.00)$. It would be the same thing?

A- Yes.
388Q-Okay. Two (2) cheques to Lessard Investment for forty-five hundred dollars (\$4,500.00) each. They would have been, I think, interest payments from a loan or funds that were advanced to us from Lessard Investment, which is part of the Dressel Group.

A- Okay.
389Q-And Montreal Trust, "re tax shelter Niocan", thirtyeight thousand nine fifty $(38,950)$ and seventy-nine thousand nine fifty (79,950).

A- Yes, these... this was a flow-through tax shares that were bought for clients that are listed on the back.

390Q-Okay. And then another cheque to Ma Baie. That would represent rentals from... or paid on behalf of Don Stewart, is that correct?

A- Right.
391Q-Golfside Management, John Lafave. Those we know. And then a hundred thousand (100,000)...John Lafave, two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00). That would represent what?

A- That would be just cash for his problems that he had that we would look after.

392Q-Okay.
A- Or he would have deposited to his... he deposited that to his bank account.

393Q-A certified cheque to yourself for a hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00).

A- I don't know what that was for. I think you'd certainly see a withdrawal in my personal account. I put it into my personal account, I think.

394Q-Yes.
A- Yes.
395Q-So that would be a deposit to your personal account not a withdrawal?

A- No, but I think there would have been a payment from my personal account reflecting that. That could have also been monies that I had earned through the sale of... of Clairehaven. I don't know.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
396Q-Why certify the cheque made payable to yourself at that point? proofread

A- Maybe I was making a personal cheque from my... my personal account, so we did a certified cheque so that the cheque would go through. I don't know.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
397Q-okay. And eighteen thousand eight o seven $(18,807)$ in cash withdrawals for the month.

A- I don't know.
398Q-okay. The following month, in February. A certified cheque to Ma Baie Construction for ten thousand six fifteen $(10,615)$. Some of these cheques are certified to Ma Baie. Was there a particular...

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD: They're all...

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
H'm?

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD: They're all certified.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
399Q-They're all certified. Was there a particular reason?

A- Yes, because the... he didn't pay the rent. Well, they knew that he couldn't pay the rent, so they... they basically said, "When you do pay the rent make sure they're certified, if not, we'll throw you out."

400Q-Okay. The series of cheques made to your corporation for fees. Take a look at those.

A- Okay.
401Q-And cheques made to your wife for thirty-five hundred $(3,500)$ and five thousand (5,000), which again she identified as being used for your personal family business.

Was there any reason why the cheques made to your wife are written by yourself, whereas the others are not written by yourself?

A- No, she would just ask for an amount that was required and $I$ would write the cheque.
$402 Q-I$ see. Well, is that the way it worked or you would... was there a sort of a fixed amount between you and your wife...

A- $\quad$ No.
403Q-.. that she would get every month?

```
A- No, there was no fixed amount.
    404Q-So she would prepare a list as to what the needs
        were for the month?
    A- That's correct.
    405Q-Okay. A cheque for twelve thousand (12,000) payable
        to Cash marked "D. Dressel".
    A- That's Mr. Dirk Dressel, is a client of ours, who
        had money with us.
    406Q-Just one second. And deposited by K. Stewart. And
        on the back...
    MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
        Cashed.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    407Q-Cashed by K. Stewart. And on the back it's marked
        a hundred and twenty times one hundred (120 x 100).
        I presume that's the cash. Why would he be getting
        that type of cash?
    A- He travelled a lot. I am not sure. He probably
        requested that payment through Kathy.
    408Q-Why would you be getting cash for him of that
        nature?
    A- Well, because he...
    409Q-That's a large amount of money...
    A- He had...
    410Q-... nineteen ninety-seven (1997).
```

A- He had monies invested with us, and that would have been his interest owing. Some of his interest owing. Again, that would all be documented in the file.

411Q-But why wouldn't you issue him a cheque so that he could get his own cash?

A- Because he would have asked... well, he would have asked for us to give him cash, I guess.
$412 Q-W a s$ there a particular reason why he would have asked you to get him cash or...

A- No.

413Q-You wouldn't have told him that you have an easy way of getting cash?

A- No.
$414 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{No}$ ?

A- No.
415Q-You never mentioned that?

A- No.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

416Q-For such an important sum of money, would he have given a receipt?

A- Quite often, I think... yes, there could be a
receipt. I don't know. Yes, there could be.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
417Q-If you don't know.

```
A- I don't know.
    418Q-Don't guess please.
    A- I don't know.
    419Q-It serves no purpose to guess. Kathy Stewart five
        hundred dollars ($500.00). Same thing, I presume
        your answer would be... or I shouldn't presume. I'm
        starting to be like you. Presumption.
    A- I would think it's from her own funds, yes.
    420Q-Okay. A bill to Visa, Kathy Stewart, again for two
        thousand (2,000).
    A- Don't know.
    421Q-Okay. Kathy Stewart, another five hundred dollars
        ($500.00).
    A- I assume it's her monies.
    422Q-Correct.
    A- And also this Visa bill is probably her monies as
        well, but she... just a cheque was sent directly to
        Visa from her account.
    423Q-okay.
    Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    424Q-Wasn't there a salary supplement also that was paid
    cash or out of the trust account...
    A- There was...
    425Q-... to your employees?
    A- There was some. But I'm not... I don't recall how
```

that... how... how much Kathy would have got. There was to the other girls, yes.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
426Q-Okay. Series of cheques which appear -- to your corporation -- which again appear to be for fees. The names of the clients are marked.

A cheque for twenty thousand dollars $(\$ 20,000.00)$ to your wife and yourself. Deposited to your wife's account, which she has indicated were used for family purposes.

Cheque for five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) payable to Cash. Deposited by Kathy Stewart. That would be used for what?

A- I don't know. May have... might be some of her money that she was withdrawing.

427Q-And then a cheque for the TD Visa, a thousand eight fifty-one ninety-six $(1,851.96)$, re Kathy Stewart.

A- That could be the same thing, her own monies.
428Q-Cheque for Earl Jones Corp. for eleven thousand nine seventy $(11,970)$ marked "re Estate Lorette Tremblay".

A- That would have been a fee.
429Q-That would be a fee. And then there are eight thousand two hundred dollars $(\$ 8,200.00)$ worth of cash withdrawals in that month.

Cheque for eleven thousand three ninety-five fifty $(11,395.50)$ to Centre de la Cité Pointe Claire, marked "first instalment - rental of offices".

A- Well, I would think that would be the... our rent for our office.

430Q-okay. A cheque for twenty thousand $(20,000)$ to your wife.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

431Q-Why would you sometimes make cheques payable to your
wife and sometimes payable jointly to you and your
wife? Is there a reason?

A- No.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

432Q-Cheque to your wife for seven thousand (7,000).
Cheque to Ma Baie, certified cheque for ten thousand
five twenty-three ninety-seven $(10,523.97)$. Don

Stewart again?
A- That's correct.
433Q-And all this while Mr. Lindsay knew that these
cheques were going out?
A- That's correct.
434Q-There are various other small amounts, but then
there's a cheque for five thousand (5,000) to Scotia
McLeod marked "re Pierre Courchesne".

A- I would think we paid his... our RRSP contribution as opposed to paying the fees maybe.

435Q-okay. And then there's two (2) cheques to Scotia McLeod. One (1) for three thousand three fourteen $(3,314)$ on behalf of Carol Lafave. And another one for two thousand $(2,000)$, re Kathy Stewart.

A- That would have been Carol's own money. And Kathy Stewart, we paid her RRSP contribution.

436Q-A cheque of... sorry. OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
$437 Q-I$ have ten thousand $(10,000)$ to McCarthy Tetrault, re Clairehaven. And would you just take a look at the memo, as $I$ can't read it, and tell me what it says? The three (3) names there.

A- Oh, it says Mendelson and Sterman and, of course, Jones, Clairehaven. McCarthy Tetrault, as you know, is a lawyer. I don't know. That may be Jones Henderson. I don't know what the last one is. That... that, $I$ think, is the deposit that was paid to the... to that company, the lawyers to hold the land on Hymus Boulevard. The land was owned by one of the Webster trusts... Webster family.

```
    438Q-The Jones that's referred to there is you?
    A- Yes.
    439Q-Okay. Then there was seventy-six hundred (7,600)...
        well, I'm sorry, there's Guy Lefaivre Imports again,
        thirty-five hundred dollars ($3,500.00). That would
        be?
    A- It'd be repayment of his funds.
    440Q-And then seventy-six hundred dollars ($7,600.00) of
        cash withdrawals for that month.
            Now, the following month, again you have Ma
        Baie for ten thousand four thirty-two thirty
        (10,432.30), certified cheque, Don Stewart. You've
        identified that.
            Thirty-five hundred (3,500) to your wife
        Maxine. And ten thousand (10,000) to yourself and
        your wife. Again, she has identified those as being
        used for personal purposes.
            There are two (2) cheques to the... there's one
        (1) for Cash of six thousand dollars ($6,000.00).
        The memo is "G. Matthew".
    A- That's a client, Gwen Matthew.
    441Q-Okay. Again, there are... there are fairly large
        amounts that seem to be taken out on behalf of
        clients in cash. Is there any particular reason why
        you would be taking out cash on their behalf as
```

opposed to issuing them a cheque and they could get their own cash?

AThey... they in most cases would request... ninety percent (90\%) going back, I guess Kathy was there, that they wanted an amount in cash, which at times they would give to their children or do whatever with it.

442Q-No, I understand.
A- Yes.
443Q-What they would do with it is their business. But what I'm trying to understand is why you seem to be the one withdrawing the cash...

A- Well...
444Q-... as opposed to giving them a cheque where they withdraw their own cash?

A- They requested it. And there's some agreements that you will see where some of the interest is payable by cheque and some is payable by cash at their request.

445Q-You're referring to again bridge loans.
A- Yes.
$446 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{Mr}$. Matthew, did he have a bridge loan with you?
A- This is Gwen Matthew. This is his mother. 447Q-Did she have...

A- And she... yes.

```
448Q-She had a bridge loan...
    A- Yes.
    449Q-... that she was aware of?
    A- Yes.
    450Q-So what was her loan? She was getting paid part
        cash and part by cheque?
    A- Could be. Could be. I don't...
    451Q-Well, I'm not asking you to guess, Mr. Jones.
    A- I would... I would think so.
    452Q-You would think so?
    A- Yes.
    453Q-And she would be the one who was requesting that you
        make payments of her interest in cash?
    A- Yes.
    454Q-How old a woman would she be?
    A- She's now deceased, but when I started working with
        her she would have been fifty (50), fifty-five (55).
        Her sons would have been involved with her financial
        affairs, Brent and David Matthew.
    455Q-And you were preparing the tax returns on her behalf
        or having them prepared?
    A- Her tax returns would have been prepared... I think
        we did them, yes.
    456Q-And would you indicate the cash payment as interest
        received?
```

A- I think we would have, yes. Kathy would... the girls would pull all that together and give it to her accountants, yes.

457Q-So what would be the point in having it in cash?
A- It's what they wanted. Some of them... some of them, I don't... some of them did not report some of the interest and some did.

458Q-Well, I understand it was you that was preparing and giving the information to the various accountants in respect to the tax returns.

A- And the clients were aware of the interest... the information that we were giving to the accountants and were aware of what was being reported and signed their tax returns.

459Q-Now, it seems very -- just one second -- it seems that you have a number of... a great number of cash withdrawals from various accounts. How did you... and the cash that is being withdrawn is not via certified cheques. It's being withdrawn based on cheques which are being deposited uncertified. How did you manage to do that?

A- You mean getting the cash from the banks with uncertified cheques?

460Q-Correct.
A- Because of the balance in our account and second of
all, of the... the banks, as I said yesterday how crazy it sounds, I think they considered us a VIP client.

```
461Q-So they just simply accommodated you...
```

    A- That's right.
    462Q-... is that it?
    A- Right.
    463Q-Now, there's two (2) further cheques made to the
        Bank of Bermuda. One (1) for twenty-five thousand
        \((25,000)\) and one (1) for twelve thousand \((12,000)\).
        The indications are on the bottom. Brent Matthew
        and John Hilton. These would have been payments
        that you were making for what purpose?
    A- They opened up accounts at Bank of... Bank of
        Bermuda in their names.
    464Q-What was the purpose of opening up these accounts in
        the Bank of Bermuda? Was it -- and I'll be quite
        blunt -- was it to hide the fact that interest was
        being earned or investment income was being earned?
    A- No. It... it started really with clients, some
        clients coming to us and been advised by others that
        they should put some money outside of Canada and
        Quebec, in particular, because of the Quebec
        situation.
            And the...
    465Q-This is...
A- ... interest or the interest or the income earned, there were statements issued directly on a regular basis by the Bank of Bermuda to... to our clients. And I think... I think the interest was reported on the tax returns.

466Q-This is in nineteen ninety-seven (1997). I mean...
A- M'hm.
467Q-... the big fear of Quebec from what I recall was in nineteen sixty-seven (1967)... seventy-six ('76).

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD: Seventy-six ('76).

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
468Q-Seventy-six ('76). November fifteenth (15th)... no -- was it then -- anyway, in nineteen seventy-six (1976). So your clients were still fearful in nineteen ninety-seven (1997)?

A- Well, it wasn't only fearful, you know, this client, Brent's a pretty, and John a... they work together. They're pretty astute individuals. And during a conversation that I may have had with them, whether I brought up the fact that you could use the Bank of Bermuda or they suggested or, you know, I'm not too sure. But they...

469Q-Well, you had a... you developed a relationship
through the individual you mentioned yesterday with the Bank of Bermuda, is that correct?

A- Right, but then... then I've dealt directly with the Bank of Bermuda. If a client wanted to open up an account, all the documents were sent to the client. The client completed everything and the statements for their accounts were sent directly to the client.

470Q-Was there any law firm involved or a lawyer involved with respect to the Bank of Bermuda?

A- No.
471Q-Lawyer in Montreal?
A- No.

472 Q-No?
A- No.
473Q-It's just strictly through this individual who you named yesterday?

A- That's how it opened up, yes.
474Q-okay. Okay, there's a further cheque for three
thousand $(3,000)$ to Kathy Stewart. Your answer
would be the same as before?

A- Yes.
475Q-Cheque for five thousand dollars $(\$ 5,000.00)$ to yourself.

A- Assume it was for personal use.
476Q-And then a series of cheques to your corporation
which appear to be for fees as well.
A- Right.
477Q-And then...

A- Correct.
478Q-... cash withdrawals of nineteen thousand six
thirty-two (19,632).

Month of May. There's a cash cheque for one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00). Notation is "Joan and Kathy monthly REM". What does that represent?

A- Joan was an employee of ours. And this may have been the monthly REM, maybe monthly... monthly remittance. I know at times I was paying them some travel time when they used their car to go to banks or go to see clients and so on.

479Q-okay. Again, ten thousand three forty sixty-four
$(10,340.64)$ to Ma Baie. Again that would be Don
Stewart?

A- Right.
480Q-Cheque for thirty-five hundred dollars (\$3,500.00)
to your wife. A cheque for twenty thousand dollars $(\$ 20,000.00)$ to you and your wife. And would you take a look at the notation on this one and tell me what it's about?

A- This is "own funds".
481Q-"Own funds"?

A- Like my own funds.
482Q-Okay. Was that your identification of what you were
taking out on your own behalf from the trust, is
that it?
A- No, I... I was assuming that -- I wasn't assuming -but I had put money into the trust accounts, so I...

I put that on indicating that the money that I put
in the trust account was...
483Q-Was being taken back now?

A- Exactly.
$484 \mathrm{Q}-$ And you kept no record of what you put in and what you took out?

A- No, it's in the trust account.
485Q-Cheque for one thousand five ninety-nine (1,599).
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

Sears.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

Oh, Sears, okay.
A- I don't know. Kathy wrote it, so I assume it was for a client, paying some... something for a client. 486Q-And we're going to skip the small ones so we can move on, but there's a cheque for five thousand six ninety-seven seventy-five $(5,697.75)$ made to your
corporation. Again, re this Rison or Risoh
Corporation. How do you spell that, sir?

```
A- R-I-S... looks like R-I-S... R-I-S-O-N or O-H.
    487Q-Okay. Do you know who Mira Jankovic is? A cheque,
        four thousand six ninety-four (4,694).
    A- Mira Jankovic. I believe she is a client.
    488Q-So this would represent...
    A- And...
    489Q-... what?
    A- Well, it looks like we had received a government
        cheque on her behalf and we're just reimbursing her.
    490Q-okay.
    Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
    491Q-Could that Rison have anything to do with your
        company Terranet? Terranet?
    A- My company Terranet?
    492Q-There was that company involving environmental
    contamination or decontamination...
    A- Oh. Oh yes. Yes.
    493Q-Is that what it is?
    A- I don't know if it was that. Yes, that was... it
        wasn't my company. That was a...
    494Q-What do you mean it wasn't your company? You
        weren't involved in that company?
    A- I was involved in it, yes.
    495Q-You were a partner in it?
    A- It was... could I explain?
```

496Q-Were you a partner?
A- Can I explain?
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

Go ahead.
A- It was a company that I'm not sure, I guess it was fully set up, that I'm guesstimating seven (7) or
eight (8) friends put in a couple of dollars and was sold.

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:

They each put in two dollars (\$2.00), sir?
A- No. They put... I don't know... we put in two
thousand $(2,000)$ or something of this nature.
497Q-Did you put in?
A- I put in, yes.
498Q-And where did the money come from, sir?
A- That money would have come, I think, from my
personal account. I don't know. You'll see it in
my trust account, if it came from there.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
499Q-Okay. A series of cheques made to the government in
respect of Pointevest Holdings Inc. Minister of
Revenue.
A- You know, this was this little company that Mr.
Henderson, Mr. Smith and myself had formed.
500Q-Okay.

A- And never really... never really got off the ground.
501Q-A cheque to David Martin for ten thousand dollars $(\$ 10,000.00)$ marked "personal loan".

A- That was a loan we made to David Martin.
502Q-"We" being?
A- We would have made it from the trust account and allocated it to one (1) of our clients.

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
503Q-What do you mean when you say...
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
504Q-So why... why...
Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
505Q-What do you mean when you say you "allocated it to a client"?

A- Well, we would have... a client would have given us money. And at times I would have discussed with a client, "Another client is looking for ten thousand dollars $(\$ 10,000.00)$. Do you want to loan ten (10)... ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00)?"

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
CPQ-But you wouldn't even know if that client had ten thousand dollars $(\$ 10,000.00)$ in your account at that point in time?

A- There would have been money originally in the account, yes.

507Q-Originally, yes. But you had taken out so much money...

A- Well, I don't know at that time.
508Q-... so you...
A- I just... the money could have come in from a client in the trust account for ten thousand $(10,000)$ and went out to Dave Martin.

509Q-Let's not guess. If you know the answer...
A- I don't know.
510Q-... please say...
A- I don't know.
511Q-... what the answer is.
A- I don't know.
512Q-But let's not guess, all right? The cheque is marked "Dave Martin personal loan", okay. "Advance personal loan", which to me would indicate that the loan is being made by yourself personally to Mr. Martin.

A- It could also have been made by his mother Mary Martin to him.

513Q-Well, why would it be marked "personal loan"?
A- Because it's personal to him.
514Q-Mr. Jones, you're writing the cheque to him. How else could it be other than personal?

A- Well, I'm telling you, that's how we... that's how
we indicated it.

515Q-I see. So when you write a cheque to yourself for
three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00) and you mark "personal", what does that mean? Here's a copy of the cheque.

A- I assume that this was my personal money.

516Q-Your personal money coming out of the trust account?
A- Right.
517Q-Over and above what you had took out, these cash
withdrawals and these...

A- Right.

518Q-... all these payments?

A- Right.

519Q-Fiction of your imagination, correct?

A- No comment.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

520Q-Thirty thousand dollars $(\$ 30,000.00)$ goes a long way.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

521Q-Okay. A cheque for five thousand dollars
(\$5,000.00) to your wife and yourself. Again marked
"personal funds".
A- Right.

522Q-A cheque of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) marked
"Cash" with the memo Pierre Courchesne. What would
that represent?
A-
That could represent his fees being paid to him in cash.

523Q-And why would...
A- Or...
524Q-Yes, okay. Sorry, go ahead.

A- ... it might have been a loan to him. I'm not sure.
525Q-Cheque for five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) payable to Cash, memo "re Dressel".

A- That's cash paid to Mr. Dressel at his request.
526 Q -Cheque of thirty-five hundred (3,500) to your wife and another one for fifteen thousand (15,000) to you and your wife, which your wife has identified were used for personal purposes.

Another cheque of five thousand dollars $(\$ 5,000.00)$ for cash. No indication -- deposited by Kathy Stewart -- no indication as to what this amount represented.

A- I would assume that's her money.
527Q-Again, please don't assume. Cheque of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) payable to Amex Bank of Canada, American Express. Appears to be your account. Will you look at that?

A- Yes.
528Q-Is it yours?

```
A- I agree.
    529Q-Okay. Cheque to Bell Mobility for four hundred and
        seventy-six dollars ($476.00). Do you know what
        that's about?
    A- That's my cell phone.
    530Q-Another one for five thousand (5,000) to AMEX to
        your account again. Take a look at that.
    A- Yes.
    531Q-Okay. And then there were ATM withdrawals of
        seventy-six hundred (7,600) that month.
            July ninety-seven ('97). A cheque for four
        thousand six seventy-three (4,673) to Ma Baie. That
        again was for Mr. Stewart?
    A- Yes.
    532Q-And a cheque for five thousand six ninety-seven
        (5,697) in respect of MacCallum to your corporation.
    A- It would have been a fee.
    533Q-Okay. Now, once we're talking about MacCallum... I
        show you here a document which appears to be the
        Last Will and Testament of Peggy MacCallum, executed
        before Notary David Whitney. Take a look at this
        Will and tell me if you recall as to whether that
        was the Last Will and Testament that you used to
        wind up her estate.
    A- I think it is.
```

```
\LTQ-Okay. Can I see that for a second? Now, that Will
    is dated January fourth (4th), two thousand (2000).
    You'll note in that Will that you are left forty
    thousand dollars ($40,000.00). You are the
    liquidator. And there is an addition to the Will
    dated January fourth (4th), two thousand (2000)
    where you are left what is referred to as "The
    Pilot".
        Is it true to say that "The Pilot" was a Pilot
    painting that you were left?
    A- It is a painting, yes.
    535Q-By Mr. Robert Pilot?
    A- I believe so. I've never had it verified.
    536Q-Okay. Can you tell us what happened with that
        painting?
    A- The painting was in the condo at Dorval.
    537Q-Okay.
    A- And is now held by my wife.
    538Q-I see. That she is using that...
    A- Yes.
    539Q-... you've given her the right to use it?
    A- That's right.
    540Q-Okay. All right. That's the -- so that we're clear
        -- that is the painting that was referred to in
        this...
```

A- Yes.
541Q-Okay. Would you produce a copy of this will as Exhibit EJ-38?

MS. JULIE KORMAN
37.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

Okay. But we're going to...
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
The cheques are going to be 37.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
... produce all the cheques as EJ-37. So we will produce the will as EJ-38.

Exhibit No. EJ-37
Exhibit No. EJ-38

OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
542Q-I show you here a copy of a letter or a memorandum, actually, dated December ninth (9th), nineteen ninety-nine (1999) allegedly from yourself to Notary David Whitney. Can you take a look at this and tell me whether you recall sending that letter to Mr. Whitney?

A- I don't recall sending it, but...
543Q-You don't recall sending it? Well, it was found in the files of the MacCallum estate in your office. I'd ask you to produce it as Exhibit EJ...

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
39.

MS. JULIE KORMAN:
39.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

544Q-... 39. EJ-39.
Exhibit No. EJ-39

OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

545Q-This particular letter refers to a fact that you will be named as... or that there is an amount to be added to the Will to be given to you as a specific bequest.

How old was Mrs. MacCallum at... in December of nineteen ninety-nine (1999); do you recall, approximately?

A- In her mid-seventies (70s), late seventies (70s), I would say.

546Q-okay. And do you recall when she died?

A- I do not. Well, shortly there... I guess maybe a year or two (2) later.

547Q-Years. Was she in good health when she met with you in December of nineteen ninety-nine (1999)?

A- She had all her faculties, yes, yes.
548Q-Other than her faculties, was she in good health?
A- Physically she had a difficult time getting around at times.

DOCQ-Okay. And you were administering her affairs while she was having these difficulties?

A- That's correct.
550Q-Okay. And she had a lot of faith in you, I presume?
A- Yes.
551Q-Okay. And in the end, she ended up leaving you forty thousand dollars (\$40,000.00) plus some other matters, and you administered her estate, that's correct?

ACorrect.

552Q-Okay. Now, getting back to the other cheques. A cheque to your wife for thirty-five hundred dollars $(\$ 3,500.00)$ and another cheque to yourself and your wife for ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00). Again those were personal expenditures your wife explained.

A- Okay.

```
553Q-A cheque to Hart Saint-Pierre for eleven thousand
    eight twenty-four sixty (11,824.60) and another for
    eight thirty-nine ninety-five (839.95), both marked
        "re Hymus II".
    A- That was the legal bills.
    554Q-For Mr...
    A- Stewart.
    555Q-... Stewart?
    A- Yes.
    556Q-A cheque for nine thousand one sixteen (9,116) to
        Appel & Partners, accountants.
    A- I don't know what that is.
    557Q-Okay. And then a cheque to your corporation for
        five thousand six ninety-seven (5,697) marked "fees
        re Clairehaven".
    A- Correct.
    558Q-So those would... would be... can you tell the Court
        approximately... or what was your arrangement in
        terms of fees with respect to the Clairehaven
        project?
    A- I don't recall the... the arrangements per se, other
        than any fees I charged I would have discussed with
        Mr. Henderson and Mr. Smith.
    559Q-And then there are a series of cheques which appear
        again to be for either on behalf of clients, small
```

amounts, one or two hundred dollars (\$100.00 or $\$ 200.00$ ), and the rest, which appear to be fees taken from various estates. Just take a look at those.

OFF RECORD

A- Okay.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
560Q-Just going back to the MacCallum file again. If we take a look at the bequests that are made to you, the specific bequest, it appears to be one (1)... it's marked "The Pilot" painting which, I assume, was a Robert Pilot painting. Which is identified in the appraisal report of Empire Auctioneers as number 10, Robert Wakeham Pilot, "View of a Farm".

A- I...
561Q-That's the painting that you refer to which your wife presently has in wherever she's residing, is that correct?

A- I believe so.
562Q-Okay. Now, there's another painting which is identified as number 4 in the appraisal report as James Lillie Graham, "Château Ramsey". Which as well was found in your apartments... your condo.

A- Right.
563Q-Do you recognize that painting?
A- Yes.

564Q-Okay. In virtue of what did you end up getting that painting?

A- I don't recall.

565Q-You don't recall?
A- No. OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

566Q-I show you here a copy of the estate inventory prepared by V.I. Antiques \& Fine Art Inc. which lists that James L. Graham painting. Was this inventory prepared at your request?

A- I believe it... I believe it was. I see our address on it. In most cases.

567Q-okay.
Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:

568Q-How did that...

A- I can't recall.
569Q-How did that painting end up on your wall?

A- Pardon?
570Q-How did that painting that was in the MacCallum
estate end up on your wall in Dorval?

AI don't know. I think it... I may have accepted that as a... as a gift from her. What occurred -and I don't recall the names of her nieces -- but prior to her death she had asked her nieces and myself to come to her house and gave them a lot of furniture and gave them a lot of articles and... and asked if I wanted stuff, which I... I never took from clients or never accepted. But I think that is a painting that was given to me over and above the one in her Will.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

571Q-But it was listed on the inventory that you did of the estate.

A- Right.
572Q-So how... why would you have listed it on the inventory of the estate if she had given it to you prior to...

A- It would have been... it would have been left at... left at the house and I would have just told him to list whatever's in the house or the apartment, 4300 .

573Q-August of ninety-seven ('97). A cheque to Guy Lefaivre Imports. Again that's, I presume, the same thing, you're repaying him?

A- Right.

```
574Q-Cheque for five thousand (5,000). Again the memo
    was Dressel.
    A- Right.
    575Q-You were obtaining the cash for him. Kathy Stewart
        deposits it. Another cheque for six hundred dollars
        ($600.00) marked "Cash"... yes, "Cash" "re Dirk
        Dressel".
    A- It's the same person, yes.
    576Q-Same thing.
        OFF RECORD
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    577Q-Mr. Jones you just said just prior to this you
        believe that Mrs. MacCallum died when?
    A- Well, what was the date of that inventory? I
        don't...
    578Q-The inventory...
    A- I don't know, you know...
    579Q-Well, I... you said, if my memory is correct,
        approximately a year or so after the execution of
        this Will, is that correct, that she was in good
        health?
    A- I don't know.
    580Q-You don't know?
```

```
A- No.
    581Q-Okay. I show you here a copy of an Act of Death...
    A- Right.
    582Q-... from the Quebec government.
    A- Right.
    583Q-Marion Fenwick Wilkinson, was that her maiden name?
    A- I believe so.
    584Q-Okay. As appears on the Will. The date and time of
        death noted by the Quebec government is January
        sixteenth (16th), two thousand (2000). This Will
        was executed on January fourth (4th), two thousand
        (2000).
            You told us that she was in good health, had
        her mental capacity. What did she die of; do you
        know?
    A- I don't know.
    585Q-Okay. You don't find it strange that she's in good
        health and several days later she dies? Is it
        possible that she wasn't in such good health?
    A- No, I don't think the notary would have taken...
    586Q-That's not my question, whether the notary would
        have executed the Will.
    A- No...
    587Q-I'm talking about from your perspective. You seemed
        to be...
```

A- My perspective...
588Q-... administering her affairs...
A- My perspective, she would...

589Q-Let me finish the question first. You were administering her affairs. You knew her affairs. You send a letter on December nineteenth (19th) to the notary in respect of changing her will. The Will is executed on January fourth (4th), two thousand (2000) and she dies on January sixteenth (16th), two thousand (2000). And you're telling us she was in good health?

A- I'd said to... I said she had all her faculties, meaning I believed mentally she was in good health. She had a difficult time getting around physically.

590Q-Do you know whether she was receiving any assistance, health assistance from any professionals?

A- Her... some friends and relatives would help her.
And. . .

591Q-Was she seeing any doctors at the time?

A- Well, yes, she had doctors. I can't recall. She was very involved with the Royal Victoria Hospital, the MacCallum family. And when she did have medical problems, she was always... went to the Royal Victoria Hospital.

I don't... I don't know. She certainly had doctors. Couldn't answer who they were or...

592Q-Will you produce this Death Certificate as Exhibit EJ-39.

MS. JULIE KORMAN:
40.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
593Q-I'm sorry, EJ-40. Do you have a copy?
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

No, we'll make a copy.
Exhibit No. EJ-40

OFF RECORD

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

594Q-Okay, let's move on here. Okay. I show you here another cheque to your wife and yourself for five thousand (5,000). Another one for thirty-five hundred dollars $(\$ 3,500.00)$ to your wife. Those again were identified as personal usage.

A cheque for six hundred dollars (\$600.00) to
Lessard Investments. You've identified that was for
Clairehaven project, correct?

A- No, that was... that was Lessard Investments.
That's Mr. Dressel's account.

595Q-Well, the reference is Clairehaven on the cheque.
A- Okay. So that... I mentioned earlier that the group for Clairehaven borrowed some money, and they would have borrowed some from Claire... from Lessard and Investments.

596Q-Another cheque for four thousand six seventy-three $(4,673)$ to Don Stewart for Hymus II. That would represent what?

A- I think repairs to the brasserie.
597Q-Okay. Cheque to the Bank of Montreal for three thousand one seventy-six $(3,176)$.

A- No idea.
598Q-Another cheque to the Bank of Montreal for two thousand three o four $(2,304)$. No endorsement on the back of either of these cheques.

A- No, no idea. I would think they were used to buy drafts for clients. But $I$ would assume there would have been something on the back.

5992-Another cheque for six thousand dollars ( $\$ 6,000.00$ )
payable to "Cash". Deposited by Kathy Stewart. Or cash withdrawn by Kathy Stewart.

A- That could have been her own monies. I don't know. 600Q-Cheque for four thousand four sixty-two $(4,462)$ to the Royal Bank Visa. Take a look and see if that's your Visa that's being talked about.

A- I don't know if this is my account number.
601Q-I cannot identify that as being either yours or somebody else's. Would there be any particular reason that you would pay somebody's Royal Bank Visa out of the blue?

A- Yes.

602Q-What would that be?
A- Clients come in sometime and said they owe... they owe money, "And I'm leaving town. Can you pay it?" or "Would you pay it directly?"

603Q-Okay. Various cheques payable to Hart Saint-Pierre. The first one is marked "Earl Jones personal", eight hundred and seventy-seven dollars (\$877.00).

A- I don't know. I think this relates to his work on the Hymus. He did work for the Hymus and did work for the Clairehaven and also...

604 Q-Okay. Another one to Hart Saint-Pierre marked Clairehaven...

A- That's it.
605Q-... for a thousand seven fifteen (1,715).

A- Right.
606Q-Another one for the Golf Development, marked six
thousand zero thirty-eight (6,038).

A- Right.
607Q-And a cheque for twenty-three thousand zero eleven

```
    (23,011) to Scotia McLeod, memo Lynn Grant, I
    believe it says.
    A- She's a client that has an account there...
    608Q-okay.
    A- ... certainly.
    609Q-And then a cheque to the Royal Bank of Canada for
        two hundred and ten thousand six fifteen (210,615).
        That we've already identified as being the... the
        one which purchased the bank draft, I believe, for -
        - is that the one -- for ninety-seven ('97), July
        seventeenth (17th), ninety-seven ('97) to purchase
        the bank draft...
    Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
        Yes, the bank draft for the condo.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    610Q-... the condo in Hyannis.
    Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
        Hyannis.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    611Q-And then a cheque for five hundred dollars ($500.00)
    cash. Again cashed by Kathy Stewart. And cash
    withdrawals of eight thousand seventy-three dollars
    and forty-seven cents ($8,073.47).
        Okay. Now, August... cheque to Notary Samuel
    Gaskin In Trust for twenty-eight thousand six
```

thirty-five $(28,635)$. Do you know what that represented?

AThat's for David Martin. That was money advanced by his mother Mary Martin when David bought a house.

612Q-Okay. A cheque for one thousand dollars ( $\$ 1,000.00$ ) to Queens University, marked "re Kristine Jones".

A- That would have been her fees.
613Q-Cheque for twenty thousand dollars $(\$ 20,000.00)$ to your wife and/or yourself. Again identified by your wife as being used for personal purposes.

A cheque to Computer Centre for three thousand five seventy-nine $(3,579)$. Note "re Kristine Jones". I presume that's for computer equipment for your daughter. Is that correct?

A- Right.
614Q-A cheque to Elizabeth Edwards, Trustee for a
thousand eight twenty-eight sixty-three $(1,828.63)$
U.S., re your daughter Kim.

A- Relates to the condo.
615Q-That's for the condo. Another one to the same trustee for five hundred dollars (\$500.00). Relates to the condo, I presume.

A- Yes.
616Q-Okay. A cheque to the Bank of Montreal for seven thousand seventy-three $(7,073)$, "re David Stanbury".

```
A- That would be a distribution from his mother's
    estate, Valerie.
    617Q-Okay. A cheque to Visa, marked "re B. Earl Jones",
        one thousand twenty-seven seventy-three (1,027.73).
        That would be your personal Visa?
    A- Yes.
    618Q-Cheque to the Bank of Montreal for twenty-one
        thousand fifty-eight (21,058), "re Karen"... I can't
        make it out.
    A- Karen Sadler.
    619Q-Sadler, okay.
    A- That's money advanced to Karen from her mother.
    620Q-Okay. A cheque to Visa again for four thousand one
        twenty-three (4,123), marked "re B. Earl Jones".
        That's your Visa?
    A- Yes.
    621Q-Okay. A cheque to Marlene Jones for thirty-five
        hundred (3,500). She's identified. A cheque to
        Bell Mobility for three sixty (360), re yourself.
        That's your cell phone. Is that correct?
    A- Yes.
    622Q-Cheque to "Cash" for a thousand dollars ($1,000.00).
        "Monthly cash J and K".
    A- That would have been Joan and Kathy at the office.
    623Q-Okay. A cheque to Cynthia DiMarco for twenty
```

thousand $(20,000)$.
A- That's a distribution from her father's estate.
624Q-Okay. Cheque Amex Bank of Canada for fifteen hundred dollars ( $\$ 1,500.00$ ), marked re your account at Amex Bank.

A- Okay.
625Q-A cheque for two thousand dollars $(\$ 2,000.00)$ to Amex Bank of Canada, marked again re yourself.

A- Okay.
626Q-A cheque to Patrick Mills for two thousand fourteen dollars $(\$ 2,014.00)$. What does that represent?

A- I don't know.
627Q-Maybe. . .
A- Her name come up before, I think. No, I don't recall.

628Q-Okay. Cheque to the Bank of Montreal for thirteen
thousand nine ninety $(13,990)$, marked re Karen, I
believe it's Sadler.
A- Same. It's...
629Q-Okay.
A- ... money from her mother's... Douglas.
630Q-Okay. Cheque to Kristine Jones for two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00).

A- It's a personal...
631Q-Right. A cheque to Bank of Bermuda for three
thousand two eighty-seven $(3,287)$, "re Gilardi".
A-
That would have been an account that he opened up.
107Q-Okay. Another one for Bank of Bermuda for twentyfive hundred $(2,500)$ U.S., "re Andreas T."

A- That would have been an account of Sonia, yes.
6332-Another one for three thousand $(3,000)$, Bank of Bermuda, "re Adelaide Richter".

A- Same thing.
634Q-And another one to Teasdale Associates Inc., three hundred and sixty-six (366), marked "re Kim", U.S. That would be for your daughter as well, correct?

A- I guess so, yes.
635Q-Okay. Another one's three thousand one forty
$(3,140)$ in cash withdrawals. Okay.
Now, October. Again five thousand dollars
$(\$ 5,000.00)$ to your wife. She's identified. A
thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) cash, marked "re John
Lindsay". K. Stewart. And all these... sorry.
A- That would have been Peter Lindsay's brother when he visited Peter from England.

636Q-Okay. In all these cases where the individual's
name is marked you're absolutely positive that they
received the cash?
A- Definitely.
637Q-And you took no receipts from them?

A- There would be some receipts, but in general, no. 638Q-Cheque to the Bank of Montreal, six thousand nine sixty $(6,960)$, marked re... I can't make out the name.

A- I don't recall the name. I would... certainly a client, but I don't recall the name.

639Q-okay. Cheque for the Bank of Bermuda for a thousand dollars (\$1,000.00), marked "re Vicky Gullas".

A- Gullas.

640Q-Gullas, yes.
A- That was an account she opened up.

641Q-Account also. Cheque for a thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) cash, "re K. Stewart", deposited by her. Again that's what?

A- That would be, I believe, her own monies.
642Q-Cheque to yourself for five thousand dollars $(\$ 5,000.00)$.

A- That's right. Personal.
643Q-Personal. Bank of Bermuda for a thousand (1,000), "re Ara Balian".

A- Ara Balian. That would have been an account he opened up.

644Q-Okay. Cheque for thirty-five hundred $(3,500)$ to your wife, which she's identified. Another one for ten thousand $(10,000)$ to your wife, which she's
identified.
Cheque for eleven thousand three ninety-five $(11,395)$ for Centre de la Cité, "re Earl Jones..." Take a look at that and tell me if you recognize that.

A- That would have been relating to... that would have been relating to a move in the building that we're in from one office to the other.

645Q-That would be construction costs or...
A- No, a combination of construction and the rent.
646Q-Okay. Cheque to Don Stewart for four thousand six seventy-three $(4,673)$. That again would be a loan?

A- Yes, that would be relating to the Hymus, yes.
647Q-Bank of Montreal, fifty-five thousand (55,000), "re
K. Sadler". That would be what?

A- That's an advance from her mother, Joyce Douglas.
648Q-Cash of a thousand dollars (\$1,000.00), "advance Joan and Kathy".

A- M'hm.
6492-Joan and Kathy, sorry.
A- Yes, the girls at the office.
650Q-Earl Jones Corporation, "re the MacCallum Estate",
five thousand six ninety-seven seventy-five
$(5,697.75)$. That would be what? Fees?
A- That would be a fee, yes.

```
651Q-Bank of Montreal, five thousand six fifteen (5,615).
    I can't make out the notation.
A- That's Margaret Davis.
652Q-Okay. Margaret Davis. Bank of Bermuda for three
    thousand (3,000) U.S., M. Davis. Same thing?
A- Right.
653Q-Riverview School, five thousand (5,000) U.S. That
    would be for your daughter?
A- Yes.
654Q-It's marked "donation", actually. Is that correct?
A- Yes.
655Q-And then another one for three hundred (300.00)
        U.S., marked "donation" again. For the same
        Riverview School?
    A- Yes.
    656Q-And then a cheque for twelve thousand four fifty
    (12,450) U.S. to Living Independently Forever Inc.
        Again that's vis-à-vis your daughter, is that
        correct?
    A- Yes.
    657Q-Okay. Then there's cash for the Dressel... for D.
    Dressel, thirty-five hundred dollars ($3,500.00).
    A- Right.
    658Q-And there's membership fee on behalf of John Lafave
    at the Royal Montreal Golf Club.
```

```
A- Correct.
    659Q-That would be for his...
    A- That's correct.
    660Q-Okay. And a thousand dollars U.S. ($1,000.00 US) to
        Cape Cod Community College, re your daughter,
        Project Howard, I believe it is.
    A- Project... Project Forward.
    661Q-Project Forward.
    A- Yes.
    662Q-Okay. And then a cheque to yourself and your wife
        for fifteen thousand (15,000). And another one to
        yourself for a thousand dollars ($1,000.00).
            And cash withdrawals of three thousand one
        forty (3,140).
    MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
        That's November.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
        Okay.
    663Q-Now, November. There's cash of five thousand
    (5,000). Again re this Dirk Dressel.
    A- Right.
    664Q-Again that's for him?
    A- Yes.
    665Q-A thousand dollars ($1,000.00) to Kristine Jones.
        Your daughter?
```

```
    A- Right.
    666Q-Personal usage. Republic National Bank of New York,
        four hundred and thirty-six dollars ($436.00). Know
        what that would that represent?
    A- It says... it says Tim Clark, I think, doesn't it?
    6 6 7 ~ Q - ~ T i m ~ C l a r k , ~ c o r r e c t . ~ " I n t e r e s t ~ t o ~ O c t o b e r ~
        thirty-first (31st), ninety-seven ('97)".
    A- Well, Tim's the son of one (1) of our... one (1) of
        Our clients, Mrs. Clark. So I guess she made a
        payment for him.
    668Q-Okay. Amex Bank of Canada, five thousand (5,000),
        re your account.
    A- Okay.
    669Q-Cash of six thousand (6,000), re G. Matthew.
    A- Right. That was her monies.
    670Q-Okay. A cheque to yourself for seventy-five hundred
    dollars ($7,500.00)... yourself and your wife, I'm
        sorry, for seventy-five (7,500). And a cheque to
        your wife for thirty-five hundred (3,500).
    A- Right.
    671Q-Cheque to Hart Saint-Pierre for four thousand four
    eighty-three (4,483), re Clairehaven.
    A- Correct.
    672Q-Cheque to yourself for... your corporation, rather,
        for eleven thousand three ninety-five (11,395), "re
```

estate McMaster". That would be fees?

A- Yes.
673Q-Okay. Cheque for a hundred dollars U.S. (\$100.00

US) to Kim Jones, "re Project..." I'm sorry, not to
Kim Jones, but to Cape Cod Community College, "re

Project Forward". On behalf of your daughter,
correct?
A- Right.

674Q-Cheque to the Royal Bank Visa for three thousand five seventy-eight $(3,578)$. Know what that's about?

A- Well, there's a memo down here would be the card number, whose ever card that was.

675Q-Okay. Cheque to Life Condo Trust for three hundred and six dollars U.S. (\$306.00 US). Again I presume it's for your daughter. Correct?

A- Right.
676Q-A cheque for one thousand seven forty $(1,740)$ U.S. to Life Condo Trust. I guess that also is for your daughter?

A- Right.

677Q-A cheque to Hourik Koujayan Lonergan, one thousand
five hundred dollars (\$1,500.00).
A- I don't know.

67Q-Okay. Cheque to Scotia McLeod for two thousand
dollars (\$2,000.00), re Kristine.

```
A- Right.
    679Q-That would be for your daughter?
    A- Yes.
    680Q-And a cheque for cash of two thousand dollars
        ($2,000.00), re Gordon, I believe it says.
        Yes, Frankie Gordon. And that was her monies.
    MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    December.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    681Q-And that month there was seven thousand nine
    seventy-two thirty-eight (7,972.38) taken out in ATM
        withdrawals.
        Next month is November.
    MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
        December.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    682Q-December, I'm sorry. Nine thousand dollars
        ($9,000.00) to Amex Bank of Canada. The memo, it's
        re yourself. That would be your personal...
    A- I would think so.
    683Q-Okay. Five thousand dollars ($5,000.00) to Enervest
        FTS Management, re C. Lafave. That would be what?
    A- That was an investment... a flow through investment
        bought for our client.
    684Q-Okay. Small amount. Then there's a cheque for
```

thirty-five hundred $(3,500)$ to your wife. And one to you and your wife for fifteen thousand $(15,000)$. Again those have been identified by your wife. A cheque to Notary Hébert for five thousand dollars $(\$ 5,000.00), ~ " r e ~ c o n d o ~ D o r v a l " . ~ T h a t ' s ~ t o ~$ purchase which condo; do you recall?

AI don't recall.

685Q-But it's marked "BEJ condo Dorval". So I presume it's one (1) of the condos that...

A- Right.
686Q-... were purchased for your benefit, correct?
A- Right.
687Q-Cheque for... to Kathy Stewart for three thousand four forty-nine thirty $(3,449.30)$, marked "re M. Horwath."

A- That, I believe, is her sister.
688Q-Okay.
Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
689Q-Whose sister is that?
A- Kathy Stewart. I think that's her sister. When the mother died... her mother died in Chicago and the husband...

690Q-What was the name of your daughter's husband in
Boston? His last name?
A- Joey Herwitz.

```
691Q-Herwitz?
    A- Herwitz, yes.
    692Q-We're not talking about the same person?
    A- No.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    693Q-Okay. A cheque for cash of six thousand dollars
        ($6,000.00), marked "re Fisher and Pierre".
    A- I don't know what that would be for.
    694Q-Okay. A cheque for ninety-four thousand six eighty-
        three (94,683) to the Bank of Montreal, notation
        Earl Jones.
    A- Is this listed on this here?
    695Q-I'm sorry?
    A- Is this listed on the... guess so. Oh, I see, yes.
        I don't know. There was a draft made. It may have
        been for a client. I don't know.
    696Q-Okay. Cheque to cash for a thousand four forty-five
        fifty (1,445.50), again marked re Dirk Dressel.
    A- Right.
    6:Q-So he would have received the cash?
    A- Yes.
    698Q-Cheque to Enervest FTS Management, re Bevan Jones.
        That's your brother?
    A- Yes. These are all... you can see all the cheques
        for clients that bought this Enervest...
```

```
699Q-They're all the same. These are...
A- Yes. Yes.
700Q-This is a flow through investment...
A- Yes.
701Q-... for these clients?
A- Yes.
702Q-Okay. And then cash withdrawals of ten thousand
    nine forty-one twenty-three (10,941.23) for total
    amounts to your benefit and your wife in that year
    of seven hundred and seventy-seven thousand five
    twenty-four (777,524) with an income declared of
    thirty-four thousand dollars ($34,000.00). The
    difference, or a good chunk of the difference,
    obviously, was funds that didn't belong to you,
    correct?
    A- Yes.
    703Q-Okay. Now, we can do the same exercise for each and
        every year. I don't think it serves any further
    purpose.
    Mr. GILLES ROBILLARD:
        No, I think we have... we have the picture.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    704Q-We have the general picture.
    A- You had mentioned that I'd be getting a package of
        documents, is that correct?
```

```
705Q-When... what we will do is we're going to give all
    the exhibits to the stenographer...
A- Yes.
706Q-... and she will eventually prepare a copy of all
    the exhibits which will go into the transcript of
    the evidence that is being given today. It will be
    typed up in written form with these, as the exhibit
    at the end...
    A- Okay.
    707Q-... exhibits at the end.
    A- So I agree, it's probably not necessary to go
    through the next five (5) or ten (10) years, but
        then they would be included in the package, is that
        correct?
    708Q-No, they won't be. They're not exhibits.
    A- Just what we went through?
    709Q-Just what we went through.
    A- Okay. Can I ask a question in general or...
    710Q-Just... if you want, just off the record, unless you
    want it on the record for some particular reason.
    A- No.
    711Q-Okay, so just off the record for a second.
    OFF RECORD
```

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
712Q-Okay. We just have a couple of more questions and then we're finished. Okay. Mr. Jones, do you recall in the beginning of January two thousand and nine (2009) ever requesting any of your clients to make out cheques to their personal name as opposed to cheques that they would make out to your name? I do not recall.

713Q-Do you recall having Claire Foley make out a cheque for the sum of ten thousand dollars $(\$ 10,000.00)$ to her name from her own account and do you recall her giving you that cheque?

A- I do not.
714Q-Do you recall getting a cheque from Jack Jones Holding Inc. for seventy-six thousand dollars $(\$ 76,000.00)$ made out to Jack Jones Holding Inc. in February of o nine ('09)?

A- I do.
715Q-And why would that cheque be made out to the person that was writing the cheque?

A- Jack Jones is my brother, as you probably know...
716Q-Yes.
A- ... and he was dissatisfied with the account at RBC Dominion Securities and wanted to open up a separate... the Royal Banks here, I think it's
called a Royal Bank Direct Investment Account, in the name of Jack Jones Holdings.

And in his file you will see documentation that was... Debra handled this. It was received from the bank completed. And there was incorrect... the original documents were incorrect because it was on a personal basis as a holding company. And the account never got opened.

717Q-But what happened with the cheque?
A- The cheque was deposited to our in trust account.
$718 Q-W a s$ it you that would have requested that he make the cheque out to his own company as opposed to Earl Jones In Trust?

A- No. He made it out to his own company because the monies came from his Jack Jones Holding account at RBC Dominion Securities. And I would... I would think he would have talked to his accountant Richard Venner.

719Q-And you would just... was it you who just endorsed the cheque and paid it over to...

A- With his... with his approval. In his file you'll see there's a notation of... and Debra, if you had asked her, he would... she would have said that Jack had said, "Sign the cheque."

720Q-I see. Okay. Now, you... going back to the

MacCallum estate. I show you here a letter dated September fifteenth (15th), nineteen ninety-eight (1998) addressed to you... sorry, signed... sorry. Made out by you to Notary Whitney, David Whitney.

```
A- Yes.
```

721Q-Requesting that Mrs. MacCallum's Will be changed. That you be named a specific beneficiary of thirty thousand dollars $(\$ 30,000.00)$. Do you recall sending that letter?

A- I don't recall, but...
722Q-You don't recall. This letter, you state the following:
"Mrs. MacCallum is very anxious to
have this Will put in place, as she
has suffered some health setbacks." So you were aware. This is in late nineteen ninetyeight (1998) that Mrs. MacCallum had suffered health setbacks.

A- As I said, physically she had. She had fallen in the bathroom. And physically she had a very difficult time in getting around.

723Q-And that's what you refer to as the "health
setbacks"?
A- That's correct.
724Q-The falling was a health setback?

A- That's correct.
725Q-We'll produce this letter as Exhibit EJ...

MS. JULIE KORMAN:
41.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

726Q-... 41. Thank you.

Exhibit No. EJ-41

727Q-I don't have any further questions.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

Me neither.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

728Q-We don't have any further questions for the moment. Thank you very much, Mr. Jones.

A- Thank you very much. SUSPENDED
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