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In the year of our Lord two thousand and nine (2009) on this seventh (7th) day of December, PERSONALLY CAME AND APPEARED:

EARL JONES, born June 24th, 1942, having an address at c/o Jeff Boro, 500 Place d'Armes, Suite 2350, Montreal, Province of Quebec, WHO, after having solemnly affirmed, doth depose and say as follows:

EXAMINATION BY Me NEIL H. STEIN
on behalf of the Trustee:

1Q- Good morning, Mr. Jones.
A- Just if I may, can I make a couple of comments
before I start? I have been advised to --

2Q- Just let me - before you start, just let me say what I have to say, and then you can say what you have to say, alright.

Mr. Jones, I see that you are not represented by a lawyer in respect of this Examination, and I have been in touch with Me Boro on your behalf, who has advised me that you either do not want or cannot afford or don't need a lawyer present at the present

Examination.
That having been said, the trustee is a Court appointed officer, and I have been advised by your legal counsel, your criminal legal counsel, that you wish to make certain representations. Go ahead.

A- Well, I'd like to be protected under the Canadian Evidence Act and the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act. And I'm here to cooperate fully. However, as you said, I do not have legal counsel, and I will answer all the questions to the best of my abilities, but there may be some which I may have difficulty in doing, and may want to defray them until I talk to my legal counsel. Mr. Boro has said to me that if I'm in a situation where $I$ don't know or don't understand what's happening is to communicate with him. So...

3Q- Alright, well, we'll proceed --
A- I'll do the best I can.
4Q- Okay.
A- Yes.
5Q- I appreciate that. We will proceed question by question. If there is any question which you don't understand and you want me to attempt to explain it to you or repeat it, please feel free to say so. Mr. Jones, could you please give us a little bit of
the - your history in terms of your educational background?

A- Educational background, high school at Westdale High School in N.D.G., and then $I$ went on to Sir George Williams University at night for some, I think, seven (7) or eight (8) years and never completed my degree. And, originally, I was working with Montreal Trust Company for some sixteen (16) years, and took numerous courses in financial, other administration or planning over those years.

6Q- So, the courses that you would have taken at Sir George Williams University were in the commerce faculty or --

A- Yes.
7Q- In the commerce faculty, all with a view to obtaining a degree in commerce, $I$ presume. Yes.

8Q- And you stated that they were primarily with respect to was it finance or administration or --

A- It was a combination of administration and economics, finance, yeah.

9Q- Okay, you stated that you took those courses at night. So, I presume that, during the day, you were working. Is that correct?

```
10Q- Is that the first place where you started to work?
A- Yes, yes.
11Q- Okay, can you explain to the Court what - first of
        all, how long you were at Montreal Trust, and then,
        secondly, what was the nature of your position or
        positions at Montreal Trust?
        I was there for some sixteen (16) years. And over
        the sixteen (16) years, I worked probably at about
        eight (8) to ten (10) different divisions either as
        manager or work in the administration, and that
        included estates and trust administration and
        investment management, portfolio investment
        management. I was manager of the bank, oversaw the
        investment funds, manager of business development,
        estate will planning, manager of the mortgage
        department.
    12Q- Okay, now, first of all, what period of time was
        that?
    A- That was from - deduct thirty (30) years from what -
        what's today?
    13Q- Today is --
    A- '09, so, thirty (30) --
    14Q- December, 2009.
    A- Thirty (30) years from '09 would be...
```

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
' 79.
A- '79, less sixteen (16) from there.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
15Q- So, you left Montreal Trust --
A- I was eighteen (18). I'm sixty-seven (67) years of age, and I was eighteen (18) when I started at Montreal Trust.

16Q- Okay, and you left Montreal Trust when?
A- I left Montreal Trust some thirty (30) years ago.
17Q- And when you left Montreal Trust, what position did you occupy at Montreal Trust?

A- I was manager of the mortgage department for eastern Canada.

18Q- Okay, and, prior thereto, you stated that you had worked in the trust and estate administration.

A- I started off in the banking area, and then went up into estate administration, and then into investment portfolio and management, and then became manager of the savings department and business development department, which included estate planning, and ended up at - in the mortgage department.

190- When you said you worked in the bank part of Montreal Trust, what exactly did you mean by that?

A- Montreal Trust had a savings division, banking
savings division, on the main floor in Place Ville Marie, and I was the manager of the bank.

20Q- Okay, and why did you leave Montreal Trust?
A- I had an opportunity at London Life Insurance, which I went and spent a couple of weeks and really didn't like it. I thought it would be a better opportunity for me. And then, from there, I was hired by Guaranteed, Guaranteed Trust up in Ottawa, and spent six (6) months there.

And as a result of personal family problems, the birth of our babies and my wife's sickness and problems in selling the house in Montreal, I came back to Montreal and started a business in will planning, estate and will planning.

21Q- Okay, when you were at Guaranteed Trust, what was your function at that time?

A- I was going to be assistant manager of the Ottawa office under Mr. David Bolder.

22Q- And the primary business of Guaranteed Trust was what in Ottawa?

A- It was similar to Montreal Trust, but it was at a smaller scale. They did estate and trust work and in a bank, basic trust.

23Q- Alright, so, you said that, six (6) months later, you came back to Montreal, and you started your own
business.
A- Right.
24Q- When you started your own business, did you start it in your own name, or was it in the name of a corporation?

A- It was, I believe, a registered company, Earl Jones \& Associates.

25Q- When you say a registered company, in other words, you were operating under that trade name.

A- That's right.
26Q- Okay.
A- I don't think it was incorporated, you know.
27Q- Okay, well, for purposes of the record, I'll show you here what is referred to as the CIDREQ registration in Quebec, which shows that the corporation Earl Jones - in French, it's La Corporation Earl Jones, conseiller administratif, which is the incorporated company, was incorporated on May 16th, 1984. I'll show you here --

A- That was the - that's - that was the company that exists today. Is that correct?

28Q- That's correct, yes.
A- Okay, before that, there was a company which, I believe, was not incorporated. It was called Earl Jones \& Associates.
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    29Q- Correct, that's --
    A- Yeah.
    30Q- That's the distinction --
    A- And then, there was a new company formed, Earl Jones
        Consultant and Administration Corporation.
    31Q- Correct, and I will show you here and we'll produce
        as Exhibit P-1, which is, as I say, the CIDREQ - not
        P-1, but EJ-1, the CIDREQ registration for the
        second company.
    A- Right.
    32Q- Okay, that's the one which exists today.
    A- It did exist. Is it?
    33Q- It still exists. It's bankrupt, but it still
        exists.
    A- Okay.
    34Q- Would you produce that as Exhibit EJ-1? And if you
        don't mind, we'll just put all the Exhibits right in
        the centre, so that the Stenographer can take copies
        of them. Okay, now, when you first started in
        business under your own name prior to incorporation,
        can you tell the Court where you opened up your
        accounts, your bank accounts, I'm referring to?
    A- When I first started?
    35Q- Yes.
    A- The National Bank - National Trust on the corner of
```

Crescent and Sherbrooke.
36Q- Okay, and at a point in time, you opened up an account - alright, let me rephrase that. Where, at the time, did you have your personal accounts?

A- I would think, at that time, my personal accounts would have been, I guess, at the National Trust or maybe out in Beaconsfield where we were living.

37Q-Well --
A- I would assume we would have been at National Trust.
38Q- Okay, at a point in time, you opened up bank accounts at the Bank of Montreal. Do you recall that?

A- That may have been when I moved to Westmount, when I moved my office to Westmount. It could have been on Claremont - it could have been on Sherbrooke and...

392- Well, let me refresh your memory. According to our records, and I'll show you a copy of the account in a moment, you had a personal account --

A- Yes.
40Q- At the Bank of Montreal in Pointe Claire, number 3090-654, that was opened on February 3rd, 1988.

A- And what was the - what branch was that? What address?

41Q- Well, just hold on. I'll get that.

A- Oh, is that the one that was opened at Hymus, on Hymus?

42Q- I believe it's on Hymus.

A- Okay, and that was opened when? May, '82?
43Q- The first one is February 3rd, 1988, yes, at Hymus Boulevard, Pointe Claire.

A- Okay.
44Q- I'm showing you here a copy of that. Just take a look at the first few pages, and you'll see that if you turn to the next page, you'll see that there's a power of attorney, and the branch is marked as 183K Hymus Boulevard, Pointe Claire.

A- Right, I would agree that this account was opened, yes.

45Q- Okay, now, just out of curiosity, once we're dealing with that, you'll notice on the second page there was a power of attorney given to a Dianne Gilker.

A- Right, she was an employee of mine.
462- Okay, is there any family relationship to you or your wife?

A- She's my sister-in-law.
47Q- So, she's your wife's sister.
A- That's right.

48Q- Okay, and how long did she work for you?
A- I believe she worked for sixteen (16) years.

```
49Q- And what was her function when she was working for
        you?
    A- She did administration, settled estates, and dealt
        with clients.
    50Q- Did she do any accounting for you?
    A- Basic accounting, yeah.
    51Q- Can you explain what type of accounting she would
        have done?
    A- Just the recording of the estate administrations on
        the estates that were done, and I'm not sure whether
        there was an in trust account at that time. If
        there was, then she would have done the accounting
        for that.
    52Q- And how would she record the accounting?
    A- She would record it through - I'm going back in
        those days, and I don't know the exact years, but we
        had those - didn't have the computers, originally.
        We had the electronic - I'm saying electronic -
        typewriters or whatever they were. And that's how
        it would have been recorded, and/or she could have
        also been recording it by hand in a ledger book.
    53Q- Do you recall if at any time in the operation of
        your business --
    A- Right.
    54Q- There was ever an accountant that either audited or
```

        verified your trust account --
    A- \(\quad\) No.
    55Q- The account which you --
    A- No.
    56Q- Called as a trust account?
    A- No, no.
    570- No, you never had anybody verify.
    A- No, no.
    58Q- Okay, the - I just want to just finish with Diane
        Gilker for a moment. You said she worked for you
        for approximately sixteen (16) years. Do you recall
        why she left?
    A- Well, she told me that she wanted to retire and set
        up her own little business, which she's working in
        now with her daughter and making - goes into
        people's house and does, you know, curtains and
        stuff for kids' rooms and so on. She's a very good
        sewer.
    59Q- Okay.
    A- Yeah.
    60Q- Is it true to say that she became concerned with
    certain transactions that were going on in your
    business at the time that she retired?
    A- I would believe she would have been concerned, yes.
    612- What was the nature of her concern?
    A- The nature of the concern was that there was monies in our trust account that were being utilized, and I think she was - I think she was concerned. We never sat and talked about it, but that, you know, the - it was not the right thing to be doing.

62Q- Okay, when you say it wasn't the right thing to be doing, you mean that you were using --

A- Yes.
63Q- Funds in the trust account for your personal purposes --

A- Right.
64Q- Or for various family --
A- Yes.
65Q- Purposes.
A- Yes.
66Q- Okay, do you recall the year that she left?
A- She started with me when I opened my business, about six (6) months after I opened my business. And as I said, I think it was sixteen (16) years she had worked with me. So, I can't really recall the year she...

67Q- Is she your wife's older sister or younger?
A- She's my wife's older sister, yes.
68Q- Older sister.
A- Yeah.

69Q- And she presently lives where?
A- She lives in Chambly.
70Q- In Chambly.

A- $\mathrm{Hmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
71Q- And your wife is close with her, or there's no...
A- Yeah, I think they're - they're sisters. She's Maxine would be closer to her younger sister.

72Q- Now, getting back to the nature of your business when you left Guaranteed Trust, you set out to run a business to do exactly what?

A- I set out to run a business which I did for many years, to simply do will and estate planning, where I would meet individuals. And in those days, there was succession duties, and my experience at Montreal Trust allowed me to be, I think, somewhere of a knowledgeable person in that field. I would sit down and plan a person's or a couple's will, and then I would get a lawyer or a notary to actually draw up the documents.

73Q- And in addition to the preparation or what seems to be a type of estate planning, was there any other functions of your business?

A- I started settling estates. This was the initial, I guess, I would say, first two (2), three (3), four (4) years, yeah.

74Q- And as the business grew, would it be fair to say that you started administering various estates and various trusts that were created by wills?

A- Yes.
75Q- Would it be fair to say that, in addition to that, you would handle various investments that people or funds for people who would want to invest their monies?

A- I never bought stocks and bonds or anything of this nature, but I oversaw people's investments, where they were. Again, my experience at Montreal Trust, where $I$ was in investment portfolio and people that I knew, I would oversee that. And then, through the trust account that was opened, monies were held in there.

76Q- Okay, and would it be fair to say that, at a point in time, you also started to solicit loans from various people and would effectively either act as a borrower or the administrator on behalf of a borrower?

A-
I guess to a small extent. A lot of those loans
that you see were more of - yeah, there was individuals who, you know, said I got "x" number of dollars, and do you know anyone who wants to borrow it or - but $I$ think, in a lot of cases, they were -
it was more used for the cash flow.
77Q- Okay, but --
A- Yeah.
78Q- We'll come to that, but I just want to understand the nature of your business and --

A- I didn't go out knocking on doors looking to - you know, for Joe Smith to loan me a hundred thousand $(\$ 100,000.00)$ and loan it to, you know, Joe Brown or anything of this nature --

79Q- Okay.
A- You know.
80Q- Well, we'll come to that. I'm just trying to understand the nature of the business. So far, we have - just if I can recap, we have the - we'll call it the estate planning, having people's wills set up. The next part is the estate and trust administration.

A- Right.
81Q- After somebody would die, you would administer the estate --

A- Right.
82Q- Wind up the estate, and handle, if there was a trust created pursuant to the will, the dispositions pursuant to the trust. And then, a third element, as I see it, is that, from time to time, you would
act as an advisor to people who would give you money for investment purposes. In other words, I'll give you an example, the Gibson's --

A- Right.
83Q- You know the Gibson's. They have given you certain funds to administer --

A- Right.
84Q- On their behalf. And then, the last element is, to a certain degree, you would act either as a borrower or on behalf of a borrower in terms of loans which were made.

A- Right.
85Q- Okay, is there any other facet of your business that we should be aware of?

A- No.
86Q- Okay, at a point in time, there were various mortgages taken at the Montreal Trust in Ste. Thérèse and others --

A- Bank of Montreal.
87Q- I'm sorry, Bank of Montreal in Ste. Thérèse and Maple Trust, which is now the Bank of Nova Scotia.

A- Right.
$88 Q$ - Were these mortgages solicited by you on behalf of clients?

A- Either I would - they were not solicited by me.

They were discussed with clients, who wanted to take mortgages. They were recommended, you know, in the case of a client who needed more income, rightly or wrong, obviously. They were paying four (4) or five percent (5\%) at the Bank of Montreal, and I was giving them eight percent (8\%). So, there was maybe a net benefit to them, which was, you know, beneficial for their income, but $I$ would not use the word "solicit".

892- Alright, let me change the word from solicit to recommend, the word which you used --

A- Yes, at times, I would, yes, yeah.
90Q- Okay, you were the one who seems to have been recommending to various clients, whose either funds or estates you were administering, that - not whose estates, whose, in most cases, husband's estates you were administering, that they mortgage their properties. These are primarily elderly people. They mortgage their properties, and they do what with the mortgage proceeds?

A- At, I think, most cases - well, I shouldn't say most cases. Some people utilized the money however, whether it's through gifts to children, but, in many of the cases, the money stayed with me, period.

91Q- Alright, so, they would give you the money --

A- Right.
92Q- And you would assure them that you would be paying them a higher return --

A- Right.
93Q- Than they would have to be paying on their mortgages.

A- Right.
94Q- And you would have deposited that money to, for the time being, in the most part, the trust account that you held at the Royal Bank. Is that correct?

A- Right.
95Q- Okay, now, do you recall when you - let's take this back for a second. I've showed you the first account, which, $I$ believe, we have in your name at the Bank of Montreal, which was dated February 3rd, 1988.

A- That was in my personal name.
96Q- That was in your personal name, and we will come later to when you open up other accounts at the Bank of Montreal, but my understanding is that, at a point in time, you opened up accounts at the Royal Bank of Canada. Do you recall that?

A- Yes.
97Q- Okay, just let me get those for a second. Perhaps before I get there, can you tell the Court - you
described what your business was. Can you tell the Court how you would go about soliciting clients for your business?

A- Never solicited clients. Every one of my clients came in as a referral. I never knocked on doors. I never called cold calls, period.
$98 Q-$ And did you ever go to various funerals of people?
A- No.
990- You never went to any funerals.
A- Well, if a client died, but, no, I didn't chase
ambulances around or - if that's what you're
referring to, no.
100Q-Alright, so, according to you, all your clients came
by referral.
A- Strictly referrals, yeah.
101Q-Strictly by referrals.
A- Yeah.
102Q-Would you ever, on a social basis, go out with
clients or invite them to your home?
A- Sure, I would - you know, I was invited out for
lunch or - with clients. Inviting clients to my
home, I can't recall. Certainly, if it was, like I
can't recall.
103Q-You can't recall.
A- No, you know, a particular client inviting to the
house for business or anything of this nature; if it was, it was strictly social.

104Q-Most of the clients that we've talked to seem to say that they had a very friendly relationship with you, that, in certain instances, they considered you as part of their family. Can you tell the Court how you think that feeling would have been developed?

A- It's one of the most difficult situations. It's just me, that my personality likes to help people. It - and, quite frankly, I knew most of my clients better than their kids knew them, and did more for them than their kids and their families. It doesn't make me any better person, but that's the type of person you're looking at.

105Q-So, for the most part, your clients were people that you knew.

A- They were people that were recommended to me and people that I knew, some of them, yeah, but they were recommended to me. They weren't --

1062-And --
A- Solicited. I never called and said, you know, come in and I'll do this or --107Q-There's nothing --

A- No.
108Q-Wrong with soliciting.
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A- I know. I know, but I just --
    109Q-Okay --
    A- But I --
    110Q-I'm not trying to say that there is, okay. And as
        you said, most of them were friends or referred by
        friends, and there was family as well --
        From client to client.
    111Q-Client to client, and they had a tremendous amount
        of faith in you.
    A- Yes.
    112Q-Okay.
    A- Very much so.
    113Q-Okay, now, I'd like to get back to the Royal Bank.
    At a point in time, you opened up a trust account at
    the Royal Bank of Canada in your personal name. Do
    you recall that?
    A- Earl Jones in trust?
    114Q-Correct.
    A- Right.
    115Q-Earl Jones in trust.
    A- I think that originally - can I speak, or do you
        want --
    116Q-Certainly, go ahead.
    A- I think that originally started - my office was on
        Crescent and Sherbrooke, originally. Then, I moved
```

to Claremont in Westmount. And I think the first account that I opened was with Royal Trust in trust. And then, the Royal Bank bought Royal Bank - bought Royal Trust, and I think it was switched to the Royal Bank.

117Q-Right, the first --
A- I think that - anyway...
118Q-The first account which the Royal Bank has provided us with for the Earl Jones in trust account --

A- Right.
119Q-Is dated June 21st, 1988, and is at the Beaconsfield Shopping Centre in Beaconsfield. I think it's 50 St. Charles Boulevard, Suite 2. I'll show you here a copy of it. Alright, do you recognize your signature on the --

A- $\quad$ I do.
120Q-Signature card?
A- $\quad$ I do.
121Q-Okay, for purposes of the record, we will
eventually, when we make a copy of all this, produce
this first account as Exhibit EJ-2. Now, that
account was opened up, as I say, June 21st, 1988.
Can you tell the Court do you recall opening that
account, first of all?
A- I think there was another Royal Bank account or a

Royal Trust account that - I may be wrong, but it was basically transferred there, and a new account was opened at that branch. Do I recall opening it? No, but I did open it.

122Q-Okay.
A- Yeah.
123Q-And do you recall whom you might have met with at that time at the Royal Bank or Royal Trust?

A- No, at that time, $I$ would think the process would have been that Diane, I guess, if she was working with me, Diane Gilker, if she was there, would have arranged to open it, and I would have went into the bank and signed it, signed the card.

124Q-When you opened --
A- When I have - as I say, I do have a feeling that
there was an - there was another Royal Bank or Royal
Trust account opened prior to that, and that was the switch when I moved from Westmount out to the West Island.

125Q-Well, when - this account is in 1988.
A- Right.
126Q-So, in 1988, would you have moved your office from Westmount to the West Island?

A- I would say probably in that area, yes. 127Q-Okay, do you recall whom you would have been dealing
with at the Royal Bank branch at that --
A- No.
128Q-Time?
A- No.
129Q-Alright, did anybody - when you opened this account,
this in trust account --
A- Right.
130Q-Ask you why you were opening an in trust --
A- No.
131Q-Account? Well, how did --
A- Not that I know of.
132Q-How did it come about that you were opening an in
trust account? What was the purpose?
A- As I say, I think the original in trust account was
opened when I was in Westmount which, I think, it
was a branch of the Royal Trust Company on the
corner of Claremont and Sherbrooke. And when I
moved out to - that may have been switched to a
Royal Bank. And when I moved out to the West
Island, we simply arranged to open up a similar type
of account at the Royal Bank.
133Q-What was the purpose of the account?
A- The purpose of the account was to utilize it for, as
we discussed, the settlement of estates where money
would be put in and clients' monies.

134Q-Alright, but you understood that a trust account was distinct from your own personal account. In trust means --

A- Yes.
135Q-In effect --
A- Yes.
136Q-That it's for and on behalf of another individual or individuals.

A- My understanding was I personally could open up an in trust account for my own purpose as well.

137Q-What exactly do you mean by your own personal purpose?

A- Well, you know, my understanding is that, and even today, and maybe I'm wrong, but you can go in and open up an account in Earl Jones in trust for your own purposes, which may be for, I guess, depositing children's money or so on. I don't know, but...

138Q-But the in trust is, in effect, on - for and on behalf of somebody else --

A- Yes, yeah.
139Q-Not for your personal benefit.
A- Yeah, yeah.
140Q-So, you're depositing --
A- Well, and you --
141Q-You deposit --

A- Well, as you no doubt have determined, you've seen that I used that on a personal basis as well, where I put in my own money and so on.

142Q-I understand you've used it on a personal basis.
A- Right.
143Q-We'll come to that in a second, okay, but the - you understood that an in trust account - that this particular in trust account was not for your personal purposes, but was for, as you said, the administration of various estate money or trust money, etc. You're nodding your head, yes. You have --

A- Well --
144Q-To speak for the Stenographer --

A- Yeah, to a great extent, yes.
145Q-Okay, this account with the Royal Bank was - your in trust account, Earl Jones in trust account, was used at the Royal Bank up until approximately August of 2008. Do you recall, prior to the summer of 2008 , anybody at the Royal Bank questioning your use of this trust account?

A- No, I got calls, numerous calls, from the Royal Bank indicating that, you know, you've got "x" number of dollars in there. You're not getting any interest, and, you know, we can set up a different type of
account, but $I$ don't - prior to that date, and because that account was switched, as you know --

146Q-Yes, but I'm talking about prior to --
A- Maybe a month or two (2) before, I would have got a call from the Royal Bank saying that, look, we have to change the format of your account.

147Q-Okay.
A- Yeah.
148Q-Once we're at that juncture, in the summer of 2008 , from what we see, there was a change with respect to this in trust account.

A- Right.
149Q-Can you tell the Court what happened vis-à-vis the bank and yourself and this trust account?

A- We were approached by the Royal Bank through, I think it was, a Mr. Colette, the name is - I think it sounds right, anyway - saying that - basically saying that they had to change the format of the account, and that they wanted to get together and discuss what various options were available to me. I never did meet with them.

They then came back and said that we're going to - we want to close that in trust account and open up an account Earl Jones Consultant and Administration Corporation. And that's - you know,
they gave us the various documents, and they were I signed them, and that was it.

150Q-Now, do you recall, at that point in time, that somebody at the Royal Bank would have said to you we feel that you're using this in trust account for the operational purposes of your business?

A- No.
151Q-It never happened.
A- No.

152Q-okay, can you tell me whether the Royal Bank, at any point in time, advised you that they had certain rules and regulations vis-à-vis these trust accounts?

A- In, I guess, the August or month or two (2) before, they came and said that - or they - through telephone conversations that, in their opinion, it wasn't appropriate to have an in trust account the way I was using it or - and they wanted to switch it to another account. That was it.

153Q-What did they explain to you was inappropriate?

A- Nothing.
154Q-Nothing.
A- Nothing.
155Q-Did they provide you with what their rules and regulations --

A- $\quad$ No.
156Q-Were?
A- $\quad$ No.

157Q-They never did. At the time of the changeover of your account in the summer of 2008, you wrote a letter to the Royal Bank. I'm showing you a copy of a fax memo dated July 23rd, 2008, from Debra Stewart of your office --

A- Right.
158Q-To Jennifer at the Royal Bank, and you'll see the there's a letter which is annexed to that fax, which is signed by yourself.

A- Right.
159Q-Alright, now, in the second paragraph, it states and this is you writing to Oriana at the Royal Bank. Who is Oriana? Do you know?

A- She was, I think, the assistant manager or one of the managers at the bank.

160Q-Okay, and she was the one with whom you were speaking at the time?

A- She would have been talking with probably Debra Stewart.

161Q-Okay, but you mentioned a Mr. Click, did you not?

A- Mr. Colette was the - he had called me representing the Royal Bank. His title, I believe, was Business

Development Manager for the West Island and, you know, wanted to come over and see me about other businesses or other business that the Royal Bank could help me with.

162Q-But was he the one that mentioned to you that they believed there was - it was inappropriate to use the trust account for the purposes of your business the way you were operating it?

AYou know, I don't recall ever being told it was inappropriate. I recall saying that we have to change the format of your account.

163Q-Alright, well, let's take a look at the second paragraph of --

A- Right.
164Q-Your letter. You state in this letter to Oriana: "It is most advantageous for me to operate an in trust account. We understand the rules and regulations that you have given my assistant, Debra Stewart, and certainly will abide by them".

A- Right.
165Q-What exactly are you referring to?
A- Honestly, I don't - she would have dealt directly with Debra Stewart, and I don't recall what rules
and regulations. Debra would have said to me that we have to change the format of the account, and $I$, quite frankly, was really not interested in meeting with this person. And that letter was sent out, but I really truthfully don't recall the Royal Bank saying to me personally that, you know, we have to change the format for specific reasons.

166Q-Well, let's read on. You say:
"It is my understanding that an in trust account can be operated. However, the account has to be set up under a new account number for specific classifications in the records of the Royal Bank. I certainly will concur with this necessity, and would ask that this change be made".

A- Right.
167Q-So, that's the classification that you are referring to?

A-
Yeah, it was my understanding that the account could continue on and - I don't want to throw this at Debra Stewart, but it was - you know, the girls did ninety percent (90\%), ninety-nine percent (99\%) of all the banking, that they would have basically told
her that, look, under the circumstances, the account can't be set up in that name, and we need to change the name. That's what it was, really.

168Q-Right, let me ask you a question, Mr. Jones. When you were at the Montreal Trust and Guaranteed Trust --

A- Right.
169Q-And you were administering estates or trusts, would it be fair to say that you would open distinct trust accounts for each one of the estates or trusts?

A- There would be a separate estate account within the records of those specific trust companies, yes.

170Q-Alright, and there would be distinct accounts.
A- Yes.
171Q-Okay.
A- Yes.
172Q-In your case, when you set out in business, you were using one account for all - depositing of all the funds from --

A- No --
173Q-All the trusts --
A- The majority of them, there were separate accounts that were opened and closed when distributions were made, but not --

174Q-Which --

A- On a regular basis. That's right.
175Q-Well, from the testimony of Debra Stewart, she - and the other employees, they stated that there was only this one trust account.

A- They were - the separate accounts would have been opened before they joined me, these employees.

176Q-Well, let me just --
A- It goes back many years ago.

177Q-Alright, so, you, for the most part, would have comingled all the various estates and trust money in this one account.

A- That's right.

178Q-Okay, was there any reason why you did not open distinct accounts?

A- No, it was easier to operate this way for us, and clients accepted it, and --

179Q-Well, clients accepted it. Clients thought their money was being held in trust.

A- Right.

180Q-And --

A- Right.

181Q-That nobody was dipping into the trust account.
A- Right.

182Q-Now, if you go to the third paragraph of that letter which you're sending, you - let's perhaps read it:

would have to be placed on the reverse side of the cheque".

And you go on:
"We do receive cheques from our
clients payable..."
or let's stop there for a moment. Was there any issue in your mind, at that time, as to the bank saying to you that you cannot co-mingle all these estate cheques from different estates and trusts into one account?

A- No.

183Q-Because you seem to be referring to the fact where somebody as - you're requesting that one account be opened, but that the cheques somehow be specifically notated.

A- Well --
184 Q -What did you mean by that?

A- I think what they wanted was to, in the back, put on the - put on a notation that - which we were doing before, that $I$ was the executor/trustee of the estate and signing for it, but I really don't recall what the notation - that particular notation was. The - all $I$ know is that the bank came and said we have to change the format.

And to deposit cheques like we did prior, if it
was payable to an estate, if I was the administrator or if I was the executor, I would put on the back administrator of the estate, and I would sign it, and that was accepted by the bank, or executor/trustee and...

```
185Q-Well, we'll come to that --
A- That I know --
186Q-That's the next paragraph --
A- I can't --
187Q-That you're dealing with --
A- I can't recall what --
OCQ-But this paragraph, in terms of the account, you
    were asking them, it appears, if they would open up
    a trust account --
A- Right.
189Q-To allow you to continue to deposit all your cheques
    from the various trusts and estates into --
A- Right.
190Q-This one account.
A- Right.
191Q-Okay, my understanding, and you'll correct me if I'm
    wrong, is that the bank did not open up another
    trust account. They opened up a personal - not a
    personal, an account in the name of Earl Jones
    Consultant and Administration Corp., a business
```

account effectively.
A-
That's correct.
192Q-Okay, and no new so-called in trust account was thereafter opened at the Royal Bank. Is that correct?

A- That's correct.
193Q-And you seem to be requesting it here.
A- Yeah, because I - you know, I wanted to carry on the same type of account, where we were able to deposit and withdraw the monies on a similar basis as we did in the in trust account.

194Q-So, at the end of the day, they refused to do what you were requesting.

A- They were still accepting deposits. And, of course, they had given us cheques that we could obviously write cheques, if there was money in the account, but they were still accepting deposits. And I think there were some other conditions, but, to be honest with you, I can't recall what those conditions were.
195Q-Well --
A- It wasn't, let's say, as --
196Q-After August --
A- Without trying to be, you know, slang here, it
wasn't as loose as it was before.
197Q-Alright, it wasn't as loose as it was before.

```
A- Right.
    198Q-Now, obviously, at that point in time, from what I
        have seen - I shouldn't say obviously. From what we
        have seen, at that point in time, the funds that
        were in this in trust account were transferred to
        the Earl Jones Consultant and Administration Corp.
        account. Do you recall that?
    A- Yes, because I believe they closed the in trust
        account.
    199Q-They closed the in trust account.
    A- Yes.
    200Q-And they would not open up a new one for you.
    A- No, that's right.
    201Q-Okay, and what did you do when that happened?
    A- We had an in trust account at the Bank of Montreal,
        which was utilized as well
    202Q-Well, isn't it a fact that you opened an in trust
        account at the Bank of Montreal at that time?
    A- It could be, yes.
    203Q-Okay, you didn't have one prior thereto.
    A- No, the company account was there, right, right.
    204Q-Now, let's just for a moment deal with this letter
        that you have in front of you.
    A- Right.
    205Q-The next paragraph refers to another issue. You
```

say:
"We do receive cheques from our
clients payable to themselves, and
we have commenced working with them
to have these cheques made payable
to Earl Jones in trust and/or to the
name of the new account that would
be opened...",
I guess, it's
"...as requested above".
A- Right.
206Q-Alright, now, just tell me if - I'm going to explain to you what I think the issue was here, and you can tell me - well, let me rephrase. What was the issue that you were addressing here?

A- Well, when the cheques - obviously, when the cheques came in from clients, I wanted to be able to put the money into a specific account similar to what was done in the Earl Jones in trust.

207Q-Okay, but as I understand it, what you're referring to here and what must have been an issue with the bank was that cheques were coming in payable to the name of your clients, and you were certainly - not certainly, you were simply endorsing the name of the client, stamping it pay over to Earl Jones in trust, and depositing it to your trust account.

ARight.

208Q-That was the issue, was it not?

A- Yes, that was the issue.
209Q-Okay, and, the bank, did they advise you that they had no way of verifying the first signature --

A- No.
210Q-To determine - they never advised you.
A- No.
211Q-Did they tell you that this process was irregular?
A- No.
212Q-Well, what was the issue? Why was there an issue then?

A- I recall them telling me that the format in which you are using this in trust account is no longer accepted by the Royal Bank, and that the new account would have to be opened under the name of Earl Jones Consultant and Administration. Did anyone get on the phone and say what you just asked me? The question or the answer is no. 213Q-Well, what are you addressing --

A- The Royal Bank --
214Q-When you say --
A- I'm just - I'm saying to them --
215Q-Here your --

A- The type of account that we would like opened is whether it's in the name of Earl Jones Consultant and Administration or Earl Jones in trust, I would like the same --

216Q-Well, that's --
A- Privileges of doing what $I$ did before.

217Q-Let's look at the paragraph, okay, and be very careful. You say:
"We do receive cheques from our clients payable to themselves..."

A- Right.

218Q-Okay, the cheque is then payable to your clients --

A- Right.
219Q-Not to yourself, okay.
"...and we have commenced working
with them to have these cheques made
payable to Earl Jones in trust
and/or to the name of the new
account that would be opened..."

A- Right.
220Q-Now, it's clear to me, if I take a look and I'll show you various examples, what was happening was cheques were coming in payable to your clients.

A- Right.
221Q-You were endorsing their name and then stamping it
pay over to Earl Jones in trust and depositing to the trust account.

ARight.

222Q-Is that not fair to say what was - what seemed to be problem?

A- Right, well, it's - it obviously was the problem. You asked me though is did the Royal Bank tell me that there was a problem, and I answered you and say no.

223Q-Well, somebody must have told you there's an issue -

A- Well, they --
224Q-Because you're writing them describing the issue.
A- I would suggest that - or I would think that maybe Oriana told or Oriana told Debra, and Debra would have told me, and I wrote to confirm that.

225Q-Alright, so, you may not have had the direct communication --

A- Right.
226Q-But somebody at the Royal Bank communicated with somebody at your office, telling them that they were having a problem with the endorsement of these cheques.

A- I really don't know. I would - I really don't know. 227Q-Now, if you turn to the next page of that letter,
you'll see that Robin Whitrod stamps as Commissioner of Oaths --

A- Right.
228Q-And signs as a witness. What was the purpose of that?

A- I don't know. They may have asked for this letter, and they wanted a witness, and I would have had Robin just to witness my signature, but in no way is Robin, you know, was aware of or involved with this at all.

2290-Well, I'm not suggesting he is, but I --
A- I have no idea. I would think that they needed a witness for my signature and..

230Q-Who would have requested a witness for your signature? This is a letter which you sent --

A- I can only think --
2312-This is not a bank document.
A- I can only think the Royal Bank requested it, or I decided to ask - get Robin to witness my signature for whatever reason.

232Q-Okay, Mr. Jones, I'm going to ask you to deposit that letter - sorry, just give me that for a moment, a letter dated July 23rd, 2008, with the fax sheet together with various pieces of identification of Mr. Jones, Debra Stewart, and Nancy Wynands as

Exhibit EJ-3.
Now, Mr. Jones, you mentioned before that you had started to encroach upon this trust account for your own personal usage. Can you tell the Court when you first started to use the trust account for your own personal benefit?

A- I'm guessing three (3) to five (5) years after I started my business, so, some twenty-five (25) years ago.

233Q-And you knew when you started using the funds from that trust account for your own personal business, that you were commencing to deceive your clients. Is that correct?

A- Originally, I had set up, I think, some agreements between the clients and myself, but, you know, realistically, I guess the answer is yes.

234Q-Okay, and that continued on until the - until when?
A- Well, till you closed every - till I was closed down.

235Q-Okay, and over the years, the encroachment became larger and larger. Would that be correct?

A- The encroachment became larger and larger because of
the cash flow that I had to pay out to clients.
236Q-Okay, well, how would the encroachment become
larger, if you had to pay out?

A- Well, because I was giving them, you know, interest. I wasn't receiving any interest, and $I$ was paying them interest.

237Q-But I mean, by encroachment, use for your personal purposes.

A- I guess if I'm paying out people, you refer that's for my personal reason as well or...

238Q-Well, we've seen --
A- You know, if $I$ took in money --

2392-We've seen --
A- And I took in money --240Q-Just let me - so that we're clear, when I'm talking about encroachment for your personal purposes, I'm talking about the withdrawing of cash from the account for usage for travel, for usage for payment of your Visa cards, payment of American Express cards, payment of household items, payment of funds for - uses of funds for anything of a personal nature, which wouldn't be for the benefit of the people whose money were placed in that trust account.

All I'm saying to you is, from what we see, the amount of personal usage from the trust account became greater as the years went on. Presumably, you had more estates and more money that was in
there.
A- Yes.
241Q-That's correct to say that?
A- Yes.
242Q-Okay, now, when did you first realize that you were going to have a problem carrying on in terms of being able to pay out the monies from this trust account that you were required to pay out, and not having enough funds to do that?

A- I guess about a year ago it really was - the cash flow was obviously not, you know, coming in to cover.

243Q-And what did you do when that happened?
A- At the end, I couldn't do anything.
244Q-At the end, I understand --
A- Yeah.
245Q-But did you start soliciting loans? I'm using the word "soliciting". It's the wrong word. Did you attempt to obtain loans from various people to fund?

A- I guess yes and no. Clients would - you know, estates came in. Clients received money and brought money in. And on other occasions, I had asked, you know, clients if they wanted to invest monies or deposit monies.

246Q-Okay, so, what did you do? My question is: When
did you really try, or maybe you didn't, but did you, at any point in time, try and raise additional monies to keep the scheme going?

A- When did I do or...
247Q-Yes, when would you - as you said, about a year ago, you started --

A- Yeah, it was --
248Q-To realize --
A- It was basically, you know, ongoing. It just - you know, it built up and built up to the point where, especially as I say, the last year or six (6) months, but I couldn't carry on, but - so, when an opportunity did arise that there was funds available, then certainly $I$ tried to have clients deposit their monies with us.

249Q-And did you encourage people to mortgage their homes in order to bring in more money?

AI didn't encourage people. A lot of those mortgages that were taken out at Maple and at the Bank of Montreal, many times there were families who were involved. And, you know, the concept was that they would receive additional income over and above what they were paying in their mortgage. And so, it was a combination of some clients coming to me and with that idea of doing it, some of them that I
solicited. Well, I've used the word "solicit" and...

250Q-I understand that, at a point in time, Mr. Jones, and probably fairly early in the process, the manner in which you would, again I'll use the word, solicit clients or one of the schemes in which you would try and solicit clients was you would tell them that you had a pooled account of money at the Royal Bank of Canada locked in at a high rate because of your long-time relationship with the Royal Bank. Do you recall that?

A- I told them that there was - I had an in trust account where $I$ was getting a specific rate of interest, yes. That's where I deposited their cheques.

251Q-And, in effect, you never had such a --
A- No.

252Q-Just let me finish the question.
A- Okay.
253Q-Okay, you never had such an account, and you never had a - what is referred to or what you referred to as a pooled account at the Royal Bank yielding eight percent (8\%) interest.

A- No.
254Q-Now, would it be true to say that, in various
instances, you would have, in addition, sent statements to your clients showing that they were earning this eight percent (8\%) interest at the Royal Bank?

A- Yes.
255Q-Okay, and that also was totally fictitious.
A- Yes.
256Q-I'd like to, for purposes of the record, just elaborate on the various schemes which you may have used to deceive your clients over the period of your operation, and you can just tell me whether these were part of the scheme which you used.

Number 1, would you ever endorse cheques which
were made out to clients, endorse their name on
cheques and deposit it to your in trust account at the Royal Bank?

A- I would, but in the majority, if not all cases, we would ask a client if a cheque is coming in, can we sign your name, and the clients would have said yes. In some cases, I was the power of attorney for some of them.

257Q-Okay, so --
A- But the answer is - did we endorse? The answer is yes.

258Q-Not only endorse, but sign their name.

```
A- Yes.
259Q-Okay, and from what we have seen, a lot of these
        people have said they never authorized you to sign
        their name, and were never contacted in that respect
        by you. Would that be true according to --
    A- I would disagree to that.
    260Q-Okay, you would, of course, advise these people that
        their money was going into a trust account.
A- We would acknowledge that I was putting it into the
        specific account that we had for them, yeah.
261Q-And you would have advised them that that account is
        what you referred to as an in trust account to --
    A- Yes.
    262Q-To --
    A- Sorry.
    263Q-It's okay, and they would, in turn, believe that
    their money was going into an in trust account.
    A- I would believe so, yes, yes.
    264Q-Well, you would have advised them of that --
    A- Yes.
    265Q-That their money was going --
    A- Yes.
    266Q-Into a trust account --
    A- Yes.
    267Q-As if it was a lawyer's or a notary's trust account.
```

```
A- Right.
    268Q-Okay.
    A- Can I get some water?
    269Q-Sure, sir.
                                    OFF RECORD REMARKS
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    270Q-Another method you would use, as we mentioned
        before, was soliciting money on the basis that you
        had this pooled account that was going to - or a
        special pooled account that was going to yield a
        high interest rate at the Royal Bank. That you have
        already said was one of the schemes.
            Another was that you would send fictitious
        statements to the clients showing that they were
        earning eight percent (8%) interest. Is that
        correct?
    A- That's correct.
    271Q-Okay, would you create fictitious loan agreements in
        the name of various individuals?
    A- Yes.
    272Q-Okay, I'm going to just show you for purposes of the
        record an example. I'm showing you here a document
        entitled "Summary of Bridge Financing between Mary
```

Sue and Robert Gibson of Montreal and Robert Hausner as of May 1st, 2005", which I'd ask you to take a look at for a moment. Alright, this purports to be a summary of a loan for the sum of a hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars (\$125,000.00), where the lender is Robert Hausner and the borrower is Mary Sue and Robert Gibson. This contract has been produced in the bankruptcy hearing as Exhibit P-4, but we're going to produce it here as EJ-4. And you'll note, on the last page, there is purportedly the signature of Mary Sue Gibson and Robert Gibson, both of whom have said that is not their signature, and Robert Hausner. Now, you're aware that - is this an example of one of the fictitious loan agreements that you're referring to?

A- Yes.
273Q-Okay, do you know who wrote the signatures on behalf of Mary Sue Gibson and Robert Gibson?

A- No, I don't know who would have done that. 274Q-It would have been somebody in your office?

A- I would think so, yes.
275Q-Okay, and who normally would be doing that?
A- 2005, you know, I don't - it could have been Debra Stewart, or it could have been me.

```
276Q-Okay, and if it was Debra Stewart, it was as a
    result of your asking her to do that. Is that
    correct? Okay, and --
    A- That's correct.
    277Q-You just said it could have been you. The signature
        of Robert Hausner, was that a valid signature as
        well?
    A- I think that's his signature, yes.
    278Q-So, Mr. Hausner thought he was making a loan to Mary
        Sue and Robert Gibson of a hundred and twenty-five
        thousand dollars ($125,000.00). Did he advance the
        money to you?
    A- To me?
    279Q-Well, to...
    A- Yes, he would have.
    280Q-He would have advanced a hundred and twenty-five
        thousand dollars ($125,000.00).
    A- Yes, I think so.
    281Q-Alright.
    A- Yes.
    282Q-And Mary Sue Gibson and Robert Gibson would have
    never known that there was a loan supposedly being
    made to themselves.
    A- Probably not.
    283Q-Okay, and what would you do with the funds?
```

```
A- They would have gone into my trust account.
284Q-Okay, would you produce - it would not have gone to,
        however, Mary Sue and Robert Gibson.
    A- No.
    285Q-Okay, would you produce that as Exhibit EJ-4? Thank
        you; now, I show you another summary of a collateral
        financing between Dr. Brent Matthew and the Ross
        estate family trust as of February 9th, 2009, for a
        hundred and fifty thousand dollars ($150,000.00).
        Again, if you look at the last page, there are
        various signatures for the Ross family, all of whom
        have denied that those are their signatures.
    A- Right.
    286Q-Alright, this again would be another example of
        fictitious loans being made.
    A- Yes.
    287Q-Is that correct?
    A- Yes.
    288Q-And what would you have done with the hundred and
        fifty thousand dollars ($150,000.00)?
    A- It would have gone into my trust account.
    289Q-And it would never have gone to the Ross estate --
    A- No.
    290Q-Is that correct? Okay, would you produce that as
    Exhibit EJ-5? Now, do you recall ever sending
```

people statements showing that you were earning
interest at the rate of fifteen percent (15\%) for
them?
A- Yes.
291Q-And do you recall whom you would have sent those
statements to?
A- No.
292Q-Okay, let me show you some of them. I show you here
a statement sent to Christiane Jackson.
A- Alright.
293Q-As of April, 2009.
A- Hmm-hmm.
294Q-Where you show at the very first line or second line
Christiane Jackson, fifteen percent (15\%), and then,
further down, U.S. account, Christiane Jackson,
fifteen percent (15\%), and then:
"Special term investments, assume
fourteen percent (14\%) not including
bonuses".
So, these fifteen percent (15\%) interests that are
being shown on the Canadian and U.S. account in
supposedly thirty (30) locked-in commitments are
fictitious. Is that correct?
A- That's correct.
295Q-And the special term investments where we see

Coughlan, fifty thousand $(\$ 50,000.00)$, and Matthew, a hundred thousand (\$100,000.00), assuming a fourteen percent (14\%) not including bonuses are as well fictitious.

A- I would say yes.
296Q-Okay, now, one other thing, if you'll turn to the second page --

A- Alright.
297Q-Of that document, another method which you seemed to use to keep your clients happy is that the statement is certified correct. Then, we see B. Earl Jones and Pierre Courchesne, accountants, and you will use your stamp as a Commissioner of the Oaths to certify it correct. Mr. Courchesne has told us he has never seen any of these statements, and he never verified any statements. Would that be correct?

A- That's correct.
298Q-When you were certifying this statement correct as a Commissioner of the Oaths, you understood that you were falsely certifying --

A- Yes.
2990-The statement.
A- Yes.
300Q-Okay, would you produce that as Exhibit EJ-6? I show you here, and we're just using these as
examples, a statement dated March 31st, 2009, sent to Dominique Jackson, showing special investments that I presume her money is invested at the rate of thirteen percent (13\%) with the Neal family and with the Spencer family and from your trust account. These - that thirteen percent (13\%) interest was obviously fictitious and - I shouldn't say obviously. Was that thirteen percent (13\%) interest fictitious?

A- Yes.
301Q-And the statement which went out to Dominique Jackson from the Royal Bank or what you refer to as the Royal Bank in trust account, the second page, showing a thirteen percent (13\%) on U.S. funds, that also was fictitious.

A- Yes.
302 -Would you produce that as Exhibit EJ-7? Would it be true to say, Mr. Jones, that the statements which you sent out to your clients over the years were basically internally made-up documents that at no time resembled reality, in the sense that you were showing earnings, you were showing investments, you were showing - I shouldn't say earnings - you were showing interest earnings, you were showing various investments, when, in effect, they never existed?

A- In general, I would say, yeah.
303Q-In general.
A- I'm sure there's - going back over the years, there's specific ones that would be correct.

304Q-Alright, and it was you who came up with the idea that you would send out these statements, even though they were fictitious, to lead your clients to believe that they were earning a good return on the money they had with you.

A- Yes.
305Q-Okay, and can you tell the Court did you ever keep a specific accounting of the so-called Earl Jones in trust account? You're nodding. You have to answer. A- Yeah, I know. No, no, I'm just -306 Q-Yes.

A- Years ago, I guess the first five (5) years or so, I would say Diane probably kept a handwritten ledger, but over the last - I don't know, maybe the last ten (10), fifteen (15) years or - there wasn't a specific accounting ledger. Although in the last couple of years, one of the employees, Louise... 307 Q-Voyer?

A- Yeah, she started getting into a... 308Q-Well, from what she told us, she started to develop a system --

A- Right.
309Q-To determine what you had to pay out on a monthly basis.

A- Right.
310Q-But she didn't do any accounting of the in trust account. In other words, she didn't balance the in trust account to make sure that the amounts in your trust account corresponded with the statements that you were sending out to your clients, showing the total amount in trust.

A- She did not.

311Q-Okay, and it could never balance, because, from what we have seen, you had millions of dollars you were holding in trust, but your bank in trust account, for all intents and purposes, never showed millions of dollars.

A- Correct.
$312 Q-C a n$ you tell the Court how the statements would be prepared that you sent out to your clients?

A- They were prepared by the office staff.
$313 Q-$ No, but how would they physically prepare them?
Would they --
A- With a computer.

314Q-I'm sorry?
A- By the way of the computer.

315Q-Well, the computer only does what you tell it to do. So --

A- Well, that's right --
316Q-Who would give the instructions to the employees to what should go on these statements?

A- I would give them the instructions. And the deposit came in, and we opened up an account, and we said that the account was going to get eight percent (8\%), it would be basically automatic. If we made any payments to - as you no doubt have seen, we used to pay a lot of bills and so on. That would be recorded in each person's account.

317Q-Well, from what I understand from the employees, they would simply keep or try to keep a ledger as to what you were paying out, but the other side of the balance sheet, what was being earned, was a function of what you were telling them.

A- Well, if we opened up a statement, an account, put the person's name in, and said there was - the interest was eight percent (8\%) -$318 Q-$ You just simply calculated the eight percent (8\%) --A- Exactly.

319 Q-Whether you were paying it or not --
A- Exactly, so, if the girls pulled off a statement, it would automatically show eight percent (8\%)
interest.

```
320Q-Alright, so, but it didn't - it was a fictitious
```

        eight percent (8\%).
    A- Right.
    321Q-It was just a figure put into the calculation.
    A- Right, right.
    322Q-Okay.
    MR. GILLES ROBILLARD
    from the Trustee:
    Can I ask...
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    Yes, sure.
    MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    3232 -We only found those statements for the, let's say,
        last three (3) years: 2006, '07, and '08. Was
        there any statements prior to that on the computer,
        or was that just set up in the past three (3) years?
    A- No, it was on the computer. There's no question
        about that.
    324Q-Okay.
    A- No question, I don't have any idea why you wouldn't
        have found them.
    325Q-Okay.
    A- I was asked by the provincial police about that. I
        personally - and I don't know if the girls say it. I have no idea how to operate the computer, never used the computer, and I would have no idea why that is not on the - not available.
    ```
326Q-Okay.
```

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
327Q-So, another method or thing which I'd like you to
comment on in terms of where the deception lay was
the use of this stamp that you had, which said pay
over to Earl Jones in trust, and I'm just going to
show you an example of that. I show you here a
series of cheques payable to Virginia Nelles
Tetrault --
A- Right.
328Q-Donald Tetrault - Donald Nelles, I'm sorry. They
appear to all be made out to either one of the two
(2). And if you'll take a look at the - both sides
of the cheques on one page, there is a stamp which
says pay over to Earl Jones in trust for deposit
only to Royal Bank account 5266622, which is your -
the Earl Jones in trust account at the Royal Bank.
Some of those cheques, if you take a look, only
bear your signature. Some of them, one of them at
least or two (2) of them, at least appear to bear
the signature Virginia Nelles. And the ones made to
Donald Nelles appear to bear his signature.

Donald Nelles and Virginia Nelles have told us that they never endorsed over those cheques. They never - that's not their signature which appears. Would it be true to say that you just simply signed their signature, used the stamp, and deposited it into your account?

A- That would be correct, but in many, if not all cases, they would have been notified that a cheque came in, and they were going to sign their name, and they would have signed it. The way you phrase the question, the answer is yes.

329Q-Okay, the stamp - and I would like to understand what you would do with these cheques. You would bring them where, or you would have your staff bring them where?

A- To the Royal Bank.
330 Q-Did the Royal Bank, at any time, ever question the fact that the cheque was made to Virginia Nelles, and we have no way of verifying that this is Virginia Nelles' signature?

A- No.
$3312-Y o u$ never had a problem.
A- No.
332Q-Okay, and that, would you say, was throughout the history of the account?

A- Yes.
333Q-Did that at all surprise you, you being a former banker?

A- Yes, it surprised me that they - but it was accepted for so many years.
$334 Q-$ So, you knew that you could get away with it basically, because it had been done so often, and nobody was questioning it. Is that correct?

A- When we did it, yes, yeah.
335Q-Okay, I show you here as well - alright, would you produce as Exhibit EJ-8 a series of cheques with the stamp on it? So, that would be another method that you would use.

A- Another method I would use, but basically there was a method. If there was cheques coming in, they went into the trust account.

336 -Now, would it true to say that, in various instances, you had as well sent out statements to your clients supposedly certified by Pierre Courchesne and signed by Pierre Courchesne, the accountant?

AThere are a few statements in the last two (2) or three (3) months that $I$ put Pierre's name on. Pierre Courchesne had nothing, nothing to do with this.

```
    337Q-No, I acknowledge that. He has testified that --
    A- Okay.
    338Q-The signature was not his.
    A- Then, that's --
    339Q-The point that I'm trying to make is that you signed
        his --
    A- Yes.
    340Q-Name, and you certified in his name as if it was he
        as an accountant certifying that the statement --
    A- Yes.
    341Q-Was true.
    A- Yes.
    342Q-Okay, let me just see if I can find an example of
        that. I'm showing you here a series of statements,
        which purport - oh, I'm sorry. It's not a series of
        statements. I'm incorrect in that statement. There
        are various statements here, but we'll go one by
        one. The first one is to Estate David Brunet, and
        it's certified on May of 2009 by yourself. That's
        your signature which appears there?
    A- Well, that's my initial, yeah.
    343Q-Your initial, well, whose signature is the one next
        to it that --
    A- There's no signature. That's Pierre's initials.
    344Q-Okay, well, let's go step by step.
```

```
A- These are three (3) initials, three (3) --
    345Q-This is not a signature, and I'm --
    A- No, that's my initials.
    346Q-Okay, so, the first I'll call it scribble, if you
        don't mind --
    A- Yes, okay.
    347Q-Is your initials.
    A- Yes.
    348Q-And then, the second set of initials is supposed to
        be that of --
    A- Pierre.
    349Q-Pierre Courchesne.
    A- Yes.
    350Q-Now, I also see at the bottom D. Stewart, account --
    MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    351Q-That would be the third scribble.
    A- That was the third.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    352Q-Oh, that's the third --
    MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
        Yes.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    353Q-Scribble. So, there's three (3) scribbles, all of
        which would have been placed on that document by
        yourself.
```

A- Yes.
354Q-Is that correct? Okay, now, the next document in that package that you have before you is the summary of a bridge financing between Richard Broughton --

A- Yes.
355Q-And the Dressel family.

A- Right.
356Q-As of March 1st, 2009. And if you turn to page 4 of that statement --

A- Yes.
357Q-You're going to see the signatures Richard Broughton.

A- Right.
358Q-I presume that's a real signature. Is that correct?

A- I believe so, yes.
359Q-Okay, and then B. Earl Jones, administrator for the Estate Dirk Dressel, that's your signature.

A- Yes.

360Q-And then, I see Pierre Courchesne.
A- Right.
361Q-And that is not his signature.
A- That's not his signature, no.
362 Q-And who would have put his name and signature there?

A- Either myself or maybe I'd ask one of the girls to do it.

```
363Q-And what was the purpose of putting his name there?
A- In this particular case, the individual who was
    involved with Richard Broughton, Tony Gilardi,
    wanted to know that Pierre Courchesne was overseeing
    all of this.
    364Q-Well, what is the relationship between Tony Gilardi
        and Richard Broughton?
    A- Tony Gilardi is - and Richard Broughton, Tony's
        mother-in-law, Sonia Christian, and Richard
        Broughton lived together.
    365Q-I see.
    A- Husband and wife or boyfriend and girlfriend.
    366Q-Okay, so, this would have been given as well to Mr.
    Gilardi --
    A- Yes.
    367Q-To reassure him --
    A- Yes.
    368Q-That this was a real loan, okay. Now, turn to the
        next page, please. Keep going, one more. Oh, no,
        yes, here you go, okay. This is a statement as of
        January, 2009, sent to Johanna and Robert Earle --
    A- Right.
    369Q-Supposedly showing them a statement of their assets
        and income. We see at the bottom of it a
        Commissioner of Oaths stamp in your name and then
```

certified correct January, 2009, Pierre Courchesne, something accountant. Can you read what it's supposed to say?

```
A- That's an auditor. I think it's audit --
```

370Q-Oh, auditor --
A- Accountant.
371Q-Accountant.
A- Yes.
372Q-Okay, again, the signatures or initials that appear
there are yours and those imposed by yourself in
respect of Pierre Courchesne. Is that correct?
A- Yes, that's right.
373Q-Similarly, if you continue on and you come to a
statement of assets and liabilities in respect of
Estate Myrle Emblem --
A- Right.
374Q-April 30th, 2009 --
A- Right.
375Q-We see your Commissioner of Oaths stamp, certified
correct, April, '09. And then, we see your
signature or your initials, rather, supposedly the
initials of Pierre Courchesne again, accountant
auditor, and then supposedly the signature or
initials of Debra Stewart. And then, we see a
Commissioner of Oaths stamped Debra Stewart.

```
    A- Right.
    376Q-In this case, would it be true to say that you
        fictitiously wrote the initials of Pierre Courchesne
        and Debra Stewart?
    A- Yes.
    377Q-And can you tell the Court how the Commissioner
        stamp of Debra Stewart appeared on this statement?
    A- I probably put it on.
    378Q-Would she have been aware?
    A- I don't think so, unless, you know, a copy of this
        was - came across her desk, and she gave it to the
        Emblem family, but...
    379Q-Well, how would these statements be sent out?
    A- Some would be sent out at the request of clients,
        and some were sent out every month or every quarter.
        In a lot of cases, clients came in and picked up
        their statements and through meetings.
    380Q-Well, the Estate Myrle Emblem, would they come in or
    would you send the statement?
    A- They would come in.
    381Q-They would come in.
    A- Yeah.
    382Q-And who would give them the statement?
    A- In most cases, they would meet with me, and I would
        give them the statement, but in time - sometimes
```

where I wasn't there that the client - the client would come in and ask for copies of statement, and the girls would pull a statement off the computer. 383Q-Okay, do you recall in this case who would have given them the statement?

A- I probably gave them the statement. 384Q-Now, if we turn to the next page, again we see your Commissioner of Oaths stamp, and we see the same thing, Earl Jones, Debra Stewart, Pierre Courchesne. And, here, we see the reference to this pool fund account --

A- Right.
385Q-Earl Jones in trust, balance April 1st, 2009, a million two fifty-eight three seventy $(\$ 1,258,370.00)$.

A- Right.
386Q-That was totally fictitious as well.

A- Right.
387Q-And, again, the signatures or the initials are simply those that you imposed.

A- Right.
388Q-And I'd ask you to take a look at the rest, so that we don't have to spend an hour going through them, the rest of the statements, and just confirm to me that, once again, all the certifications were
imposed by yourself, and the initials that are written were those initials imposed by yourself.

A- I confirm that $I$ did it.
LT1Q-Thank you; would you produce that as Exhibit EJ-9? Now, another method which I believe you used to deceive your clients, you referred to before in respect of the mortgaging of various properties. The mortgages, you said that, in certain instances, if I'm correct, you would recommend to the client that they mortgage their homes in order that you would pay them a higher interest rate than they would be - they would have to pay in respect of their mortgage. Did you ever at any time advise them that they would be paying income tax on the amount that you were supposedly paying them?

A- Yes, I would have.
390 Q-And would it be true to say that, in every instance or in almost every instance where the homes were mortgaged at the Bank of Montreal in Ste. Thérèse and with Maple Trust, that these various clients remitted to you, if not all the mortgage proceeds, a good part of the mortgage proceeds to be invested at this higher interest rate?

A- In most cases, yes.
391Q-Okay, and those funds, you led them to believe, were
deposited into a safe in trust account yielding this higher interest rate.

A- Right.
392Q-Okay, now, in respect of the Bank of Montreal at Ste. Thérèse, can you explain to the Court what - I understood that you had a bank account on the West Island with the Bank of Montreal. What was your relationship with the Bank of Montreal in Ste. Thérèse?

A- There was a mortgage officer that worked out of the Bank of Montreal in Pointe Claire on Donegani, and I'm just trying to think of her name, the Bank of Montreal, and we utilized her - our clients utilized her for many years. And she was transferred to the Bank of Montreal in Ste. Thérèse, and I utilized her, because she did work for $u s$ in the past.

393Q-When you say she did work for you in the past --

A- Well, for clients who, you know, may have mortgaged their properties and...

394Q-Was she familiar with your business from the past?

A- Well, she knew what we did. I wouldn't go that far to say that she was totally familiar, no. She was, you know - we approached her to obtain mortgages for clients and...

395Q-And on what basis would you have approached her?

What would you have told her?

AWell, we had told her that a client would want a mortgage for "x" number of dollars, and she would give us the documents that were required to be completed by the Bank of Montreal to get the mortgage, which we would give to her, and they would approve of it.

396Q-So, you would prepare the documentation on behalf of the Bank of Montreal, the required documentation.

A- Well, whatever they wanted, we would get the documents for the Bank of Montreal, and our clients would sign the application form and so on and so forth.

397Q-Alright, do you recall whether, during that process, there would be a personal meeting with the Bank of Montreal and the client?

ANot in particular, the Bank - what happened is the mortgages that were issued from the Bank of Montreal
in Ste. Thérèse, the clients would meet with the Bank of Montreal - a Bank of Montreal officer in Pointe Claire and Hymus to sign all the documentation and to accept or approve of the mortgage.

398Q-And was there one officer in particular?
A- No, I don't think - you know, I would say no. The

Bank of Montreal knew us and...
3990-Was there any other reason that you would use the Bank of Montreal?

A- No, no, other than that this girl was very efficient, and I would think she was - may have been recommended by the Bank of Montreal in Pointe Claire when she was working in the mortgage department on Donegani. And going back many years ago, I think I may have had a mortgage at the Bank of Montreal on a personal basis, which I may have got through the Bank of Montreal through her.

400 -Was there ever an issue of the Bank of Montreal
questioning the age of any of these people that were mortgaging their properties?

A- No, no.
401Q-Do you recall what type of verification the Bank of Montreal would do with respect to these?

A- The standard verification that they would ask you or anyone else for.

402Q-Well, what --
A- Which would be, you know, personal information,
income tax returns.
403Q-As part of that verification, certainly one of the things the bank always looks to is what the income or the ability to repay of the person is.

A- And they would utilize the income tax returns that were submitted to them.

404Q-And would they - those income tax returns, as I understand, were prepared in the most part at your request through either Robin Whitrod or I believe it's Elmore Shapiro.

A- Well, Mr. Shapiro did a certain amount of returns, and Mr. Courchesne did a certain amount of returns.

405Q-And Mr. Whitrod did a certain amount of returns.

A- Yeah, in the last number of years, Robin did most of them, yeah.

406Q-Okay, and the information which was provided for those returns --

A- Right.
407Q-Showing the income --
A- Right.
408Q-Would primarily come from yourself.

A- It would come from ourselves to them, yes, and then the clients would - you know, would get copies of the tax returns and so on.

409Q-I understand but --

A- Yeah.
410Q-The income --

A- Yes.
411Q-Which you were showing --

```
    A- Yes.
    412Q-The eight percent (8%) interest --
    A- Yeah.
    413Q-The fifteen percent (15%) interest --
    A- Yeah.
    414Q-And that they were paying taxes on --
    A- Right.
    415Q-Was fictitious income.
    A- But they were receiving the income. We were paying
        it out.
    416Q-Well, you weren't paying out the fifteen percent
        (15%) and the eight percent (8%).
    A- Sure, we were. The clients were getting monthly
        remittances at time, and the eight percent (8%) was
        going out.
    417Q-You would never pay out fifteen percent (15%), Mr.
        Jones, from what we've seen, and you would never pay
        out fourteen percent (14%) --
    A- No --
    418Q-On these accounts.
    A- I'm sorry, but you showed me a statement for
        Jackson.
    419Q-Yes.
    A- And she would have got fifteen percent (15%).
    420Q-So, you're telling us that the statements you were
```

sending out were correct, when you're showing that they've earned this interest? You said before --
A- Well, the statements --
421Q-They were fictitious.
A- The statements were correct, but the - and many of
them, if not all, were getting monthly remittances,
as I'm sure Louise Voyer referred to. And so, in
effect, they were getting the interest.
422Q-But the interest you told us that you were showing
was simply a calculation on the computer. It was
fictitious.
A- I was sending out the interest to them.
423Q-So, you were sending out the interest --
A- That's why they --
424Q-That was shown --
A- That's why --
425Q-On --
A- That's why --
426Q-The statement?
A- That's why the cash flow was a problem.
427Q-You were sending out the interest which was shown on
the statement.
A- Sure, sure, some people didn't. Some people
accumulated it, but, in effect, they did. Some of
these loan agreements that you showed me, they got
interest. They had postdated cheques.

```
428Q-Well, I'm not talking about loan agreements --
```

A- Okay, well, just --
429Q-I'm talking about --
A- Let's go back here.
430Q-People whose statements --
A- Yeah.
431Q-You're showing their monies are in pooled accounts
at eight percent (8\%).
A- Many of them, if not - the majority of them received
interest, the interest.
432 Q -Part of or all?
A- The majority received their monthly or whatever the
interest was. In the case of this here - I'm just
looking - the interest accumulated in there, because
it was an estate, but Mrs. Brunet, I guess, if you
go through, you'd see that there was payments made
to her. She asked for ten thousand dollars
(\$10,000.00). We'd send out - give her ten thousand
dollars (\$10,000.00).
433Q-Alright, so, are you saying that the tax returns
that were given to the Bank of Montreal were
accurate?
A- I would say so. Some of them may...
434Q-You're aware that Mr. Whitrod is in the process of
amending all those tax returns, because he believes the interest is fictitious.

```
A-
```

    Well, ask --
    435Q-Are you aware of that?
A- No, is there a chance I could have a break and go --
436Q-Yes, sure.
A- To the little men's room?
437Q-Yes, certainly.

## SHORT ADJOURNMENT

Me NEIL H. STEIN: 438Q-Okay, Mr. Jones, you started to explain that certain people were getting what you refer to as their interest, but in many cases, in effect, all they were doing is getting back their capital, because they never ended up getting their capital at the end of the day. Isn't that correct? A- It's one way of looking at it, yes. 439Q-Alright, so, the calculation of the income that was being shown on those tax returns was fictitious, I submit to you. Now, did you, in addition, advise people to cash in life insurance policies, so that they could provide you with funds, so that you could provide them with a higher return than on their life
insurance policies?

A-
There may have been times where I've suggested to take the cash from an insurance policy, which was doing nothing.

440Q-I show you here, Mr. Jones, a cheque made from Manulife Financial to Mrs. Marjorie Curtis for the sum of thirty-eight thousand dollars (\$38,000.00). Right.

441Q-Would this be an example of one of the cases where you would have recommended to your client to cash in her insurance policy, and provide you with the funds for investment purposes?

A- The decision would have bee made in her best interest with the options that she was given to take the money. The money did come to me, but the initiation wasn't that $I$ would necessarily end up with the money.

442 Q-But the options that you were giving her were that you would invest the money for her at a specific return.

A- The money would be added to her account, yes.
443Q-And if I take a look at her statement which is attached to that cheque --

A- Right.
444Q-You'll see that you were showing that you were
earning eight percent (8\%) interest --
A-
Right.
445Q-For her.
A- Right.
$446 Q-$ So, it would only be normal that she would be
cashing this in at your recommendation that you were
going to provide her with an eight percent (8\%)
return. Isn't that correct?
A- No.
447Q-That's not correct.
A- No.
448Q-Okay, so, what is it?
A- It's related, if I recall, to a pension that she had
through the Bell Telephone that her husband had
years ago, and they gave her an option which was
beneficial tax wise. The initial decision was it
was better off for her to cash in and take her
money.
$449 Q-A n d$ who dropped this cheque off at your office?
A- She would have.
450Q-And what would you - what were you supposed to do
with the cheque?
A- Well, we'd deposit it. We told her that we would
deposit it into her in trust account as shown here.
451Q-And give her eight percent (8\%) interest on it.
A- That's right.
452Q-When you knew that was fictitious.
A- Yes.
453Q-okay, would you produce a copy of that as Exhibit
EJ...
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Ten (10).
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
454Q-...-10? Now, would you do the same - would you have
recommended in various instances that people cash in
their RRSP's and give you the proceeds for purposes
of investment?
A- At times, yes.
455Q-okay, and I show you here a copy of - just ignore
the - the first page is a letter dated October 5th,
2009, to Scotia McLeod just requesting a copy of the
cheque in question. The cheque in question is a
cheque dated November 22nd, 2004, in the sum of
sixty-one thousand zero eighty-eight sixty-one
(\$61,088.61), which was a full withdrawal of this
individual's RRSP.
A- Right.
456Q-You would have recommended that this individual do
that for what purpose?
A- She was having a difficult time living financially
living where she resides, and wanted to stay there. And I would have explained to her that she could keep her RRSP, but if she dies, she's going to pay fifty percent (50\%) tax on it, or she could take her money out and utilize her money to enjoy life, if she so desired, along with certain family vacations that she could not afford that she wanted to carry on with.

457Q-So, you advised her to redeem her RRSP and --
A- Well, when we looked - when we sat down and went through, that was her only option if she wanted to carry on with her station of life that she was used to living in.

458Q-Alright, but --
A- That's the only funds she had.
459Q-I'm saying if I take a look at the next document in that package --

A- Right.
460Q-You'll see there's a letter by Susan Brown to Scotia McLeod --

A- Right.
461Q-November 2nd, 2004 --
A- Right.
462Q-Explaining the circumstances that she met with her financial administrator, Mr. Earl Jones --

A- Right.
463Q- "...who reviewed in depth my current financial situation now and in the future..." And as you say, for purposes of cash liquidity and personal reasons, she stated that she was going to withdraw her RRSP held at Scotia McLeod. And can you tell us what happened with the funds?

AWell, it went into her trust account.

464 Q-At?
A- With us.

465Q-And you were promising to --
A- I would think. I don't know. I would think so.
466Q-Well, if you take a look at the endorsement on the cheque, do you see D. Stewart?

A- Yes.
467 Q-Okay, it would lead me to believe that it was
deposited to - or if I take a look at the last page
--

A- Yeah, it was deposited to --468Q-Deposited to your trust account.

A- Yeah.
469Q-okay.

A- Yeah.
470Q-So, you would have promised her what interest with
respect to that?
A-
The rate that she was getting in her regular account
that was open, which could be eight percent (8\%).

471Q-Okay, and would you produce that as Exhibit EJ-10?
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

Eleven (11).

MS. JULIE KORMAN

Eleven (11).

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
.DOQ-I'm sorry, EJ-11. I show you here as well a letter from Mr. Sangster --

A- Right.
473Q-To ClaricO Investment Company Inc. Again, would this be an example of where you had recommended that this individual cash in his RRSP and provide you
with the funds for investment purposes?
A- Well, I would not say cash it in and give me the money. In this case here, Mr. Sangster is a single person, and I recall that he needed - he wanted some money. He travels a lot. He's a scientist. And the bottom line is that he could keep it, not married, and if he died, he'd be paying "x" number of dollars, or he takes his money out and utilizes
the funds. And, yes, the money went into our
account.

474Q-Your account with him which was supposed to provide him with a certain return.

A- That's right.
475Q-Okay, would you produce that as Exhibit...
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Twelve (12).
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
476Q-EJ-12? Now, this letter that we just looked at and the one that we looked at with respect to Exhibit EJ-11, both refer to the fact that you were overlooking the financial affairs of these people, and that you were their financial "administerer". Were you at any time registered with the Autorité des Marchés Financiers to act as a financial advisor?

A- No, I was not. I was advised a number of years ago - I believe there was a change in the rules and regulations for individuals providing financial consulting, not a financial administrator, but financial consulting, my understanding. And subject to when you started your business was subject to whether or not you had to go and be licensed. And my understanding at that time was that starting when I did, I did not require a licence.

477Q-So, your understanding at the end and in the last number of years was even though you acted as a financial administrator or advisor, you did not have to be licensed.

A- Right.
478Q-Okay, did you ever verify in the last number of years with the Autorité des Marchés Financiers --

A- No, I don't recall --
479Q-Or the Securities Commission beforehand --
A- I don't recall doing that, no.
480Q-Did you ever verify with the predecessor, Securities Commission, as to whether you had to be licensed or not?

A- Years ago, and I don't know when it was, when there was a change, and there was new stipulations, I recall being advised that subject to when you started your practice, it was like being grandfathered, and I didn't need a licence. 481Q-So, you were of the opinion that you never needed a licence.

A- Yes.
482Q-Okay, would it be fair to say that you knew the difference between having funds invested in guaranteed investments, guaranteed by either the province or the federal government, and the funds
that were invested in your trust account?
A- Yes.
483Q-Okay, you, at all times, knew that there was a very big distinction.

A- Yes.
484Q-Okay, when you were at Montreal Trust, funds that you were administering, for the most part, would be put into government guaranteed type of investments on behalf of estates in trust. Is that correct?

A- Correct.
485Q-Okay, why was the same not done with respect to your trust account?

A- As a result of requiring monies to take in "x" number of dollars from a client and saying I'm going to give you eight percent (8\%), which I wasn't getting, and paying out that eight percent (8\%) --

4862-So, that put you in a --
A- There was a cash flow - yeah.
487Q-That put you in a position where you could really never make any true investments. You had to continuously use new money coming in --

A- Well, not --
488Q-To support --
A- Not at all times, no, there's many times where monies come in, and funds - for many, many years I
worked with RBC Dominion Securities, and they handled a lot of our clients. And funds would come in, which were transferred to them. There was other brokers. I - when I say financial administrator, in the majority of instances, and I underline the word "majority", when it came to an insurance issue, when it came to an investment or buying stocks or bonds, I always referred to other people.

```
489Q-That would be for specific --
```

A- At times.
490Q-Investments for specific clients --
A- Yes.
491Q-Correct?
A- Yeah.
492Q-okay, the vast majority of the funds --
A- In the last number of years, stayed in our trust
account.
493Q-Stayed in your trust account.
A- Yes.
494Q-Okay, when do you recall is the last time that you
made a specific investment for a specific client
with either RBC Dominion Securities or Scotia
McLeod?
A- I wouldn't make - I want to be clear here. I
wouldn't make the investment per se. I wouldn't say
buy, you know, $A B C$ bond fund. I would go to an investment fund and say a client has got twenty thousand $(\$ 20,000.00)$ or fifty thousand $(\$ 50,000.00)$. They want to invest it, and we would send the money to them. The client would meet with that particular company, and it could be RBC Dominion Securities. The Gibson's are with another company that I introduced them to, but I never went out and said buy this stock or that stock or that --495Q-I'm not suggesting you did, but you would refer them to the broker, correct?

A- At times, yes. 496Q-You referred a fair amount of people to Mr. Menard. A- Mr. Menard or Leclerc. 497Q-Or Leclerc?
A- Yeah.
498Q-Why? Was there any particular reason that you would
refer to them?
A- J.P., well, J.P. and I, Menard, knew each other at
Montreal Trust, and I utilized J.P.'s services,
different brokers where he was, and RBC for thirty
(30) years, thirty-five (35) years. Many of our
clients are still there.
499Q-Okay, and was there any benefit to you in so doing?
A- That I would receive a benefit?

500Q-Yes.
A- No.
501Q-You never received --

A- No.
502Q-Any benefits of whatsoever nature.
A- No.
503Q-Okay, did you, at any time, advise any of your clients that you were using the proceeds of this in trust account for your own personal purposes?

A- I don't recall, no.
504Q-You don't recall or you - you don't recall whether you advised them, or you don't recall advising them that you were?

A- Generally, the answer would be no. There may have been a time where I would say that, you know, I wanted to borrow ten thousand ( $\$ 10,000.00$ ) personally or whatever it might be, but, generally speaking, the answer is no.

505Q-Mr. Pierre Courchesne was the accountant for your corporation.

A- Yes.
506Q-Can you tell the Court why, at no time, did you show him the in trust account?

A- Well, he would not accept what was happening. That's why.

507Q-You knew he would not accept it.
A- I would believe he would not accept what would be happening, yes.

508Q-Okay, and that would have gone right back to day 1 when he started acting for you or almost day 1 ?

A- Yeah, I would - well, I didn't use the trust account for, you know, I gather, a good three (3) to five (5) years, but he was the original accountant that did the books, and the information was given to him by our staff.

509Q-But he, at a certain point in time, received funds from the in trust account in payment of what he referred to as his fees for work on behalf of the corporation.

A- Yes, right, of clients, because - well, yes, yes, well, I would think his bills would have been paid by the company account.

510Q-Well, from what we've seen, his bills were paid from the in trust account, and, moreover, what we've seen is he borrowed certain monies from the in trust account.

A- Right.
511Q-You recall making him a loan?
A- Yes.
512Q-Okay, so, he would have received at least one cheque
from the in trust account.
A- Yes, I would think so.
513Q-Did he ever ask any questions as to what this in trust account is about?

A- No, he never really asked any questions. I think he - well, he never asked any questions.

514Q-Would it be true to say, Mr. Jones, that, at certain times, you would deposit fees that you would earn to the in trust account as opposed to the corporate account? And I'm referring in particular to the Brasserie - what was it called? The...

A- Hymus.
515Q-Hymus, right.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD: Le Manoir.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
516Q-Manoir.
A- Well, Le Manoir, yeah, that was the last cheque I received, I think, in March or April, and I think it was deposited to the in trust account instead of the company account.

517Q-Right, and you would not have paid any tax on that by depositing it to the in trust account.

A- Well, it would have been paid when the company returns were filed this year.

```
518Q-I see. So, to date, it hasn't been declared as
    income.
    A- No.
    519Q-Okay, now, your wife received on a regular monthly
        basis cheques from the in trust account, correct?
    A- I - yes, she did, yeah.
    520Q-Okay, did she ever receive cheques from your
        corporate account?
    A- I would think she did. I would believe she did.
    521Q-And when?
    A- No idea.
    522Q-No idea.
    A- It might have been three (3) or four (4) years ago
        or what have you.
    523Q-Well, we've gone through. We don't see them.
    A- Okay.
    524Q-I can tell you that much. What was the reason you
        were paying her from the in trust account as opposed
        to the corporate account?
    A- The corporate account didn't have enough monies.
        525Q-okay, was there any other reason?
    A- No.
    526Q-There was no other reason, for example, that she
        would have had to have paid tax on the money coming
        out of the in trust - coming out of the corporate
```

account?

A-
If I had made a cheque to her out of the corporate account, it would have been classified as a draw on my behalf.

```
527Q-So, you would have had to pay --
```

A- Yes.
528Q-Money on it.
A- Yeah.
529Q-Okay.
A- I would think so, yeah.
530Q-Okay, and by doing these payments through the in trust account, neither you nor her paid taxes on those amounts.

A- I did not pay taxes, and she would not have paid taxes on it.

531Q-Right.
A- No, it was the utilization of other people's monies, obviously.

532Q-Now, there was various cheques from the in trust account on a regular basis made out to employees for cash. Do you recall that?

A- Yes.
5332-What was the purpose of that?
A- That was bonuses that I was paying them.
534 Q-Aside from bonuses that were being paid to them in
cash, there seems to be other regular monthly amounts that were cheques made to cash, which employees said they would go and cash at the bank.

A- Right.
535Q-What was the purpose of those funds?
A- That was additional monies over and above their regular salary.

536Q-Well, they claim that it was not for them. They claim that a certain amount of this cash would be kept by you.

A- Well --
537Q-Is that true?
A- There's monies that, from time to time, they would go and get cash for me on a personal basis, but there was also cash paid to some of our clients.

538Q-Okay.
A- Instead of getting a cheque for their interest, they wanted it in cash.

539Q-Okay, who would have wanted their interest in cash?
A- Some clients, some clients would have wanted it in cash.

540Q-Well, who would be distributing this money to them in cash?

A- We would. I would. I would distribute it to them, or the girls would get it and give it to them in
cash. Clients would sometimes come in and say, look, I need a thousand dollars $(\$ 1,000.00)$ for this or I need "x" number of dollars a quarter, and I'd like it in cash.

541Q-Your employees said that, in respect of these cash payments, these cheques made to cash, that they were receiving the cash, that there would always be a surplus that you would keep in a safe.

A- We kept some - well, we kept a couple of dollars in the safe, five hundred ( $\$ 500.00$ ) or a thousand dollars (\$1,000.00), nothing extraordinary and --542Q-And what happened with that cash? A- That cash was used for clients who would come in and --

5430-And did you ever use any of that cash for yourself?
A- There's times that $I$ used cash out of the in trust account. It was mostly from my Royal Bank in trust card, my debit card.

544Q-Okay, would it be true to say that almost your entire lifestyle was paid from funds over the years out of the in trust account? And when I'm talking about your lifestyle, I'm talking about the support of your condominium here in Montreal, Mont Tremblant, Florida, the support of the condominium in Hyannis for your daughter.

A- Well, if you - you can see from the trust account, I have put quite a bit of money back in through remortgaging and --

545Q-Well, you purchased with trust account money.
A- Yeah.

546Q-You supported the mortgages with trust account money.

A- Right.
547 Q-You paid the condominium fees with trust account money.

A- Right.
548Q-You then went and remortgaged the property, and used some of it or all of it and put it back into the trust account to continue to use it.

A- Right, well, $I$ wouldn't say all of my living costs came from the trust account. There's money that came out of the corporate account. I used to have a draw. I recall thirty $(\$ 30,000.00)$, sixty thousand (\$60,000.00) a year, whatever it was.

549Q-Thirty $(\$ 30,000.00)$ or sixty thousand $(\$ 60,000.00)$, but your --

A- Or sixty thousand $(\$ 60,000.00)$ a year, but the rest would have come from the trust account.

550Q-Alright, now, your lifestyle that we're talking about was the support of a condominium in Dorval in
later years, the support of a property in Mont Tremblant, the support of a condominium in Florida, in Boca Raton, the support of your daughter's condominium in Hyannis, the payment of your personal clothing and furnishings of these homes, the payment of your travel to and from Boca Raton, to and from Boston and Hyannis. All that would be coming out of the in trust account.

A- A lot of it did.
551Q-Okay, the payment of your golf courses would come out of the trust account. Is that correct?

A- At times, yeah.
552Q-Okay, now, you mentioned that you had a Royal Bank Visa account or debit account, I'm sorry, debit card.

A- That's right.
553Q-Is that correct? Can you explain to the Court what was this debit card that you're talking about?

A- The Royal Bank debit card on the Earl Jones in trust account.

554Q-And when was that debit card issued? Do you recall in what year?

A- When I opened up the account going back probably it was 1988, you said, the trust account was opened? 555Q-In 1988.

A- I would have got a debit card then.
556Q-Right away.
A- They would have given me - I think so.
557Q-Okay, and you had no problem taking out funds in cash with that debit card from the beginning right up until the end. Is that --

A- No.
558Q-Correct? Is that correct?
A- That's correct.
559Q-Okay, did you have any other types of credit cards that were being paid from by this trust account?

A- No, the monies that $I$ gave my wife, she would pay for the cards that we had.

560Q-Well, let's go through the cards that you had.
A- There may have an American Express that I --
561Q-Well, there's --
A- A card.
562Q-There's more than one American Express.
A- That's right.
563Q-okay, one second, we'll turn to those right now. Okay, the first American Express card which I see is a gold card in your name, account number 373562730622006. Do you recall that card?

A- I don't recall the number, but the gold card I do. 564Q-Okay, well, we have various summaries for various
years, and you can flip through them very quickly and see the summaries for travel, for rental of car, for lodging, for meals, etc. And you've got the years 2000, 2001, '02, '03, '04, '05, '06, '07, and the last statement is in 2008. Just take a look at that for a moment. Were those accounts paid from the in trust account? trust account, and the other cards I used --
565Q-Well, we'll come - one by one, we'll --
A- Is this the gold card here?
566Q-That's the gold card.
A- At times, I paid it from the in trust account.
567Q-If I take a look very briefly at these accounts, and we're not going to go through them right now item by item, but if I take a look at the last one, which is January, '08, up until September, '09, I see on the first page of January, '08, as an example, 40 West Sources Road, I presume, in Pointe Claire. That's a restaurant, I believe, on the West Island. Is that correct?

A- I believe so, yeah.
568Q-A bill of seven hundred and fifty-nine dollars and sixty-four cents (\$759.64).

A- What date was that?

```
569Q-That is December 19th, 2000 and... - I presume 2007.
A- Right.
570Q-Alright.
A- Hmm-hmm.
571Q-And we see various --
A- It would have been a Christmas party for the office.
572Q-Okay, then we see various other things such as
        Rendez-Vous Mont Tremblant. We see IGA Mont
        Tremblant. We see Marché Chèvrefils Mont Tremblant.
        Then, we see Delta Airlines, Air Canada, either to
        Fort Lauderdale or to Boston Logan. And then, we
        see various car rentals, etc. All of that would be
        for your personal use. Is that correct? When you
        went to Florida, it was for your personal
        condominium. And when you went to Boston, it was to
        see your daughter, I presume, in Cape Cod.
    A- It was - at times, I was chairman of the - two (2)
        of the programs for the learning disabled down
        there. So, I, at times, went to various meetings.
        And other times, it would have been to see Kim,
        yeah, my daughter.
    573Q-Okay, but it's all personal. It's not - nothing
        related to the in trust account.
    A- Business --
    574Q-It's nothing that you're going on behalf of clients
```

who have money in the in trust account.
A- Not really, no.
575Q-Okay, and then when I see purchases at Talbots stores in Boston or Puritan stores on Cape Cod, those would be for purchases for you and your wife of clothing, I presume, or for your children. Is that correct?

A- Personal, yes.
576Q-Yes, and Palm Beach Golf Club in Boca Raton, that would be for yourself personally.

A- Yes.
577Q-And Club Link Corporation, I presume, which deals with golf clubs, that would again be personal.

A- Yes.
578Q-Okay, Ritz Carlton Hotel in New York, what would
that have been about?
A- That was a special family event.
579Q-That was your family event?
A- Yes.
580Q-Okay.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
581Q-Now, when I see in May of 2008 Air Canada to

Vancouver and Pan Pacific Vancouver, can you explain to the Court what that was about?

A- That was a personal trip, a personal family trip. 582Q-And that had to --
A- My brothers, my brothers, yeah.

583Q-okay, that had to do with a cruise that was being taken?

A- That's right.

584Q-So, when I see Diamond Princess, Los Angeles, for seven thousand two hundred and seventy-nine $(\$ 7,279.00)$ or seven thousand ninety-seven (\$7,097.00) U.S., that has to do with that personal cruise?

A- Yes.

585Q-And all this was paid from the in trust account.

A- Well, as I say, some of - I used to pay some from the company account, and my wife would pay it from so, I gather it's - most of it was from the trust account.

586Q-Now, Mr. Jones, you personally had various insurance policies. Do you recall that?

A- Yes.

587Q-okay, at various times in your life, okay.

A- Right.
588Q-Can you tell the Court when you started cashing in
those insurance policies?
A-
Over the last two
(2) or three (3) years.

589Q-And why would you have started to cash in those insurance --

A- Because I needed money.
590Q-You needed money?
A- Yeah.
591Q-Okay, so, it would be true to say that, in the last two (2) or three (3) years, you knew that you were starting to have problems --

A- Yes.
592Q-And could not carry on --
A- Yes.
593Q-What I'd refer to as basically a Ponzi scheme, correct?

A- I was having problems.
594Q-Yes, now, Mr. Jones, I show you here a list of insurance policies, and I'm not quite sure who prepared this, but could you tell us who would have prepared this list?

A- I would think London Life prepared it.
595Q-London Life prepared it for you?
A- I would think so, not to me, probably to Bob Spencer, my insurance broker.

596Q-Okay, do you recall if you would have asked for this
printout to be prepared?
A- Do you know the date of it?
597Q-No, I don't.
A- Well, but I guess three (3), four (4) months ago.
I was working directly with London Life to see what
cash was available. They may have pulled it off
then.
598Q-Okay.
A- And may have sent it to Bob, Bob Spencer.
599Q-Well, $I$ see in the last column, which is "Comments",
it refers to the cash loan balances --
A- Right.
600Q-At various dates --
A- Right.
: \Q-Which run up to June 23rd, 2009.
A- Right.
602 Q -Do you recall whether this would have been done
prior to or after June of 2009, June 10th of 2009 -
June 23rd of 2009?
A- June 23rd, was that the last date that was on there?
603Q-Well, if I see the third comment down --
A- I would say that it was done - I approached London
Life after the business was closed to cash in or to
determine what was available, what options I had, to
keep these policies in force. So, I would have
thought they'd pull that off at that time, and I
don't recall getting a copy of that.
604Q-Okay, would you produce that list of insurance
policies as Exhibit EJ-13?
A- Am I allowed to ask a question?
605Q-Certainly.
A- Have they all been cashed in now, these, or...
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
606Q-Yes, yes, they have.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
607Q-Now, do you recall an insurance policy that you had with Standard Life?

A- Standard Life could have been an insurance, or it could have been an RRSP. I don't know. 608Q-Okay, well, I show you here a copy of a cheque from Standard Life to yourself --

A- Right.
609Q-Dated - what's the date on this? It seems to be
June - I can't really - the processing date, I
believe, is June 23rd, 2009, in the sum of twentythree thousand seven twenty-one $(\$ 23,721.00)$ made to yourself.

A- That would have been an RRSP withdrawal. 610Q-Okay, and would you take a look at this cheque for a moment?

A- $\quad \mathrm{Hmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
611Q-And look at the endorsement on the cheque.
A- Yeah.
612Q-What does it read?
A- "Pay over to Maxine Jones."
613Q-Pay over, okay, that's the word, pay over to Maxine Jones.

A- Yeah.
$614 Q-C a n$ you tell me what you would have done with this cheque?

A- Well, it indicates there. Maxine deposited it to account 5022015, which would have been probably the Royal Bank at Dorval Shopping Centre.

615Q-So, that would be her account. Is that correct?
A- Yeah, but she had - I believe she had her own personal account, and she had a joint account. That could be a joint account.

616Q-Well, let's check. 50229 is her personal account.
502205 appears to be your joint account with her.
I believe this would --

A- So, this was the joint account.
617Q-This is for the joint account.
A- Yeah.
618Q-okay, can you tell the Court what happened with this money?

A- Well, it went into the account. I would think she used it to pay the Visa bill or bills that we had to pay.

619Q-So, she was the one who would issue cheques on that account?

A- Yeah.
620Q-Okay, never you?
A- I never had a chequebook or anything.
6212-Okay.
A- Well, I mean she may have given me a cheque which I may have signed, but would I issue - would I utilize the account or issue cheques? No.

622Q-Okay, would you produce a copy of that as EJ-14?
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD: Yes.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
623Q-Now, Great West Life, did they hold your RRSP - I'm sorry, they were --

A- They held our general health insurance plan for our company.

624Q-Okay, did they hold any other insurance for you?
A- Well, it was a package where you had disability, health insurance, and life insurance, and dental. 625Q-Okay, Great West issued various cheques to you.

A- They would have been paying Maxine's prescriptions
and so on.
626Q-In December of '08 for nineteen thousand dollars (\$19,000.00), I show you here --

A- December of '08 would have been - December of 108 could have been her accident we had in Florida, where she was - we would have made a claim there.

627Q-Okay, so, that's related to a claim. That has nothing to do with cashing in of insurance policies.

A- No, no, no, no, this had - I don't recall having any insurance policies or anything with Great Life.

628Q-When did you first advise your wife that you were having financial difficulties?

A- When - I guess June of this year, when they - when I closed the door.

629Q-Before that, you never had any discussions with her?
A- No, no.
630Q-None whatsoever.

A- None whatsoever.
631Q-I'm going to request that we stop for the morning now and come back, as opposed to two o'clock (2:00), come back - it's quarter to twelve (11:45), maybe a quarter to two (1:45), if that's okay with you.

OFF RECORD DISCUSSION

THE WITNESS:
I don't know if this should be said, but I
would like to say a couple of personal things. I
mean it could be documented, but you know I have a
problem in travel. I mean I have a problem with
numerous threats, alright. So, I'd like to, you
know, know what's - you know, what is happening,
whether people have been advised or creditors have
been advised, you know. been advised, you know.

OFF RECORD DISCUSSION
$N O O N \quad R E C E S S$

THE WITNESS IS UNDER THE SAME OATH.

CONTINUATION OF EXAMINATION BY Me NEIL H. STEIN
on behalf of the Trustee:
632Q-Mr. Jones, do you recall, in the latter part of the operation of your business or perhaps just after you stopped operating your business, preparing a letter
that you entitled a "Letter of Help"? First of all, I'm showing you here a handwritten one (1), two (2), three (3), four (4), five (5), six (6), seven (7) page document, which appears to be in your handwriting.

A- Yes.
633Q-Do you identify that as your handwriting?
A- $\quad$ I do.
634Q-Okay.
A- Can I ask a question?
635Q-Yes.
A- Where was this obtained?
636Q-This was obtained from one of your files in the office.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

No.
A- No, it was not.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
No?
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
It was obtained from a series of files that
were taken from the condo.
A- Or was it obtained from the photocopy?
637Q-No, it was taken from - there was a series --
A- The fax machine?

```
638Q-No, there was a series of files in your condo --
A- Okay.
    639Q-In Dorval.
    A- Okay.
    640Q-That is where the document was.
    A- This letter I did write, and it never went out.
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    641Q-Okay, but let's look at the first page, which isn't
        the letter, which seems to be a summary of various
        things. One, I see at the top E.J., which I presume
        is yourself. And then, I can't read the writing.
    A- For follow-up.
    642Q-Oh, for follow-up, is that what it is? Okay, the
        first thing is Kim. I presume that refers to your
        daughter --
    A- Right.
    643Q-In Hyannis. There are certain numbers there, a
        phone number and certain names.
    A- Yes.
    644Q-And would you tell me what the follow-up was to be
        with respect to this individual?
    A-
        Bill McKelvie took over as chairman. I was chairman
        of the Life program, and Bill was vice-chair. So,
        I had talked to Bill about obviously resigning. And
        Ken Nickerson, who is on your far right, he was - he
```

and I were very instrumental in turning around that program. And Ken has a personal foundation in the U.S.A., and basically I had asked Ken if he could help in somehow, some way, looking after some of the expenses outstanding for Kimberly.

645Q-Okay, would you tell me when this document was prepared?

A- The document was prepared at the condo. When did you ask me to leave the condo? Do you remember?

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
646Q-September 11th, I believe.
A- It would have been prepared in August, I would say. Me NEIL H. STEIN:

647Q-In August of 2009.
A- Yes.
648Q-Okay, alright, so, now, under that, we have a dollar sign, then owing, status, and options. I presume --

A- That related to Kim's. At --
649Q-Right.
A- Kim's program, there was cheques that I had wrote, and the Royal Bank had put stop payments on them. So, there was some fees outstanding and some condo expenses, I think some taxes or condo fees. And the status and option was that $I$ was going to ask Bill, which I did, quite frankly, if there was anything
that the Life program could do to be able to keep our daughter there for the rest of the year.

650Q-Okay, under that, you have condo and contents and then tainted. It's marked tainted with two (2) question marks.

A- That's a comment that - as you know, I first went to see Richard Shadley, and what came up at - my first lawyer was Richard Shadley.

651Q-Right.
A- And then, that came --
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Hold on a second.
A- Anyway, what you've - the contents and the condo, when I say tainted was Richard, then his staff, and then Jeff after that said, you know, whether it's any of the condos or contents of the condos, they're bought with tainted money.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
652Q-Okay.
A- And there was a question mark as to whether they were or not, in my opinion.

653Q-Okay, then, MPJ, that --
A- That's Maxine.
654Q-I presume is Maxine.
A- Yes.

```
655Q-And the first item on it is divorce.
A- It was suggested when I went to see Norton Segal.
    It was recommended by Richard Shadley that - I had
        a half an hour appointment with him. A comment was
        made it's probably better off your wife gets a
        divorce.
    656Q-And probably better off your wife gets a divorce
        from you for what particular reason?
        You know what? At that time, I didn't - his
        comments were: You'll have a heart attack, your
        family will leave you, and your wife will divorce
        you, and maybe it's better off, so...
    657Q-Alright, and then we see houses again tainted. So,
    that refers to the houses, I presume, in Dorval,
        Mont Tremblant --
    A- Yeah.
    658Q-And --
    A- Yeah.
    659Q-Boca Raton.
    A- Yeah.
    660Q-Okay, and also you have furniture, apartment, and --
    A- Yeah, that was just --
    661Q-Auto in Florida.
    A- Yeah.
    662Q-Okay, then we see B.E.J., and that I presume is you.
```

```
A- That's right.
    663Q-What is the first --
    A- Legal Aid.
    664Q-Worth something it says. Worth, is that what it
        says? No, Legal Aid I saw. Then, there's Chaplan,
        Chaplan, I believe.
A- Worth, it was a personal comment I made going all
        through --
    665Q-Oh, worth.
    A- Yes, it's the personal situation, you know.
    666Q-Okay, past, present, and future, and then dollars,
        rental, rental, is that it?
    A- Rent, lawyer.
    667Q-Rent, lawyer, okay.
    A- That was the result of the letter, I think, you sent
        me saying that if I stayed at the condo, I would
        have to pay rent.
    668Q-Okay, and then place to stay and hospital - back;
        okay, now, if we come to the next - what I refer to
        as the letter of help, this was a letter which you
        drafted. There are various references in there to
        the wrongful hurt that you've caused, etc. This
        letter almost sounds like it's a confession of your
        crimes, so to speak, that you wanted to send out to
        various people. What was the purpose of this
```

letter?

A-
The purpose of the letter which, in retrospect, was probably ridiculous, was to - I was looking to sending it out to people that I considered very close friends, especially that related to Riverview School where my daughter went and for the Life program, with the hope that they would maybe rally around me and put some money in to pay my victims. That's the purpose of the letter.

669Q-I see, okay. And you say, so, this was never - you never sent this letter out to any person.

A- No.
670Q-Okay, would you produce - well, mind you, before you produce it, I'm going to ask you to produce that as part of several letters. There was another letter or another part of that letter, which I'm going to show you, which I presume was a list of the people whom you partially intended to send this letter to. And at the bottom of the letter, you purport to want to raise about thirty (30) million dollars.

A- Right.
671Q-If I see at the bottom, three hundred (300) times one hundred thousand ( $\$ 100,000.00$ ). Was that your guestimate of the amount of damage that had been caused and funds lost?

A- Yes.
672Q-okay.
A- I think so. Yeah, I guess so.

673Q-Okay, would you produce those two (2) documents, en liasse, as Exhibit...

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD: Fifteen (15).

MS. JULIE KORMAN:
Fifteen (15).

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
674Q-Fifteen (15), EJ-15? In this letter, you state that you'll face your punishment for the crimes that you've committed. The crimes that you're referring to were obviously the use of other people's money. Is that what you were referring to there?

A- That's right.
675Q-Now, Mr. Jones, I want to go back to the issue of the banks. Can you tell the Court what banks you dealt with in the latter years of your business?

A- The Royal Bank, the Royal Bank in trust account, the Bank of Montreal, which was my corporate account, and the last year or last six (6) months, there was an in trust account at the Bank of Montreal.

676Q-Okay, we'll come to that in a second. If I can just perhaps list the accounts --

A- Yes.
677Q-Because I have a list of them, and you can tell me if we're talking about the same accounts. You had a personal account at the Bank of Montreal, Pointe Claire branch, number 3090654, that appears to be opened February 3rd, 1988. That would have been your personal account for your personal affairs. Is that correct?

A- I had a personal account there. I'm not sure of the numbers, but $I$ would say it's correct.

678Q-Okay, there then was another account called the Earl Jones Consultant and Administration Corp. account at the Bank of Montreal, Pointe Claire branch, number 1021241. That account was opened on August 25th, 2008. Do you recall that?

A- Well, I recall that we have an Earl Jones - that's Earl Jones Consultant and Administration?

679Q-That's your corporate.
A- Yes, corporate account.
680Q-Earl Jones Consultant and Administration Corp. --

A- But I thought that was opened far ahead than 2008.
681Q-I'll show it to you in two (2) seconds. I'm just
going to run through --
A- I'm not saying --
$682 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{No}$, no --

```
A- No, no --
    683Q-I'm just going to run through the accounts --
    A- Okay --
    684Q-And then, I will show you --
    A- There was an account --
    685Q-And we'll go through them one by one. The next one
        was you had an Earl Jones and Maxine Jones joint
        account at the Royal Bank of Canada, number 5022025.
        That's the one that you referred to before.
    A- Yes.
    686Q-Then, your wife Maxine had an account at the Royal
        Bank of Canada, number 500229. Then, we had the
        Earl Jones in trust account at the Royal Bank of
        Canada, and that one was number 5266622.
    A- Yes.
    687Q-That's the primary account that we've been talking
        about.
    A- Yes.
    688Q-Okay, where most of the funds up until August of
        2008 were deposited.
    A- Yes.
    689Q-Correct? Okay, then you had an Earl Jones
        Consultant and Administration Corp. at the Royal
        Bank of Canada, Beaconsfield, number 101-235.
    A- That was opened early in August of '08 or '09 or
```

something. That was opened for maybe a year. That was the one that --

690Q-Correct --
A- Was supposed to replace the in trust account.
691Q-Correct.
A- Yes.
692Q-Then, you had an account, and this one we'll come to
in a second, an account at the Bank of Montreal
which was opened August 25 th, 2008. The account,
from the documents we've seen, are opened in the
name of Earl Jones Consultant and Administration
Corp., yet your cheques which bear that number bear
the name Earl Jones in trust, and I'll come to the
documents in a second. So, that is the account that
you're saying was the new, according to you, Earl
Jones in trust account at --
A- That's right.
693Q-The Bank of Montreal?
A- That's right.
694Q-Okay, then you had another account, Earl Jones
Consultant and Administration Corp., opened at the
Bank of Montreal on August 25th, 2008, under number
1021241. So, there were two (2) accounts that
appeared to be opened at the Bank of Montreal in
August of '08. Both, according to the records we
have, are corporate accounts, although, one of them, the cheques are printed Earl Jones in trust.

A- Right.
695Q-So, we'll come to that in a second. Now, did you have any affairs with the Bank of Bermuda?

A- Yes, many, many years ago.
696Q-Okay, and did you have an account at the Bank of Bermuda?

A- Many years ago.
697Q-And the account was in your name and your wife's name?

A- I believe so.
698Q-Okay, and can you tell the Court what that account was about?

A- A number of our clients came to me and wanted to put money offshore. I think it was around the time where Quebec was going through the initial referendum, separation issue. And I worked with an investment firm salesperson in Montreal, who did a lot of offshore accounts, and ended up, I believe, in England. I have no idea where he is now or what he's doing. And he opened up a number of accounts for clients, which were all documented by the clients and since closed.

And unknown to me, he opened up an account for
me and put in, I think, something like three
thousand dollars (\$3,000.00). And I remember getting the statement and saying, you know, what's this all about, and he says, oh, well, you had given me some good referrals. So, I thought I'd do you a favour and open up the account. That account was closed and --

699Q-When was that account closed?

A- I'm saying a good five (5) to ten (10) - five (5) years, ten (10) years ago. The Bank of Bermuda, and I'm not an offshore account expert, quite frankly, but the Bank of Bermuda, because of apparently offshore tax laws, transferred their - and I'm saying, their head office to the Bank of Bermuda Ireland, where I think a lot of offshore accounts operate. And at that particular time, all those accounts were closed.

700Q-okay, statements for that account were sent to your house on a regular basis?

A- Yes.

701Q-okay, do you remember the name of the person who referred you to the Bank of Bermuda?

A- Mr. Lemus, Frank Lemus.

702Q-okay.

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
703Q-From where? Where does he leave?
A- I have no idea. The last time $I$ knew, he was in Europe. And the last time I probably talked to him or heard about him was twenty (20) years ago.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

704Q-Now, we'll deal with the actual accounts for the moment. And these, unfortunately, I did not make copies of, because they are so voluminous, but we will, for purposes of the record, after this Examination, produce copies of them. The first document that I'm going to show you is when you originally opened up the Earl Jones in trust account at the Royal Bank of Canada, and we've referred to it before, on June 21st, 1988. And I would just ask you - we produced that as - we're going to be producing that as EJ-2. Would you just identify your signature?

A- That's my signature.
705Q-Okay, the rest is internal stuff from the bank. Again, so that the record is clear, when you opened this account, you said you didn't recall whether it was first opened up at the Royal Trust and then it was shifted over to the Royal Bank when they acquired the Royal Trust. Just to be clear, when
you signed this signature card, do you recall
whether you went to the branch to sign it?
A- Yes, I would - I believe I went to the branch to
sign it.
706Q-Okay, and do you recall whom you would have met at
the time?
A- No, the staff, which I'm sure you appreciate,
changes hands so much.
707Q-I'm sure. Okay, when you opened this account, again
for purposes of the record to be clear, did anybody
ask you what this account was for?
A- No.
708Q-Did you, at any time, tell them what --
A- No.
709Q-This account was for?
A- No.
$710 Q$-Did you, from the period June 21st, 1988, up until
the summer of 2008, ever have an inquiry from
anybody as to what this account was for?
A- No.
711Q-Did you, during that entire period, ever have
anybody question any of the deposits being made to
the account?
A- No.
712Q-Did you have any questions from anybody with respect
to amounts being withdrawn from the account?
A- No.
713Q-Did you - do you recall, and I think your answer this morning was that you thought it was from the beginning, when you received the debit card on this account?

A- The debit card, I would have received it about two (2) weeks maybe after I opened the account.

714Q-Okay, and nobody ever questioned you as to why you need a debit card on a trust account.

A- No, it was offered to me.
715Q-It was offered to you.
A- Yes.
7162-At the time that you opened the account?
A- Yes.
717Q-Well, how do you recall that as opposed to not recalling --

A- Because the girls I recall saying: Do you want a debit card? They offered you a debit card.

718Q-Okay.
A- I mean that's, to a great extent, no different than what you see today. You open an account, and the next day you get Visa cards and debit cards, but anyway...

719Q-Well, I know when we open our trust account, we
don't get debit cards on the trust account.
A- Okay.
720 Q-Were you surprised to receive a debit card on the trust account?

A- No.
721Q-Okay, were you at any - I'm sorry? Were you, at any time, asked to complete what is referred to as a Know Your Client form, KYC form, with respect to this account?

A- No, I don't recall.
722Q-You don't recall if you were, or you don't recall ever completing one?

A- I don't recall ever completing, and I don't recall ever being asked.

723Q-Okay.
A- There were calls that I received from the Royal Bank, and mostly it was, you know, you've got a large balance in this account. You're not getting any interest. Why don't you come in and see us, and maybe we could set up some - there's some way we can give you interest on the account? That was the only - that was the main crux.

724Q-Okay, and would anybody have ever said to you these monies are being held in trust and - or it was just a reference to this account was as if it was your
account?
A- No one ever said anything to that effect to me.
725Q-Okay, when was the first time you opened or requested to open a corporate account at the Royal Bank of Canada?

A- The first time was when they told me - was it August, August, '08, or whatever it was --

726Q-August --
A- When they told us that they had to change the format, and that's when the corporate account was opened thereafter, whatever date. I don't know. 727Q-Okay, I show you here a Royal Bank - excuse me, off the record for a second.

OFF RECORD DISCUSSION

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
728Q-Okay, I show you here, Mr. Jones, what is referred to as the Royal Bank of Canada Client Agreement, several pages, completed on behalf of Earl Jones Consultant and Administration Corporation dated July

24th, 2008. Would you tell me if that's your
signature on the first page?
A- Yes, it is.
729Q-okay, would you tell me if that is your signature --

A- Yes, it is.
730Q-On the third page, and whose signatures appear under that?

A- That's Debra Stewart and...

731Q-Nancy Wynands.
A- Nancy, yeah.

732Q-okay, and that is the corporate account that was opened in the summer of 2008 at the Royal Bank of Canada, which you say the Royal Bank requested that you open.

A- Yes.

733Q-Okay, I'd ask you to produce that account as Exhibit...

MS. JULIE KORMAN:

EJ-16.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
734Q-EJ-16; at the time of opening up that account, do you recall receiving from the Royal Bank of Canada this disclosure and agreements related to personal deposit accounts?

A- I don't recall receiving this. It may have been received by the girls at the office. I've never seen that.

735Q-You've never seen that.

A- No.

736 Q-Okay, do you recall seeing what is referred to as the Royal Bank business service agreement?

A- $\quad$ No.
737Q-You don't recall ever seeing that. Do you know whether your office received these two (2) documents?

A- I would say the girls would have shown it to me, but I can't answer that.

738Q-Now, can you tell the Court did the bank ask you, when you opened up these accounts in July, August, 2008, to deposit the funds that were in the Earl Jones in trust account to the corporate account, or do you recall what happened with those funds?

A- My understanding, I would think they were utilized, or if there was at a balance, it could have been transferred.

739Q-Okay, were there any other agreements that the bank had that were attached through the Earl Jones in trust account? For example, you had an RBC gold preferred - I guess it's a Visa account that had what is called a balance protector attached to it. Do you recall where that was paid out of for that protection, what account that protection was paid out of?

A- My wife paid the Visa card. So, she would have - if
there was an amount owing on the Visa, she would have paid it. Are you asking whether there was a separate payment for that protection?

740Q-That's correct.
A- No, I don't remember. I don't recall that at all.
741Q-Alright.
A- In fact, to be honest with you, I didn't even know I had a protection.

742Q-Okay, it's referred to as a balance protector premium.

A- No.
7430-There's a premium for that. So, you don't know --
A- No.
744Q-What it was paid out of. Now, if I take a look at the Royal account Visa Gold Preferred account, I notice your daughter's name on that account as well.

A- Right.
745Q-Kristine.
A- Yes.
746Q-Take a look at that.
A- $\mathrm{Hmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
747 -Can you explain to me why we see the reference to your daughter?

A- We were trying to get Visa cards for Kristine and for Kimberly. And I think the process we went
through was opening a Visa account where we'd get a card for Kristine and a card for Kimberly, but Kimberly was in the U.S.A. and living, and I believe we never got a card for Kimberly. Kristine got a card.

```
748Q-Was this --
A- And it may even go back to the days where she was in
        college, and we wanted her to have a card. I don't
        know when that account was - when that Visa was
        opened.
    749Q-But this appears - is this a joint account - a joint
        card --
    A- Yes.
    750Q-With you and your daughter?
    A- She has no responsibility for it. She wouldn't have
        - that's personally my account. Kristine had
        nothing to do with that.
    751Q-Now, now, you said that your wife would pay this
        card?
    A- My wife usually paid the Visa bills from the funds
        that I gave her or monies that - yeah.
    752Q-The monies that were coming out of the in trust
    account --
    A- I don't think they always came out of the in trust
        account. I think there were times that I gave her
```

cheques from the company account or maybe cheques from my own personal account.

```
753Q-Mr. Jones, I can show you the payments going to your
    wife, and we're going to come to them. They average
    from ten thousand ($10,000.00) to thirty-five
    thousand ($35,000.00) per month for years and years
    and years. We'll go over them. Were you able to
    write or can you explain to me what a Visa cheque
    is?
    A- Well, when you get your Visa card, they give you
        four (4) or five (5) cheques that you write. It's
        debited to your Visa account.
    754Q-So, when I see a Visa cheque for fifteen thousand
        dollars ($15,000.00), that I'm showing you here in
        May of '06 --
    A- Right.
    755Q-That would represent what?
    A- It was a cheque that I wrote against my credit line
        on the Visa.
756Q-And do you know - you don't recall today, I presume,
        where you would be writing that cheque to.
A- What date was that?
757Q-That's in May 17th, 2006.
A- No.
758Q-In July of 2007, there's another Visa cheque for ten
```

thousand dollars (\$10,000.00). Would you use Visa cheques from this account for any particular purpose? There's various other ones which we'll come to: for eight thousand $(\$ 8,000.00)$ in September, '07; ten thousand (\$10,000.00), January, '08.

```
759Q-Put them into your trust account --
```

A- I could have --
760Q-Deposited to your trust account?
A- Right, could have or I could have given them to
Maxine to deposit to our Royal Bank account. In all
likelihood, they were utilized for expenses or debt.
761Q-Expenses --
A- Or debt.
762Q-How about to RBC Centura?
A- That's the U.S.
763Q-That's your U.S. account?
A- I believe so, yes.
07. Q-And that was used for what?
A- When was that?
765Q-Well, if $I$ show you here a cheque dated April - I'm
sorry, March 20th, 2008, for ten thousand five
thirty twenty-seven $(\$ 10,530.27)$, well, actually,
it's cash, RBC Centura. Would that be a withdrawal
of cash?

A-
I don't think I would withdraw ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) cash, no. No, it may have been for some kind of expenses down in Florida, but I certainly wouldn't draw ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) cash.

766Q-Alright, so, you wouldn't withdraw cash. So, if I show you on November 7th, 2008, a cash advance of fourteen thousand dollars (\$14,000.00) coming out of this account, you would say the same thing?

A- If you're talking cash cash, is this Centura? 767Q-No, that's right out of the Visa account.

A- I would - I really would say it wasn't cash. To go pick up cash at a bank, they don't really give you anything more than three $(\$ 3,000.00)$ or four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) at any one time anyway.

768Q-So, you have no explanation as to what a cash advance is?

A- No, the Royal Bank would. Maybe they treat utilizing the Visa cheque as a cash advance as opposed to a regular cheque.

769Q-Alright, so, at the Royal Bank, you had this Visa Gold Preferred account. Did you have any other Visa accounts with the Royal Bank?

A- I believe we did, yes.

```
770Q-I show you here what is referred to as the Visa Gold
        Preferred account, which is in your name and in your
        wife's name.
    A- That's right.
    771Q-Take a look at that. Is that the other account, the
        other Visa account, that you're referring to?
    A- I would say so, yes.
    772Q-Were there any other accounts that you had with the
        Royal Bank?
    A- No.
    773Q-Okay, in this particular gold preferred account,
        it's in both your name and in your wife's name.
        Either one of you had the right to use it. Is that
        correct?
    A- That's correct.
    774Q-Okay, I show you here a transaction in December 5th
        of '08 at Birks for six hundred and ninety-six
        dollars ($696.00) and then, on December 18th, at
        Birks for a thousand seven forty-six seventy-five
        ($1,746.75), and then, on January 4th, there's a
        credit note, two hundred and eighty-two dollars
        ($282.00). Can you tell us what --
    A- That was in December?
    775Q-December, correct.
    A- They would have been Christmas gifts for my wife
``` from me.

776Q-Okay, so, that would be Christmas gifts from?
A- From myself to my wife.
777Q-Okay, and this account was paid for again from the in trust account. Is that correct?

A- I would say so.
778Q-Okay, do you recall what the gift was that you bought?

A- I think I bought her a necklace.
\(7790-C a n\) you tell us what the amount of the line of credit was on each one of these Visa accounts? Do you recall?

A- I don't know exactly. I think one was twelve thousand \((\$ 12,000.00)\) or fourteen \((\$ 14,000.00)\), and one was fifteen \((\$ 15,000.00)\) or sixteen thousand \((\$ 16,000.00)\), or eighteen thousand \((\$ 18,000.00)\), I think, was one, and one was fifteen thousand \((\$ 15,000.00)\).

780Q-Was there ever any question about the bank - or from the bank on any of the cash withdrawals or these withdrawals that were being made from these accounts?

A- No.
781Q-During the period that you operated your in trust account with the Royal Bank of Canada, did anybody
at any point in time from the Royal Bank come and visit your premises to see your operation?

A- No, not when \(I\) was there, \(I\) think that - I think in the - I'm not sure whether Mr. - I use the word "Mr. Colette". I'm not sure if that was his first name or his second name, but I'm not sure whether he dropped in in 2009 to say hello, but I - no one ever visited me in answer to your question.

782Q-Do you recall, in the year 2001, ever having anybody from the Royal Bank call you with respect to the operation of the Earl Jones in trust account?

A- The only - not in regard - regarding the operation, the only calls I got from the Royal Bank were always to say that we could get you an account where you'd get some interest, and that was it.

783Q-Okay, can you tell the Court, the Earl Jones Consultant and Administration Corporation, what funds would be deposited to that account as opposed to the in trust account?

A- It would be the fees that clients paid us. 784Q-So, the fees would be paid to the corporate account on what sort of basis? Was it monthly or --

A- Half yearly. 785Q-How would you fund payroll, rent, telephones, etc., on a regular basis?
```

A- From the corporate account.
786Q-Okay, but did it have sufficient funds from the fees
that were being paid less the amount of --
A-
I believe so --
787Q-Draw that you were taking out?
A- I believe so. The girls looked after that, yes.
788Q-So, they would simply draw funds from the in trust
account when needed to pay the bills in --
Well, what they would do is they would - if we had
an in trust account where we indicated was the
client's monies, and the client owed us fees, quite
often the fees came out of that particular ledger
and then went into the corporation.
789Q-Did the corporation ever have a loan or line of
credit?
A- No.
790Q-With the Royal Bank?
A- No.
791Q-Your in trust account, obviously you didn't have a
line of credit on it.
A- That's right.
792Q-Okay, the corporate account didn't have a line of
credit.
A- No.
793Q-Did you have any other lines of credit for your

```
business?
A- No.
794Q-So, when you needed money, if there was a situation where you needed money, it would simply be always withdrawn from the in trust account. It was effectively your line of --

A- Not always withdrawn, number 1, what was withdrawn from the trust account was designated for the clients, because that's what they owed us. And, second of all, clients also sent in their own cheques.

795Q-But they would send it to the corporation?
A- That's right.
796Q-Okay, so, you always had sufficient funds in the corporate account that you never needed to borrow?

A- That's right.
797Q-You were always cash flow positive.
A- Yeah.
798Q-Okay, did anyone at any time from the bank - did you personally have a line of credit?

A- \(\quad\) No.

799Q-Okay.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
800 -Did your wife have any line of credit?
A- No.

801Q-Okay.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
802Q-Throughout the entire period that you had this in trust account with the Royal Bank, and I don't want to repeat myself, but I'm asking it for a very particular reason, did anyone at the Royal Bank ever tell you that you appeared to be using this trust account as if you were a lawyer or a notary?

A- No.
803Q-Okay, I would like to now turn to the Bank of Montreal account. The first account that you had with the Bank of Montreal was February 3rd, 1988, and it appears to be an account in your own personal name. I'm showing you here a copy of the New Account Application form. Would you identify your signature on that?

A- That's my signature.
804Q-Okay, I'm going to ask you to - we're going to make copies of this, to produce this as Exhibit EJ-17. Now, it appears from the Bank of Montreal documents that, on August 25th, 2008, you opened a corporate account under the name of Earl Jones Consultant and Administration Corporation.

A- In 2008, you said?
805Q-2008, and that is account number 1037-139, and I'll
ask you to take a look at those documents. Is that your signature which appears on that?

A- Yes, it is.

806Q-Okay, and I'm going to show you the certificate of an authorization for your incorporated company, which goes with that, done on the date of August 25th, and just tell me if that's your signature again.

A- That's my signature, yeah. 807Q-Okay, I'll ask you to produce that as Exhibit EJ... MS. JULIE KORMAN:

Eighteen (18).
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
808Q-Eighteen (18), en liasse, and we'll get somebody to
make copies of that. Now, I'm going to show you
cheques which bear that account number.
A- This account number?

809Q-This account number.
A- Okay.
810Q-Okay, just let me find them. I'm going to show you a series of cheques that have already been produced
as part of the petition in bankruptcy. The first
one is difficult to read, but I assure you it's the
same account number as all the balance of them. If
we take a look at these cheques which are made out
to the Ross family for various amounts, they are cheques which come from the Earl Jones in trust account at the Bank of Montreal --

A- Right.
811Q-And bear the account number 1037-139, which is the corporate account at the Bank of Montreal.

A- Right.
812Q-Can you tell me who printed these cheques?
A- The Bank of Montreal.

813Q-At whose request?
A- Our girls opened up the account, and I know that they did open up an account Earl Jones in trust. Why it would be under the company, I have no idea. 814Q-So, you have no idea as to --

A- No.
815Q-Why there's this inconsistency --
A- No.

816Q-That the bank records show that it's a corporate account, yet your cheques, which you're signing, appear to be a personal --

A- That's right.
817Q-Account, okay.
A- These were issued by the Bank of Montreal.
818Q-Okay, and the same thing - I'll show you another series of cheques made to - also produced in the

Court record in the bankruptcy record, made to a Noah Gibson and a Mary Sue Gibson, all of which as well come from - I'm sorry, these are not the same. No, I withdraw that. These are not the same. So, you have no idea. Would you produce those series of cheques as Exhibit...

MS. JULIE KORMAN:

EJ-19.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

819Q-EJ-19? So, it would be true to say that, throughout the entire history of this account, which was opened in August or on August 25th, 2008, until your business was closed, you continued to issue cheques Earl Jones in trust on this corporate account.

A- Yes.
820Q-Now, you referred a moment ago to the fact that you didn't have any lines of credit. I'm showing you here a cheque Earl Jones in trust dated July 27th, '06, for five thousand dollars \((\$ 5,000.00)\) payable to the Bank of Montreal, and the back of it seems to be marked line of credit. Could you take a look at that?

A- That would have been drawn on the Visa account. I don't recall ever having a line of credit per se in my name. I looked at my line of credit being what
my Visa account gave me, and they would send out cheques periodically on the Royal Bank Visa.

821Q-So, this would be to pay the Visa bill in effect, correct?

A- I don't know what it was for.
822Q-okay, you have no idea, okay.

A- Who was it payable to?
823Q-The Bank of Montreal.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
\(824 Q\)-Didn't you have a line of credit with Wachovia?
A- Yes, that's - I'm sorry, yes. I am not sure - if I
recall, \(I\) think \(I\) asked for additional mortgage, and
they put the - they set it up as a line of credit.
I apologize, but you're right.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

825Q-Did the Bank of Montreal, at any time, question the usage of your so-called in trust account with them?

A- \(\quad\) No.
826Q-Okay, did the Bank of Montreal, at any time, question any transactions that were going through the Bank of Montreal in respect of in trust account cheques from the Royal Bank?

A- The last two (2), three (3) weeks or month, there was cheques between the Royal Bank/Bank of Montreal, Bank of Montreal/Royal Bank, and a few of them
bounced. And as a result, they started to hold back funds, but prior to the last, say, couple of weeks or what have you, they never questioned anything, no.

827Q-I'm showing you here a letter dated December 10th, 2006, addressed to the Bank of Montreal. Would you tell me if that's your signature in that letter?

A- Yes.
828Q-Would you read the letter, and if you can just explain to me what that letter --

A- Clients --

829Q-Was for?
A- Clients, at times - when \(I\) was saying earlier we would be paying them monthly interest, clients, at times, would want a draft or a money order.

830Q-Okay.
A- Instead of a cheque, and that's what it was for. Louise would go over and get the money order or the draft.

831Q-Okay, but this is a letter addressed to the Bank of Montreal, and what you're saying, I believe, is --AThe reason being is instead of going from where our office was to the Royal Bank, the Bank of Montreal were allowing us to do it.

832Q-To do what?

A- To go in and say here's a Royal Bank cheque on our trust account with the Royal Bank. Could you issue a draft or money order? So, Louise would go there, because it was easier just to basically go across the street instead of going down to the bank.

833Q-Okay, would these Royal Bank of Canada in trust accounts be - in trust cheques be certified, or they would be non-certified?

A- Bank of Montreal?

834Q-When you were issuing the cheque, the in trust
account cheque --
A- No, no.
835Q-They would not be certified.
A- No.
836Q-So, the bank was issuing a draft against a non-
certified --
A- That's right.
837 Q-Cheque.
A- That's right.
\(838 Q-A n d\) do you have any reason why they would do that?

A- It's stupid to say, but we were sort of a V.I.P.
client, in their opinion.
8392-I see.

A- We never had any banking problems before. It was only the last, as I say, two (2) weeks or month,
when any transactions that went through.
840 Q-Did you ever have anybody at the Bank of Montreal, at any time, question the fact that your cheques on this account number 1037-139 were not properly, for lack of a better word, labelled?

A- \(\quad\) No.
\(841 Q-A n d\) all the cheques simply went through. Until the end, you never had a problem.

A- No.

842Q-okay.
A- Now, let me just go back. They may have made a comment to one of the girls at the office, but I never heard it. So, my answer is --

843Q-Well, I don't want you to guess, okay. You --

A- \(\quad\) No.

844 Q-Either know --
A- No.

845Q-Or you don't know.
A- No.
846Q-Okay, I'm going to turn to another subject now, Mr. Jones, and that deals with various investment projects that went on during the period of your operating your business. Do you recall a project referred to - just let me put these away for a moment. Do you recall, in the period 1988 to
approximately 1997, being involved in any investment projects relating to golf?

AYeah.

847Q-Okay, can you tell the Court what those projects were?

AThere was a project that myself and two (2) other individuals got involved with out at Vaudreuil, and it - date wise, I guess it could go back to 1988, but not certainly up to - what date do you have there?

848Q-Well, actually, 1993, I would say.
A- Yeah, it could have --
8492-1992.
A- It could have went five (5) years --
850Q-Yes.
A- Where we were looking at building a golf course.
851Q-Okay, and do you recall where the funds came from with respect to various development costs for this project?

A- The funds could have come from the in trust account, but also there could have been deposits made by Mr. Larry Smith, who is now deceased, who was one of the partners, and Mr. Sandy Henderson. So, they may have given us cheques, which we had put into the in trust account, and we could have issued the cheques
from the trust account.
852Q-Why would you be putting cheques in the in trust account for these particular investments? These weren't estates that you were administering or trusts that you were administering.

A- No, I used the account as a general account, I guess. Even though it was indicated as a trust, I used it as a general account. So, I would - so, for convenience sake, the cheques could have went in, and we wrote cheques against it.

853Q-I show you here - well, can you explain to the Court what this project was in Vaudreuil?

A- The project was to - the obtainment of a large piece of property, which I believe we had put down, I guess, some funds to retain the property, and we had a group from Hong Kong that was interested in buying it. It went to the re-zoning once, twice, and it was refused. The third time it was approved, and the people from Hong Kong decided that they had enough, and they ended up putting their money with a group in Toronto, a golf course.

854Q-Well, I show you here a series of cheques over the period in question, which appear to be in relation to this golf course project, which I'd ask you to take a look at.

A- It would be cheques probably to - Martin Vanderop, I think, was the "adronomous".

855Q-Do you want to look at the cheque? Do those cheques appear to be for that project?

A- Can I see them again?
856Q-Sure.
A- Well, these cheques like golf for Earl Jones Corp. would have been fees that I would have charged this group. I think that should be in my corporate account in those years.

857Q-I don't --
A- Mr. Henderson and Mr. Smith, if I recall, I funded some of these expenses, which I would have put the money into the in trust account.

858Q-So, you would put --
A- I believe --
859Q-The fees into the in trust account? Is that it? I don't - oh, your Earl Jones Corp., that's a cheque made --

A- Right. 860Q-From the trust to yourself. That would be for fees?

A- Right.
8612-Another one for seven thousand \((\$ 7,000.00)\) would be for fees.

A- That would be recorded in the company account.
```

862Q-And then, another one for ten thousand (\$10,000.00)
would be for fees, another one for ten thousand
(\$10,000.00) for fees, another one for ten thousand
two sixty-five (\$10,265.00) for fees. What was the
total investment in this project?
A- I don't recall. It wasn't a great investment. The
investment was more from the expenses that we paid
in trying to getting it re-zoned. And Graham Cooke
had done a design of it, and lawyers got involved.
Hart St-Pierre got involved with trying to pull it
together, and pull up different pieces of land and
so on.
863Q-Was the project held in the name of a corporation?
A- The project never got off the ground. All it was
was a big large piece of land, which we had tied up
and --
864Q-You had tied up by way of options?
A- By way of options, yeah.
865Q-And the options were held in whose name?
A- The options probably were held in the name of - it
could have been the name of the three (3) of us.
I'm not - I don't recall.
866Q-And do you recall if there was a corporation created
for --
A- No, no, there was no --

```

867Q-There was never.
A- No, not that \(I\) recall, I don't - I'm not sure whether Stephen Hart, he incorporated a company or not. I'm not sure.

DOCQ-Does Amenagement et Gestion LNPD ring a bell?
A- Can I see that?

869Q-Sure.
A- We had Lavalin. I'm not sure if that's the abbreviation for Lavalin Corporation, but there was a gentleman from Lavalin that started off in the first re-zoning. And, of course, he used various people to pull together all the documents to go in front of the tribunal. And then, we used a gentleman out in the South Shore, who used to be with Robillard - with Lavalin. And then, the last person was a Mr. Martin Vanderop.

870Q-okay, so, this project never got off the ground.
A- Never got off the ground, no.
871Q-Okay, now, were there any other projects?
A- Not that I recall.

872Q-Do you recall a company by the name of, and this may
be the name of the corporation, 2552-7755 Quebec
Inc.?

A- Yeah.
\(873 Q-I s\) that the same project?
```

A- Yeah, Urgel Delisle is - Hart St-Pierre Development,
yeah, Hebert Denault was a lawyer who did a lot of
the background work. Graham Cooke, as you probably
know, is a golf designer. There may have been a
corporation set up.
874Q-So, again, all these cheques that are attached to
that to these various people from the in trust
account, they refer to this particular project.
That's the same project, the same golf project.
A- It would be the same golf project in...
875Q-Okay.
A- Vaudreuil, yeah.
876Q-Would you produce those, en liasse, as Exhibit...
MS. JULIE KORMAN:
EJ-20.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
877Q-EJ-20? Now, can you explain to the Court what the
Clairehaven project was?
A- The Clairehaven project was across the street from
Our office, the corner of St. John's Boulevard and
Hymus. Clairehaven was a senior residence. The
same three (3) gentlemen I mentioned, Mr. Henderson,
Mr. Smith, and myself, looked into building a senior
residence, and we took an option, if I recall, for
ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) on a piece of

```
property. And, eventually, we disbanded it. It was a group from Manitoba that came in apparently and came in and bought it and built the residence that's there now. It's called The Welsley.

878Q-It's called the what, sorry?
A- It's called The Welsley.
8790-So, I show you here a series of cheques again from the in trust account with a schedule. Would you tell me whether all these cheques from the in trust account were used for that project?

A- Where it mentions Clairehaven on the back, it was. The money to Mr. Henderson, it's here, the two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000.00).

880Q-Yes.
A- That was the proceeds. We had sold the project to the group in Manitoba, and there should have been a deposit made to the in trust account, and then funds distributed to Mr. Henderson and Mr. Smith, and I probably left the money in the in trust account. 881Q-The funds which originally came out for the project itself prior to your selling it, the project was for whose benefit?

A- It was for the benefit of Mr. Henderson, Smith, and myself. 882Q-Okay, and the funds that were used to do or to try
and do this development, the in trust funds came from the in trust account?

AThey did, but I would think that there was deposits made by Mr. Henderson and Mr. Smith that I would have put in the in trust account to cover some of those.
\(883 Q-T o\) cover some of them?
A- Yeah.
884Q-Okay, would you produce as Exhibit EJ-21 the schedule of cheques which come out of the trust account for this project? The Clairehaven project, do you know whether there was a corporation set up for that?

A- I would think - well, you don't want me to - you want me to say yes or no, right?

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
885Q-He wants you to answer.
A- I would think --
886Q-Correctly --
A- I would think --
887Q-Truthfully --
A- I would think that there was, because Stephen Hart of Hart St-Pierre was involved and very efficient. He was - he negotiated the sale. I can't recall if there was or not.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
888Q-Now, do you recall another project known as Hymus II?

A- That's the brasserie, yes.
889Q-Okay, was there a distinct corporation set up for this project?

A- There already was a corporation set up for the yes, there was a corporation set up, yes.

890Q-Okay, what was this project?
A- It was the purchase of the Hymus Brasserie or Hymus Brasserie II on Hymus Boulevard.

PTQ-I show you here again a schedule prepared by Richter, together with various cheques from your in trust account in respect of this project. Would you examine these cheques and again tell me if these pertain, first of all, to this project?

A- Yes, they do.
892Q-Okay, now, you said that there was a corporation that was set up or existed for this project. What was this project? It was, you said, a purchase of the - of a brasserie?

A- Yes.
893Q-And you were purchasing the shares or the assets of the brasserie?

A- There was four (4) individuals purchasing the
shares, and the day that it was - and I was - and I coordinated whether - they had come to me and asked, in my opinion, whether it was worthwhile purchasing. And, in fact, Richter was - I'm just trying to think of the gentleman who handled the audit. They came in to see if it was viable. The answer was yes. When we went to sign in Stephen Hart's office, the fourth partner didn't show up. So, I went in and was reimbursed for my investment - I don't know - two (2) or three (3) years later by a Mr. Pierre Lindsay, who was the brother-in-law of Don Stewart, and also reimbursed for these monies that I paid out, a lot of which was rent to keep it open. So, in the files of Mr. Lindsay, you'll see the reimbursements going through in the account.

894Q-Let's get back to the acquisition, because you lost me. The original acquisition was to be for four (4) individuals, you've said, in respect of the shares of this corporation.

A- Right.
895Q-Okay, you say that, closing, one of them didn't show up, and you were there to replace him. Is that it?

A- I did replace him, yeah. 896Q-You replaced him.

A- Yeah.
```

897Q-And the funds that you used to put into the project
A- I probably wrote a cheque on the in trust account.
898Q-From the in trust --
A- Fifty thousand (\$50,000.00) or I thought it was
fifty (\$50,000.00), but I see here there's an amount
of seventy-five (\$75,000.00). So, I don't know.
899Q-Okay, so, again, funds were used from the in trust
account --
A- Right.
900Q-To purchase your interest --
A- Right.
901Q-In the project, okay.
A- And they would have come back to the trust account.
902Q-When would they have come back to the trust account?
A- They would have come back - there's - in the files
of Mr. Peter Lindsay, there would be entries of when
they would have come back into the trust account,
offsetting entries.
903Q-Peter Lindsay --
A- Because Peter --
904Q-Would have bought you out?
A- That's right.
905Q-Is that it?
A- That's right, yeah.

```
\(9062-A n d\) he would have bought you out over what time period?

A- Like we - it was a settlement done over a certain period of time. I forget when we agreed that he would buy my shares.

907Q-I'm not following you. Peter Lindsay was an original investor?

A- He was not an original investor.
908Q-Okay, so, how did he --
A- There's a gentleman in here by the name of Don Stewart, and Peter Lindsay is Don Stewart - they were brother-in-laws. And I said I would go in just to - so they could finalize the transaction. I went in, and they had problems. I advanced some money for the rent. And then, I went to Peter Lindsay two (2) or three (3) years later and said, look, I want out, and Peter paid me.

909Q-But Peter wasn't one of he --
A- No.
910Q-Original investors.
A- \(\quad\) No.
911Q-So --
A- No.

912Q-When you say you wanted out, why would you be coming to him? He wasn't an investor.

A- Because he wanted to buy. He was buying. He was actually going to buy the brasserie for his brother-in-law. His brother-in-law was married to his sister, Pam Lindsay. So, he was buying this brasserie, so that Donnie would always have a job. Peter was a paraplegic, a very close friend of mine. We played hockey together. And Donnie was very good to him, and he wanted Donnie to have something when he died, when Peter died.

913Q-But you got paid out before he died or --
A- Yes.

914Q-After he died?
A- I believe it was before. There was some adjustments after he died, but \(I\) believe it was before that I was paid out.

915Q-Would you produce these cheques and reconciliation as EJ-22?

APeter also bought out the other partners, which are cheques in here for Bob McCrae and Doug McCrae, and that would be in Peter's trust account that I operated, the account I operated for Peter.

916Q-When Peter Lindsay was alive, did you manage his funds for him?

A- Yes.

917Q-okay, and after his decease, you also managed --

A- Yes.
918Q-His estate?
A- Yes.

919Q-And can you tell the Court approximately how much money was, for lack of a better word, dissipated from his funds?

AI would say three
(3) to four (4) million.

920Q-Three (3) to four (4) million that was spent effectively by yourself, is that correct?

A- Spent effectively in the paying of interest for the clients that \(I\) had their money, so if that means, yes, I spent it, I guess that's...

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
Good friend.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

921Q-Now, there was another project by the name of
Manoir, what is referred to as the Manoir project, or is that the same one as the --

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
It seems to be Brasserie de Manoir.
A- The Manoir, Brasserie de Manoir.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
922Q-I see.

A- I had nothing to do with that other than \(I\) sold it. 923Q-okay.

Me ALEXANDER L. DE ZORDO:
924Q-I'm sorry, is that the same project? I just want to understand.

A- It's a different project.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

925Q-It's different.

A- It's not - yeah, but it's not a project. It was just a the Manoir Brasserie, which --

926Q-Which you sold.

A- Sold.
927Q-Okay, and you received a fee for that.

A- That's right.
928Q-And you deposited that fee to the trust account. Is that correct?

A- It would be deposited to the trust account. It should have gone into the company account, but...

929Q-There was another project known as the Pointevest Holdings project. Can you tell the Court what that is about?

A- Pointevest again started with Henderson and Smith, and there was nothing ever done. It was Mr. Smith used to be an engineer with CP. And, ideally, it was set up to explore the idea of building senior residences, but it never got off the ground.

930Q-Okay, I show you here certain cheques from your
trust account again that appear to be in relation to this project, one to - well, you'll take a look at them.

A- The seventy-five thousand \((\$ 75,000.00)\), I can only believe, was money that we put aside to utilize, which was never utilized, and it was borrowed from these people at the bottom of the list here.

931Q-So, you were reimbursing them from the trust account. Is that it?

A- Yeah.
932Q-All these cheques --

A- I believe so.
933Q-These cheques are from the trust account.
A- But the Pointevest - really, I can't recall. It could have been tied into that Clairehaven. I'm not sure.

934Q-Well, \(I\) see a cheque --

A- But we --
935Q-Sorry.
A- Sorry.
936Q-Go ahead.
A- You go ahead.
937Q-I see a cheque of twenty-four thousand \((\$ 24,000.00)\)
to Pointevest Holdings Inc. That would have been
the corporation that was set up for this venture.

A- I would think there was a corporation set up, and Mr. Smith would have controlled that.

938Q-I notice here this one is marked E.J. funds coming out of the Earl Jones in trust account. Would that have been really been your funds, or you would have been using other people's funds again?

A- Well, there were times where \(I\) put money in in my own name in the Earl Jones in trust. And if \(I\) wrote a cheque for twenty-four \((\$ 24,000.00)\), I guess I assumed that \(I\) was using my money, but --

939Q-But why --

A- The bottom line was that, you know, I guess you look at it and say, you know, you used all this money yet, and you put some money in, but, you know, the bottom line is this is what you owe.

940Q-No, but the point that I'm trying to understand is if you were - had your own money --

A- Right.
941Q-Why would you not just simply write a cheque from your own account?

A- I don't recall.
942Q-Would you produce these cheques and this summary as Exhibit EJ-23? Now, Mr. Jones, I'm going to ask you to explain your relationships with various people.

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:

Could we have a break at this point?
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

You want to have a break?

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:

Yes.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

Okay, five (5) minutes, not too long.

SHORT ADJOURNMENT

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

943Q-Okay, Mr. Jones, can you tell the Court who Mr. Kim Whitehead is and what his relationship was?

A- Kim Whitehead is a mortgage broker, and he was representing, well, now, the Bank of Nova Scotia.

944Q-And he was representing, in your dealings with him, Maple Trust. Is that correct?

A- That's right. That's right.
945Q-And what role did he play vis-à-vis Maple Trust?

A- He was recommended to me by a notary by the name of Linda Frazer as a mortgage broker, and we utilized his services to obtain mortgages for clients. 946Q-And did you also utilize Maple Trust to do your own mortgages?

A- Yes.
947Q-And why would you need to use a mortgage broker with respect to these clients?

AI found that mortgage brokers were more aggressive in getting a better rate, getting better terms, and, you know, quite frankly, working with the Royal Bank would take - I don't know - two (2) weeks, three (3) weeks, as opposed to Kim Whitehead doing it more efficiently.

948Q-And was there any benefit to you other than what you've described --

A- Absolutely not.
949Q-From Mr. Whitehead or from Maple Trust?
A- Absolutely not.

950Q-Nothing whatsoever.
A- Nothing whatsoever.
951Q-Okay, the woman at the Bank of Montreal, Ste. Thérèse de Blainville branch, which you referred to, I believe her first name is Petra.

A- Petra Courcy, \(I\) think it is.

952Q-okay, can you tell the Court when you first met her? And I think you already said you had met her previously at - when she was in the West Island.

A- I could have met Petra - I don't know - some ten (10), fifteen (15), twenty (20) years ago, but some
of the Bank of Montreal mortgages were done by way of phone, and the only time \(I\) met her was, I'd say, maybe two (2) Christmases ago or, you know, a couple of years ago. I went to visit her at the bank and met the manager of the bank, and that was it.

953Q-You went to visit her in Ste. Thérèse de Blainville?

A- Yes, right.
954Q-When you say the mortgages were done over the phone, what exactly do you mean?

A- Well, we - you know, not me in particular, but Debra or - would call and say that a client wants to mortgage her property, and they would fax the information that they required. We would send it back to them. They would do all their credit checks or whatever they normally do and say yes or no. And if it was a yes, they would have the documents prepared for signing at the Bank of Montreal most convenient to our client.

955Q-And the mortgages were eventually prepared by which notary? Did it vary or...

A- Yeah, Linda Frazer did a lot over the last couple of years, but it would - it could or would vary.

956Q-And you mentioned that the only reason you used the Bank of Montreal. Was there any reason why you used the Bank of Montreal as opposed to Maple Trust or
vice versa?

A-
Some of the mortgages - well, if a client wanted a certain amount of money, and the Bank of Montreal wouldn't give that amount, we would look elsewhere. And Whitehead's group was more aggressive in issuing the monies and...

957Q-You could leverage more is what you mean --
A- Yeah.
958Q-With the - with Maple Trust?

A- In most times, yes, yeah.
959Q-Do you recall what percentage of value Maple Trust
was prepared to go to?

A- They would do one of two (2) things. One was regular mortgage that would go probably up to seventy-five percent (75\%), and then they would also do equity on top of that. So, in effect, one could maybe get as high as eighty-five (85) or ninety (90). They would also do an equity mortgage where your basic income on a month-to-month basis wouldn't qualify, but if there was income coming into a company that you owned, they would issue mortgages of this nature.

960Q-So, it was easier and more convenient to work with Mr. Whitehead than --

A- Yes.

961Q-Than the Bank of --
A- Montreal.
962Q-Montreal.
A- Yes.
963Q-And the people that you were bringing for these mortgages were, for the most part, your regular customers in terms - or clients in terms of you were either managing their money or estate money on their behalf.

A- Right, you know, there was times where, you know, some friend - I can't recall the names or so on would ask, you know, if I knew of a mortgage broker, and I'd say call Kim Whitehead, but I never necessarily got involved or anything. 964Q-Okay, you'll recall that, in 2006, you remortgaged your Dorval condominium and your Mont Tremblant property. Do you recall that?

A- I do.
965Q-Why did you remortgage the properties at that point?
A- I needed money.
966Q-You needed money to operate?
A- I needed money basically, yeah, to operate and for the trust account and my own day-to-day expenses. 967Q-Did you need any money to - for purposes of your daughter's trust in Hyannis or in Cape Cod,
wherever?

A-
She doesn't have a - well, she has a - the house was purchased under a trust, but she doesn't have a trust per se. It was a - if I recall, it's under the - I think it was Jones Realty Trust or it's a trust, anyway, but did I need the money for that? There were times that I used money which, I think, you referred to before from the trust account for her fees.

968Q-I'm not referring to that, because what I'm referring to is the Maple Trust documents for... OFF RECORD DISCUSSION

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
9690-I show you here the mortgage loan summary and approval of Maple Trust for your Dorval condo. And if you notice on the second page, the second paragraph states:
"Clients are looking to refinance their BMO mortgage, a hundred thousand \((\$ 100,000.00)\), to top up the family trust, support to follow confirming trust".

Areferring is the Maple Trust documents for...

I was working with a lawyer, with Burns \& Levinson
in Boston, and we were in the initial stages of setting up a Jones family Trust that money was to be deposited, underlining the word "was". Nothing ever went into it, and the documents were never completed. They were prepared, but never signed, and the trust was never established. And the idea was to take some of the money from the Dorval and the country property and put it into a trust for Kim for future years. As you know, she's an adult with learning disabilities.

970Q-Alright, so, that never happened --
A- No.
971Q-Is what you say. Okay, would you produce that as Exhibit EJ-24, please? In respect of that trust that you just referred to that was never set up --

A- Right.
972Q-Or completed, I'm going to show you three (3) other documents, and I would like you just to confirm that this was the trust that you were referring to, which was never either formalized or set up that is being referred to in these documents.

A- This one here refers to, I think --
973Q-Let's just identify it for purposes of the record. You're referring to an e-mail from Dianne Frade December 10th, 2004, to yourself re the Jones Realty

Trust. That's the trust which owns the property in Hyannis --

A- Yes.
974Q-Correct? Okay, so, this was in respect of your daughter's will, power of attorney, etc., and it refers to the tax implication for removing the property from the trust, given its deficiencies.

A- Yes.
975Q-Do you know what were the deficiencies?
A- Dianne Frade, when she received the documents, was under the opinion that this was incorrectly - it was an incorrect trust or incorrectly completed when the house was purchased. And as a result of the type of trust that was set up, the Jones Realty Trust, she believed that if that property is sold and/or transferred into another trust, you could be susceptible to capital gains. So, that's where it is. That's where it was, where she said I'm going to take a look and see what we can do. She did feel that there was some options available, but we never got that far.

976Q-Okay, the next letter, which is December 1st, 2006, or actually the next letter is January 23rd, 2006, again refers to removing Kim's condo out of this, the Jones Realty Trust, to a new trust. That never
happened.
A- That's right. It never happened.
977Q-Okay, and subsequent to that, you'll see the third letter or e-mail from Dianne Frade December 1st, 2006.

A- Right.

978Q-"A Trust for Kimberly's Benefit" is the title.
"This e-mail will serve to confirm
that your family has prepared a
trust for your daughter Kimberly's
benefit. Based on my discussions
with your family, in particular with
you, it is my understanding that you
will be funding the trust with funds
during the year 2006."
So, that was the contemplated funding that was
referred to in the Maple Trust application?

A- That's correct.
979Q-Okay, would you produce those three (3) letters, en
liasse, as Exhibit EJ-25? And nothing was
established, you say.
A- No, no deposits were ever made in any way, shape, or form.

980Q-Nothing was established, and no deposits were made, correct?
```

A- No, that's correct. Those documents that are in
there have been prepared, but nothing has ever been
completed or anything.
981Q-I understand. When the mortgage proceeds were
received from the mortgaging of the Dorval and the
Mont Tremblant property, can you tell the Court
where you deposited those monies?
A- I believe they went into the E.J. Trust account.
982Q-Okay, now, can you tell the Court what your
relationship was, if any, with a Mr. Tom Goldberg?
A- Tom was - he had - Tom was part of the Hausner
family. He was the son-in-law of Mrs. Hausner, and
Tom had made some personal investments through us
or, you know, gave us money.
983Q-How did you come to know him? Did he --
A- I met Tom through the Hausner family, Mrs. Hausner,
Robert Hausner.
984Q-Did he refer you any clients? I understand he
worked for a time at Tri-Global.
A- That's right.
985Q-Which is a mutual fund company, and then, after
that, he was in the insurance business.
A- He was at Tri-Global, and then he was with Manulife,
I believe.
986Q-Or Manulife.

```

A- He didn't refer me any clients. He did invest some monies in some of these so-called...

987Q-Bridge loans?

A- Bridge loans, and some was his - I think his - it may have been his brother and sister-in-law and his wife or something. I can't recall what was there.
    988Q-Tony Gilardi --
    A- Yes.
    989Q-Okay, can you explain the relationship that you had
        with Tony Gilardi?
    A- Tony has again given us some of his family's funds.
        I think earlier I referred to him. Tony was - he
        would work for Bob Spencer. He was in the insurance
        business that did some of our insurance for our
        clients. And then, he was with an investment fund
        company, I believe, on Cote de Liesse or something.
        I'm not sure. He oversaw a lot of his family's
        funds.
    990Q-Did he refer any clients as well?
    A- Just family, family - no, yeah, I guess there was a
        couple of clients, yes.
    991Q-Okay, just one moment, I show you here, Mr. Jones,
        a document entitled "September 28th Trailers and
        Finders Fees".
    A- That's Mr. Gilardi, yes.
```

992Q-When you say that's Mr. Gilardi, what do you mean
that's Mr. Gilardi?
A- Well, he had brought us in these funds. Most of all
were relatives, and he had asked that we pay him a
fee.
993Q-And you were paying him a commission for these?
A- Yes.
994Q-And did these people know that a commission was
being paid?
A- I don't think so, no.
995Q-Okay, would you produce a copy of that as Exhibit...
MS. JULIE KORMAN:
EJ-26.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
996Q-EJ-26? I notice that the investment start date on
some of these investments is 2004 to 2005 and 2007.
Were the finder's or trailer finder's fees paid from
the inception?
A- I don't think they were paid from the inception, but
certainly over the last two (2) or three (3) years.
997Q-I show you another document which is entitled "Tony
Gilardi/Earl Jones Summary of Clients".
A- These are the ones that are on here.
998Q-Those are the same?
A- Yeah.

```
```

999Q-The same clients.
A- Yes.
1000Q-Okay, and there's a - do you have three (3) sheets
there or --
I have three (3) --
A- You have three sheets?
A- Yeah.
1001Q-Okay, and here's a fourth sheet which is May, 2009.
The first three (3) are March, '07. They refer to,
I presume, if I take a look at the last sheet, the
last sheet refers to various investment terms where
monies are supposedly invested at ten percent (10%)
and twelve percent (12%) and fourteen percent (14%).
A- Right.
1002Q-Were those funds or those investments ever paid out,
the interest, or they were simply accrued to the
account, to the ledger account?
A- No, they were paid out in most cases, yeah.
1003Q-They were paid out?
A- Hmm-hmm.
1004Q-Would you produce as Exhibit EJ-27 the summaries of
those clients? Do you know a Mr. William Beatty?
A- William Beatty.
1005Q-No?
A- Maybe you can tell me a little bit about him.

```

1006Q-No, I just wanted to know if you know him, because his name came up in one of the transactions. It may well be that you don't know him.

A- I really can't recall, no.
1007Q-Okay, Notary Linda Frazer, what was your relationship with her?

A- She did a lot of the mortgages that were issued as a notary. She would receive the documents from the Bank of Montreal and/or Bank of Nova Scotia or Maple Trust.

1008Q-She was the notary --
A- She saw the clients, and she did a lot of our wills and our PA's, and did a lot of notarial work for us relating to estates and so on.

1009Q-How did you come to know her? Were you referred to her by anybody?

A- I was referred to Linda. I'm just trying to think of the notary in the building next door a few years ago. The Courts asked me to settle an estate with a notary, and he introduced me to Linda Frazer.

1010Q-So, you have been dealing with her for what time period?

A- Oh, I would say probably three (3) years plus, I guess.

1011Q-Okay, what's your relationship to lawyer, I think
it's Johnston?
A- Bob Johnston?
1012Q-Yes.
A- Bob, when I first started in business and left well, I said I left Montreal Trust and went to London Life and went up to Ottawa. When I came back from Ottawa, Gordon McGilton and Bob Johnston were partners, and they had basically given me an office and staff to use when I set up this will planning business. And Bob did - Bob and Gordon - of course, Gordon, you may know, was disbarred for various reasons, but they did ninety percent (90\%) of the wills that we - that I did, wills and PA's.

1013Q-PA's, you're referring to powers of attorney?
A- Power of attorneys and wills, yes, and, on a general
basis, Bob did - you know, if the clients had a
problem with separations, divorces, I would refer them to Bob.

1014Q-I see. What was your relationship with a Mr.
Richard Daoust?
A- Richard Daoust was - he had given us some monies to invest, and he was fully repaid. He's the son-inlaw of one of my clients by the name of Mrs. Winnie Aziz.

1015Q-Okay, now, Mr. Daoust, you say he was fully repaid.

Do you recall when Mr. Daoust was repaid?
A- I would say September, October of last year. Yeah, I would say the fall of last year.

1016 Q -First of all, when was the loan - what were the loans that were made and when were they made?

A- He had made an investment, I believe, of twenty \((\$ 20,000.00)\) or thirty thousand (\$30,000.00). Then, he increased it to fifty \((\$ 50,000.00)\). And that was repaid. He did - he was doing some work. I referred Tony Gilardi to him. So, Tony was doing his investment funds, and Bob Spencer was doing his insurance, but the monies that Richard Daoust had given us were repaid.
\(10172-\) No, I understand that, but I want to understand what happened. He - from what \(I\) can see, there was a deposit to the in trust account from Richard Daoust of fifty thousand (\$50,000.00) in June of 2007 .

A- Okay.
1018Q-Okay, can you tell me did he increase that loan?
A- He did increase the amount. I'm not sure if he
increased it from thirty (\$30,000.00) or forty
\((\$ 40,000.00)\) to fifty \((\$ 50,000.00)\) or fifty
\((\$ 50,000.00)\) to seventy \((\$ 70,000.00) . \quad\) I really
don't recall.

1019Q-Do you recall what interest he received on the loan?

A- I would say twelve percent (12\%).
1020Q-And you said he was repaid in October of '09.
A- I think it was in the fall.

1021Q-Fall of '09.
A- I think so, yes.
1022Q-Was it at his request that --

A- Yes.
1023 - He be repaid?
A- Yes.

Me ALEXANDER L. DE ZORDO:
Fall of '09 is now.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
'08.
A- \(\quad 08\), yes.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
\(1024 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{I}\) show you here --
A- I think it was or in December. I'm not sure.

1025Q-How about in March of '09?

A- It could be.
102 6Q-okay.

A- I'm not trying to be funny here, but the investment
- I'm not trying to be smart, I'm sorry --
\(1027 \mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{No}, \quad \mathrm{I}--\)

A- I apologize to the court. The investment he had made for a certain period of time and then, all of
a sudden, wanted his money early. And the investment was arranged through Mr. Gilardi, and he was told that there was a maturity on this particular investment, and he had to wait. So, there - anyway...

1028Q-Alright, well, let's just look at the cheques, okay. We have a cheque dated June 19th, 2007, to Earl Jones in trust for fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) from Mr. Richard Daoust or Mrs. Carole Daoust signed by Richard Daoust. Take a look at that.

A- Yes.
1029Q-Is that the loan --
A- Yes.
1030Q-That you're talking about? Okay, we then have a
cheque going back to - no, I'm sorry, we have a cheque from Earl Jones to London Life on behalf of Daoust for three thousand six hundred dollars \((\$ 3,600.00)\). That cheque is October 24 th, 2007 .

A- That is an insurance policy that was bought on the life of his son, who has got some disabilities like our daughter, and it was bought through Bob Spencer. And we paid the premium, but that amount would have come out of Mrs. Aziz's account.

1031Q-That came out of Mrs. Aziz's account.

A- Right.
1032Q-Okay, then we have a cheque to Mr. Daoust on the date of...

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

September 11, '08.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

1033Q-For fifteen thousand two ten \((\$ 15,210.00)\).
A- That would have been the repayment. That would have been the repayment of part. He wanted part of his investment returned.

1034 Q-okay.
Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:

What was the date?
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
September 11, 2008.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
September 11th, 2008, for fifteen thousand two
\(\operatorname{ten}(\$ 15,210.00)\).
(Addressing the Witness)
1035Q-Then, we have another one dated September 3rd.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

September 18th, 2008.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

1036Q-For eighty-five hundred dollars (\$8,500.00).
A- Payable to?
```

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
1037Q-Richard Daoust.
A- Yeah, these would have been partially payments of
his loan.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
1038Q-Okay, we'll just go - I just want to understand what
he got repaid, and then another one for fifty
thousand (\$50,000.00).
That would have been the final payment, I would
think.
1039Q-September 8th, I believe, September 18th, sorry,
2008, for fifty thousand (\$50,000.00).
A- Okay.
1040Q-Okay, then, we have another one March 10th, 2009,
for seventy-one thousand three fifty-five
(\$71,355.00).
A- My understanding was the seventy-one three fifty-
five (\$71,355.00) would have been the repayment,
repayment of his loan. I don't recall that he had
seventy (\$70,000.00) and fifty thousand (\$50,000.00)
with us. Maybe he did, but I don't recall. Let me
just see this. Well, he did. Here's Richard
Gilardi - here's Tony Daoust here, and this is
prepared as of what date? September, '08, and his
amount outstanding with us was one thirty-six

```
(\$136,000.00), so, seventy-five (\$75,000.00) and
fifty ( \(\$ 50,000.00\) ) plus the interest.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
1041Q-So, he would have put in an additional seventy-five thousand (\$75,000.00), that's what you are saying?

A- Probably, yes.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
1042Q-When would he have put in the additional seventy \((\$ 70,000.00)\) to seventy-five thousand (\$75,000.00) or seventy \((\$ 70,000.00)\), I guess it is. Do you have any idea?

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Oh, I see. It just shows redeemed on them.
A- Well, on this here, it shows that there was fifty thousand (\$50,000.00) September, right?

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
10432-Yes.
A- And then, down here, it added fifty thousand (\$50,000.00).

1044Q-You're referring to Exhibit, just so that we can identify it --

A- Richard Daoust.
1045Q-Okay, Exhibit EJ-27, under the heading "Richard Daoust", there are various amounts there for a hundred and seventeen thousand (\$117,000.00).

A- That's right, and the interest was reinvested. So, this was in - that was September. That was '07.

1046 -Correct, and these loans appear to be in ' 05 and ' 06.

A- So that I would think that these are the amounts he put it, and then the interest was accumulated, and it turned out to be that one thirty-six \((\$ 136,000.00)\) that we see here.

1047Q-So, was there a specific ledger account kept for these loans?

A- You've probably seen - no. 1048Q-How would you know what you owed the individuals?

A- From the statements, this type of statement or the agreements that we had set up and - the summary statements and the agreements we set up.

10492 -But these loans that appear to be made to Dr. Harvey

Beardmore family trust, these were really fictitious loans.

A- Yes.
1050Q-The money went into your in trust account and was used.

A- Yeah.
1051Q-Okay.

A- Over the years, there probably is some loans that were not fictitious, but, yes, most of them were.
```

1052Q-But this particular group of loans --
A- Yes.
1053Q-To Beardmore, okay, so, you paid him the interest
and the - you paid back his capital. In March of
'09, you paid back a good chunk of his capital. In
March of '09, was - were you repaying anybody else
their loan?
A- Could be.
1054Q-Well, I'm not asking if it could be. Do you recall
if you were or you weren't?
A- I can't recall.
1055Q-Okay, certainly in March of '09, you were starting
to have problems.
A- Yes.
1056Q-Okay, was there any particular reason why you repaid
Richard Daoust's loan?
A- No, he had requested the repayment of it, and the
funds were there, and we repaid it.
1057Q-Okay, would you produce this as Exhibit EJ-28?
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
1058Q-Didn't you say earlier that that was prior to the
maturity of the loan?
A- In the - the March, '09, I think, was the maturity.
1059Q-It was the maturity.
A- But I think we made partial payment before that.

```

1060Q-Okay.
A- He had bought a car or something and...
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
1061Q-I'm going to show you a cheque. I can't pronounce her name. That's why I'm going to show you the cheque. Perhaps you can pronounce the name, Maria Szkwarkowska.

A- Right.
1062Q-S-z-k-w-a-r-k-o-w-s-k-a --
A- Right.
1063Q-For the Stenographer. I'm showing you here two (2) cheques made to her: one for a hundred and fiftyseven thousand (\$157,000.00) from your personal account, and the other for thirty-three thousand three ninety-nine \((\$ 33,399.00)\) from the in trust account. Can you tell me, first of all, who this woman was, and what this represented?

A- She, I believe, is a client of Tony Gilardi's. Wait a second. There's a cheque in the back going back to '05. I don't know.

1064Q-You have no idea what these cheques are?
A- No, I just - I don't recall the name and the cheque, why it would be from my personal account. I really --

1065Q-On the first one, there's a notation PER SP and then
your initials.
A- I don't know what \(S P\) would be for.
1066 Q-SP or SD, I'm not sure. So, you have no idea who this woman is and what these --

A- No, and that's - you know, to come out of my personal account, \(I\) guess there was a deposit made. That's very unusual. I'm not - obviously, my signature is there, and I...

1067Q-There's a notation on the second cheque endorsement. Maybe this will help you.
"Deposit for beneficiary of Jon
Dressel."

A- Oh, oh, oh, oh, okay, alright, Jon Dressel is the trustee in the estate Dirk Dressel, and this was a payment that he asked us to make to his first wife, Audrielle.

1068Q-Payment from the estate you're talking about or --
A- It came - I think it came out of - that would be out of the estate, and I'm not sure. It came out of fees or - he's a beneficiary of the income. I think he had ten (10) or fifteen percent (15\%) income, which wasn't paid, but that money would have been transferred from the trust account to my personal account and paid out of that.

1069Q-Why would it be transferred to your personal
account?

A-
I really don't - I can't recall. I really - I can't
recall, but it would have - that was the
transaction.
1070Q-It would certainly be unusual for you to transfer money to your personal account, and then pay out an individual from your personal account.

A-
Yeah, I'm not - I really don't know why that was done that way. What was the date of that cheque?

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
1071Q-The one fifty-seven (\$157,000.00)? March 31st,
2008.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
1072Q-Yes, it's deposited March 28th, 2008. It looks like
eight (8). Is it eight (8) --
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Yes.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
Or three (3)?
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Eight (8), March 28th, but the cheque is dated March 31st.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
Yes, eight (8), yes.
(Addressing the Witness)

1073Q-I'm going to produce that as EJ-29. You lived next door to an individual by the name of Guy Lefaivre or...

A- Guy Lefaivre?
OCPQ-Guy Lefaivre, do you recall that?
A- Yes, in Gables Court.
1075Q-Correct, Guy Lefaivre Imports...
A- Yes.
1076Q-Do you know what that - what business was that?
A- Guy Lefaivre Imports was shoes, and he was - when he went in the shoe business, would have known Guy and his father. He had quotas that he could bring in shoes from throughout the world.

1077Q-And for what reason would you be paying Guy Lefaivre from your trust account?

A- That was many years ago. I would have no idea. I don't know what you - how much you're speaking about or the date. I think I settled his estate when he died.

1078Q-I show you here a summary of cheques that came from your trust account for the period December, '01 I'm sorry, September, '93, to December, '01, for various amounts.

A- He had put some money with us, and it was a repayment of what funds that he had with us.

1079Q-So, he had lent you money. Is that it or --
A- Yes.
1080Q-Do you recall how much he lent you?
A- Whatever is on there, I would think, is the amount. 1081Q-Well, how long - it appears to be a fairly long period of time, '93 to 2001. Do you recall - well, actually, to 2002, do you recall when he died?

A- No, when was the last date there, 2001?
1082Q-Well, it - the last one is May 31st, 2002, to estate
Guy Lefaivre. So --
A- Okay.
1083Q-He was definitely dead by then.
A- He died in '01 or '02, and it would have been funds that we had on his behalf and repaid.

1084Q-So, these were loans, loan repayments.
A- Yes.
1085Q-Plus interest or just --
A- Yes.
1086Q-Okay, I show you here a donation made to the
Lakeshore General Hospital in April of 2008 from the
in trust account.
A- Yes.
1087Q-Take a look at that.
A- \(\mathrm{Hmm}-\mathrm{hmm}\).
1088Q-That again was funds from the in trust account that
            did not belong to you. Is that correct?
    A- That's correct.
    10892-Okay, and --
    A- Now, there was - the answer is yes.
    1090Q-Okay, I show you here a memo addressed or a letter
        addressed by you to the Lakeshore General Hospital
        asking that tax receipts be issued in the name of
        Mr. Corey and Kristine Velan for ten thousand
        \((\$ 10,000.00)\) for 2007 and five thousand (\$5,000.00)
        for 2007 and five thousand ( \(\$ 5,000.00\) ) for 2008 to
        yourself.
    A- Right.
    1091Q-Do you recall whether the hospital issued those tax
        receipts?
    A- Yes, they did.
    1092Q-Would you produce those as Exhibit EJ-30, en liasse?
    A- The tax refunds that were received by my daughter
        and son-in-law were reimbursed to me. The original
        amount, I had two (2) or three (3) clients who were
        supposed to make those donations and decided not to.
        The cheque was already sent.
    1093Q-Kristine Jones Velan is your daughter. That's
        correct?
    A- That's right.
    1094Q-Okay, I show you here a cheque from your personal
account at the Bank of Montreal for fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) --

A- Right.
1095Q-Made to your daughter Kristine on March 21st, 2007.
A- Right.
1096Q-Can you tell us what that cheque was made for?
A- That cheque was a gift to, as it says here, to
Corey, and it was a redemption of her investment
funds that were at London Life that both her and Kim
had when they were children, when they were
children, very young.
1097Q-Why would it be a gift, if it was in her name in the
first place?
A- Because it was in her name, but I was the owner or
controller of the account. These are funds that
were taken out when they were born.
1098Q-And what exactly were they?
A- Investment funds.
1099Q-Funds that came from where? I didn't --
A- London Life or Standard Life, one of the two (2).
1100 -And those were funds that you advanced yourself?
A- Yes.
1101Q-Is that it?

A- Yes.
1102Q-And the funds were deposited to your account, even
though they were in your children's names?
A-
The funds would have been deposited to my Bank of Montreal account, and I issued the cheque. And I think the reason the cheque was issued that way is because I was the owner of the account --

1103Q-Would you have a copy of this account that you're referring to?

A- A copy of the investment funds?
1104 Q-Right.

A- \(\quad\) No.
1105Q-Who would have a copy of the investment funds?
A- The - Standard Life or London Life.
\(1106 Q-W e l l\), would you undertake to tell us where, whether it's Standard Life or London Life?

A- I would - I can't recall, to be perfectly honest with you. I would think it's London Life, sorry. It's London Life or Standard.

1107Q-Well, can you explain to me the type of investment that it was?

A- It was investment funds. I paid money on a monthly basis for the children when they were born, like I did for their scholarship trust funds, and the money accumulated.

1108Q-And the money accumulated in whose name?
A- In - well, there was an account for Kristine, and
there was an account for Kimberly, but I think it was Earl Jones for Kimberly and Earl Jones for Kristine. 11090-So, when the cheques came in, they were made to your order.

A- I believe so.
1110Q-And this was made to her for what purpose? She was
    purchasing a house --
    A- I think so, yes.
    1111Q-At that time?
    A- I think so, yes.
    1112Q-I'm going to show you here some other cheques:
        April 19th, 2004, from Earl Jones in trust to your
        daughter for a thousand five hundred \((\$ 1,500.00)\).
        Do you know what that represents?
    A- I would just - I would assume it was a gift to her,
        monies I gave her, fifteen hundred dollars
        (\$1,500.00).
    1113Q-From the in trust account, of course.
    A- Yes.
    1114Q-September, '01, for five thousand dollars
        (\$5,000.00).
    A- I don't know what that was. September, '01, that
        was eight (8) years ago. I don't know what that was
        for, maybe some monies that I had received from some
of her investment funds or insurance policies, and it may have been used for her education. I really don't know.

1115Q-So, why would you, in certain cases, deposit it to the in trust account and, in certain cases, to your personal account? What would be the difference?

A- I'm not sure.

1116 Q -okay.
A- I'm not sure, sorry.
1117Q-Slapper Investments re Kimberly Jones for eleven thousand three ninety-five fifty (\$11,395.50) from Earl Jones in trust, what would that be for?

A- Slapper Investments, he sells cars.
1118Q-So, would you have purchased a car for your daughter from the trust fund?

A- I think there was a transaction that I - that we made in selling one car to Slapper, and then the and Kristine bought it. So, it could have been a car that - one of our old cars that was traded in, and then...

1119Q-Yes, but the funds were used to purchase a car.
A- It looks like it, yes.
1120Q-This could be the differential from --

A- It looks like it, yeah.
1121Q-Okay, from Earl Jones in trust to Kristine Jones,
seven thousand (\$7,000.00), August, '99.
A-
Well, this was payable back to Maxine, as you can see from the back. So, I'm not sure if it's money that Kristine owed us, or again monies from her investment funds or insurance policies that had cash value to them.

1122Q-So, this one you would deposit to the in trust
account, and then --
A- No, no, it went over. It could be.
1123Q-Well, if you don't know, don't --
A- I don't know.
1124Q-Okay, you don't know, okay, and ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) to Kristine Jones June 7th, '99.

A- I don't know.

1125Q-You don't know.
A- No.
1126Q-Okay, now, just to get back to that first cheque of fifty thousand (\$50,000.00), I show you here a memo from yourself to your daughter, where you seem to have included some sort of calculation, and you've annexed certain capitals gains and losses. Take a look at that, and tell me if what you find there adds up to the fifty thousand (\$50,000.00).

A- That's twelve (\$12,000.00), fifteen (\$15,000.00), fifteen ( \(\$ 15,000.00\) ) and thirty \((\$ 30,000.00)\) It's
about forty-five thousand (\$45,000.00), it seems, give or take.

11272-Alright, how do you get that? Where do you see fifteen (\$15,000.00)?

A- The Canadian regular account was five ( \(\$ 5,000.00\) ).
Then, this amount from the RRSP was five six \((\$ 5,600.00)\). Then, there's two thousand \((\$ 2,000.00) . \quad\) So, that's ten \((\$ 10,000.00)\), let's say, eleven (\$11,000.00), thirteen (\$13,000.00), then twenty-eight ( \(\$ 28,000.00\) ). That's what? Forty-one \((\$ 41,000.00)\), and \(I\) think what \(I\) may have done is --1128Q-That's not twenty-eight thousand (\$28,000.00). It's twenty-eight hundred \((\$ 2,800.00)\).

A- Oh, okay.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
11290-Plus you have a calculation at the bottom that shows
seventeen thousand nine seventy-six (\$17,976.00).
A- Cheque to Kristine, well, these were some stocks
that I sold, too, at RBC Dominion Securities. You
see, over here, you have sixteen thousand
\((\$ 16,000.00)\) and thirteen \((\$ 13,000.00)\) on a page.
And there was some investment funds sold, and there was some stock, BC Emerges, BC Emerges, and Franklin U.S. Fall Fund.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
11302-And all those funds came from her personal proceeds.
A- That's right, Scotia McLeod.

1131Q-No, I know the accounts are in her name, but I'm
talking about the original deposit --
A- Yes.

1132Q-Okay, would you produce those cheques, en liasse, as Exhibit...

MS. JULIE KORMAN: EJ-31.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
1133Q-EJ-31? I'm going to include with that the calculation and the cheque for fifty thousand \((\$ 50,000.00)\) as well. Oh, I'm sorry - yes, that's correct. That's correct. And what was your relationship with Mr. Bob Spencer? You mentioned before he was your insurance --

A- Bob spencer is a licensed insurance investment fund, and he did a lot of - when we recommended insurance to clients, he - we would use him, and he did some investment funds for some of our clients as well.

1134Q-Okay, and there was no referral fees or anything of that nature --

A- No.
1135Q-For Mr. Spencer?

A- No.
1136Q-Okay, Robin Whitrod you referred to before.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD: Bob Spencer, are you done with that?

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
Go ahead.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
11370-Was he involved in some loans also, some of those --
A- Some of the - some funds we owe here, yes.
1138Q-You still owe him or --
A- Yes, yeah.
1139Q-Do you recall how much was going to...
A- How much he gave us?
1140Q-Yes.
A- It was, I think, about a hundred and fifty \((\$ 150,000.00)\), I think, or a hundred and twenty-five \((\$ 125,000.00)\).

1141Q-And how much are owed?
A- That's what we owe him.
1142Q-You owe him the full amount, but there's some payments, important payments, that were made to him still.

A- There were - there was payments. There were payments we paid to him, and I think he gave us back some other monies.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
\(11432-I\) show you here a statement prepared by the trustee
in respect of payments made to R.J. Spencer
Financial Services Inc., Robert Spencer Family
Holdings, and Robert or Bob Spencer. Would you take a look at those, please?

A- These are monies that he gave us?
1144Q-The cheques are attached there. These are monies which you gave him.

A- Yeah, that would be correct, and some of these aren't his.

1145Q-Well, the ones that are underlined in yellow, obviously.

A- Okay, the ones that have his name on them, thirtyfive (35), these were - well, the cheques that are here, correct.

1146 -And they represent what?
A- Bob would have loaned us money, and then we would have repaid him periodically different loans when they came due and...

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
1147 Q -How would you know what to reimburse and how much is owing?

A- Well, we had separate agreements for each amount, and we had a summary for each amount. So, Bob - it
was with Bob. He would have agreements, and he would have had a summary that was given to him.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

1148Q-When it's marked personal on the Earl Jones in trust account, does that mean that the loan was personal?

A- Where is it marked personal? At the bottom?

1149Q-I believe it says personal.
A- That would have been funds that I borrowed for some reason and repaid. It was probably repaid to him. 1150Q-Well, there's a couple of cheques that are marked personal.

A- Well, the other - it may also refer to - Bob has a family holding company as his company, and some of the cheques he gave us were personal cheques. So, it may be a repayment of this money on a personal basis.

1151Q-Well, we have one cheque - let's go cheque by cheque for a moment. The first one is R.J. Spencer Financial Services Inc., fifteen hundred and twentyeight dollars (\$1,528.00), December - I believe it's 12th, 2008. So, R.J. Spencer Financial Services would be lending you money?

A- Yes, he would give us - he would have given us a cheque from that particular...

1152Q-The next one is December 24 th, 2008 , Robert Spencer

Family Holdings. So, he would have a holding company that would be giving you money --

A- That's right.
1153Q-Lending you money? And then, we have Robert Spencer personally from Earl Jones in trust in 2006, thirtyfive thousand (\$35,000.00). That would be a personal loan, is it?

A- Yes.
1154Q-June 15th, 2006, thirty-five thousand (\$35,000.00), personal, and March 23rd, 2006, thirty thousand \((\$ 30,000.00)\), personal.

A- And are they going to Bob, or are they coming to me?
1155Q-They're going from your Earl Jones in trust account
to Robert Spencer personally.
A- Okay, that's right.
1156Q-You were paying...
A- Funds that he loaned on a personal basis. 1157Q-Well, how many loans would he have made to you? A- Well, he may have made one loan of thirty-five \((\$ 35,000.00)\), and it could have been repaid thirty (30) days later. And then, there could have been another one, so...

1158Q-Would you produce these cheques and the summary paid to Mr. Bob Spencer and various companies on his behalf as Exhibit EJ-32? Okay, Mr. Jones, the
purchase of the condominium for your daughter
Kimberly in Hyannis, do you recall how that
happened, how that unit was purchased?
A- I believe I utilized my own funds, but the answer is no.

1159Q-Okay, well, let's go step by step. I show you here a letter dated December 11th, 1996, that I want you to take a look at. It's the offer - enclosed is the offer to purchase. Okay, if I take a look, that's a letter to Living Independently together with the offer to purchase. That's your signature on the offer. Then, there's a cheque attached to that.

A- Yes.
1160Q-The cheque is from Earl Jones in trust --
A- Right.
1161Q-December 4th, 1996, for ten thousand dollars
\((\$ 10,000.00)\).
A- Right.
1162Q-Would you produce that - well, we'll produce all
this together. That's how we start, okay, number 1.
Number 2, I show you here a letter dated July 3rd,
1997, to Mr. Richard Dalton, lawyer, whereby you say
you're going to be purchasing this unit outright
with:
```

"No mortgage will be placed on this

```
resident, and that it should be
registered either in the name of my
wife, Mrs. Maxine Pamela Jones in
trust for Kim or in my name as well
as my wife's name".

I'd like to speak to you about that. Could you just put that together with the other letter?

A- Right.
1163Q-I show you here now a letter dated July 3rd, 1997,

Lipman Drummond Freeman, attorneys in Barnstable, Massachusetts, and it refers:
"I will arrange with Mr. Dalton the transferring of the funds to his
trust and/or escrow account for the
purchase and the releasing of some
five thousand five hundred dollars
\((\$ 5,500.00)^{\prime \prime}\).

Would you put that together? And I show you here a bank draft from the Royal Bank of Canada in the sum of a hundred and fifty-two thousand eight thirty and fifty-four cents \((\$ 152,830.54)\) made out to E.

Edward, trustee, Lincoln Road, Realty Trust, together with a cheque for the Canadian equivalent of those U.S. funds from the Earl Jones in trust account. Take a look at this, and there's a memo
attached whereby this sum is being forwarded for, I believe, the purchase of that unit. Is that correct?

A- What was the question?
1164Q-Well, are these the funds that were used to purchase that unit?

A-
I would say yes, but my understanding and I couldn't
answer the question is that you would know by the
trust account that I may have put some of my own money in the trust before making these payments. And if there's nothing there, then the answer is yes.

1165Q-We don't see any reference to you putting personal money in the trust account for payment of this particular bank draft. Now, I show you here --

Me DONAld R. MICHELIN:
Are you going to file it?
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
Yes, we're going to file them all together.
Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
Oh, okay.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
1166Q-I show you here a copy of a declaration of trust
establishing the Jones Realty Trust, and the trustee
is your wife, Maxine Jones. This was the trust that
was set up to purchase that condominium unit, and it was July 21st, 1997.

A- I believe this is the trust, yes. 1167Q-Okay, who organized the setting up of the trust and advised you to put the condominium or the unit in the name of this trust?

AIt was advised by the Life Organization in general that because of the disabilities of these young adults, that real estate property be put in a trust, number 1. Number 2, Mr. Freeman, is it, Peter Freeman is a lawyer that - yeah, Peter Freeman, the lawyer, and also the gentleman who did the trust, you said, was a Mr. Dalton, those three (3)
individuals were the ones that recommended that a trust be established.

1168Q-Was there any other trust established, or this was the sole trust that was established?

A- This was the sole trust, I believe, yes.
1169Q-And the unit still is in the name of this trust --
A- Yes.
1170Q-As I understand it.
A- Yes.
11712-And your daughter is still living there, as I
understand it. Is that correct?
A- Well, until the end of December.

1172Q-Okay, but that's the - is she coming back here or...
A- No, we were able to rent a one-room apartment with
another resident there.
1173Q-I see.
A- For a few months to see how she gets along.
1174Q-Okay, would you produce all of those documents, en
liasse, as Exhibit EJ-33? The expenses over the years from 1997 to date for this unit were paid how?

A- I would say on a personal basis and also from the E.J. in trust account.

1175Q-Okay, and your daughter's school expenses were paid how?

A- Well, going back to the first school she was at was at Riverview, and that was paid to a great extent on a personal basis, and I had an educational trust here in Quebec that I bought for them years ago, but, at Life, I would say it would be a combination of from the E.J. in trust account and personal.

11762-Okay.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Can I just...
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
Yes, go ahead.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
1177Q-How much was the tuition approximately per year?
```

    A- At Life where she is.
    1178Q-Am I wrong at about fifty ($50,000.00) to sixty
    thousand dollars ($60,000.00) U.S.?
    A- No, you're wrong, yes. The tuition is - right now,
    it's twenty-five thousand dollars ($25,000.00). So,
    going back, Kim has been there for - I don't know -
    seven (7), eight (8) years, or six (6) years. When
    was this bought?
    Me NEIL H. STEIN:
    1179Q-This was bought in 1999.
    MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    1180Q-'99.
    A- In '99, so, it was probably about eight thousand
        ($8,000.00), twelve thousand ($12,000.00), in that
        area. It jumped up very quickly.
    1181Q-But if we look at the entire expenses and you're
    saying --
    A- Well, if you add in the condo fees, which were three
    hundred dollars ($300.00) a month, and the taxes,
    and there were some renovations done like the new
    kitchen floor, that type of thing.
    1182Q-We're going to be looking at later on your personal
    tax returns, but, in those days, we're looking at
    thirty ($30,000.00) to sixty thousand dollars
    ($60,000.00). Where was the money coming from, if
    ```
you say it's from personal funds? And all the cheques are drawn on the in trust account?
```

A-

``` Well, that's what \(I\) said. I think there was some from personal, but the majority was from the E.J. in trust.

1183Q-Okay, because that's different than what you said earlier. You said it came from personal funds.

A- There's some entries in the E.J. in trust that deposits that \(I\) had made. And, at times, I would look and say, okay, I have deposited five thousand (\$5,000.00), and I wrote a cheque for five thousand \((\$ 5,000.00)\), but the bottom line is that, you know, what went in and what went out is - whatever the difference is is attributed to myself. 1184Q-But wasn't a lot of the funds that were going back in funds that you had originally drawn from that account, anyways?

A- Yeah, some of it was, yeah. 1185Q-So, it wasn't personal funds. It was really still clients' funds that were being used.

A- Well, it was - I guess so.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
1186 Q -As an example, if we take a look at your taxable income in the year 1998, your total income --

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
Taxable income is forty-two thousand dollars (\$42,000.00).

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
1187Q-Forty-two thousand zero twenty-seven forty-nine (\$42,027.49).

A- Right.
1188Q-Alright, so, in 1997, your taxable income was fortytwo thousand dollars (\$42,000.00). Obviously, you didn't have the hundred and...

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
...fifty-two thousand (\$152,000.00).
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
11890-A hundred and fifty-two thousand dollars (\$152,000.00) --

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
U.S.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
1190Q-U.S. to pay. Would that be a fair statement?
A- It's a fair statement. OFF RECORD DISCUSSION

SHORT ADJOURNMENT

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
1191Q-Mr. Jones, you purchased the property at 78 Gables Court on September 19th, '81. I show you here a copy of the deed. Okay, the purchase price, as I understand it, for that home was two hundred and twenty thousand dollars (\$220,000.00), and let me just look at the - paragraph 30 of the deed refers to the consideration being two hundred and twenty thousand dollars (\$220,000.00). Do you recall how the purchase price was paid?

A- The money came from a house \(I\) had in, \(I\) think it
was, Rosedale in the Windermere area of Beaconsfield.

1192Q-okay, and there was how much paid in cash and how
much paid by way of mortgage? Do you recall?
A- I think I assumed - and this is where the Bank of
Montreal comes in, I think, now. I think I assumed
the Bank of Montreal mortgage that was on there. I
can't recall, but the proceeds would have been from
the sale of --
1193Q-Well, it says:
"This property is free and clear of
all encumbrances with the exception
of the hypothec subsisting in favour
of Le Permanent, which the vendor
binds and obliges himself to have discharged forthwith at his own expense".

A- I guess I didn't. I really don't recall.
1194Q-Okay, if I tell you that the purchase price was two twenty (\$220,000.00), that the amount paid in cash was one sixty-one (\$161,000.00), and you had a mortgage of fifty-nine thousand dollars (\$59,000.00) with the Bank of Montreal, would that sound --

A- That's correct.
1195Q-Correct?

A- Right.
1196Q-Now, the money which you said was paid in cash, the hundred and sixty-one thousand \((\$ 161,000.00)\), you said, came from a prior property.

A- That's right.
1197Q-And where did the money for the prior property come from?

A- We had bought a property on Beacon. I think it was Beacon Road in Beaconsfield for some twelve (\$12,000.00), thirteen thousand dollars (\$13,000.00), and sold it, I think, up in the fifties or sixties, and we bought the one on Windermere the day that the referendum was being signed here or voted on, and the people didn't show
up. And the money we got from the Beacon, we put it into the Windermere one on Rosedale, and the money from Rosedale went into the Gables Court.
1198Q-Well --
    A- So, it was money we made from the selling of
    personal houses.
    11990-And would you say that all the money which went into
    each one of those properties came from your personal
    monies, or were there funds which came from the
    trust account?
    A- It came from personal.
    1200Q-Everything?
    A- The original house on Beacon, well, some family
    members helped me make the down payment, and then
    what I had. And I was at Montreal Trust at that
    time, and I got a mortgage through Montreal Trust
    Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:
    1201Q-What was the correct address of that house?
    A- What?
    1202Q-The correct address on Beacon and the correct
        address --
    A- I think --
    1203Q-On Windermere.
    A- I think it was 128 on --
    1204Q-On Beacon?

A- Beacon, and --
1205Q-Windermere?
A- Windermere, it wouldn't - I think it was on Rosedale in the Windermere area in Beaconsfield.

1206Q-So, on Rosedale.
A- Again, I think it was - it may have been 128.
1207Q-So, 128 was for both of them?
A- No, 128 Rosedale.
1208Q-And on Beacon, what was the address?
A- I think - you know what? I really don't know. I
can't recall. My wife would know them. If you want
me to get them for you, I'll get them.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:
12090-Now, apartment number N3 at 850 Lakeshore Road --
A- Yes.
1210Q-In Dorval, you have that...

OFF RECORD DISCUSSION

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
1211Q-Okay, apartment number N3 was purchased by you and your wife on December 1st, 1997. The purchase price was three hundred and ten thousand dollars
\((\$ 310,000.00)\), of which you paid ninety-seven
thousand dollars \((\$ 97,000.00)\) down, and you assumed
a two hundred and twelve thousand dollar
\((\$ 212,000.00)\) mortgage at the Bank of Montreal. Do you recall that?

A- Yes.
1212Q-Do you recall that the cheques were - and I can give you the dates and the cheque numbers, were all drawn on the Earl Jones in trust account?

A- What cheques?
1213Q-Sorry?
A- What cheques?
1214Q-Cheque number 501 --
A- But what cheque is it? For the purchase price or for the monthly payments?

1215Q-Just let me - thank you; cheque number 501, December 1st, 1996, Caisse Populaire Desjardins for fourteen hundred and seven dollars (\$1,407.00); cheque number 589, January 1, 1997, Caisse Populaire Desjardins, two thousand three hundred \((\$ 2,300.00)\); and then, cheque number 812, November 27th, 1997, the Bank of Montreal for a Canadian draft of ninety-four thousand six eighty-three forty-eight (\$94,683.48), do you recall that that was the total cash paid of ninety-seven thousand two oh two \((\$ 97,202.00)\), and then you assumed the...

A- I know we assumed a mortgage, because there was a
foreclosure on the property, and that's why we bought it.

1216Q-Right, but the money --
A- I thought the mortgage was with the Caisse Populaire or Banque Nationale or something, but anyway I - we may have transferred it over to the Bank of Montreal. I don't know.

1217Q-Well, it says in the deed that the balance of sale is by way of assumption of a two hundred and twelve thousand dollar ( \(\$ 212,000.00\) ) mortgage in favour of the Bank of Montreal, but the point that I'm trying to make is the purchase price came from the in trust account, the cash part of the purchase price. Do you recollect --

A- It was? I don't recall.
1218Q-You don't recall.
A- No.
1001Q-Okay.
A- I would assume we used the money from the Gables Court. We moved into N3 on a rental basis, and we retained the money from Gables Court. Then, the bailiff came and told us we have to get out, because it was being - there was a foreclosure, and we decided to buy it.

1220Q-X3, the unit number X3 --
```

A- Yes.
1221Q-At 870 Lakeshore Drive --
A- Yes.
1222Q-Again, that was purchased on October 22nd, 1999, by
you and your wife. The purchase price was three
hundred and twenty-nine thousand four twenty-eight
(\$329,428.00).
A- Right.
1223Q-And the vendor acknowledged receiving, both before
and at the execution of the deed of sale, the total
sum of three hundred and seventy thousand dollars
(\$370,000.00).
A- Right.
1224Q-The payments were made again from the trust account
to Notary André Hébert. There was first a December
15th, 1997 cheque for five thousand (\$5,000.00) as
the first deposit; March 25th, 1998, cheque number
491, eleven thousand four seventy-one (\$11,471.00);
July 1, 1998, cheque number 510 to Notary André
Hébert for thirty-two thousand nine forty-two
(\$32,942.00); November 9th, 1998, cheque number 266
to Notary André Hébert for thirty-two thousand nine
forty-two (\$32,942.00); January 20th, 1999, cheque
number 265 to Notary André Hébert for thirty-two
thousand nine forty-two (\$32,942.00) for a total of

```
a hundred and fifteen thousand two ninety-seven (\$115,297.00) paid to Notary Hébert, all again from the in trust account.

There was a further cheque of thirty-three nine
sixteen seventy-six \((\$ 33,916.76)\) to Notary Hébert
dated October 22nd, 1999, also from the trust
account for a total of a hundred and forty-nine
thousand two thirteen seventy-six (\$149,213.76).
The amount that was paid in cash was a hundred and fifteen thousand two ninety-seven \((\$ 115,297.00)\), and there was a Bank of Montreal mortgage of two hundred and fifty-four thousand seven oh three \((\$ 254,703.00)\) for a total of three seventy \((\$ 370,000.00)\). Again, the cash part of the purchase price appears to all have come from the in trust account.

A- It may have come from the in trust account, or \(I\) would assume it was, as you say it appears to have come.

1225Q-Well, we have the cheques --
A- But when I sold - okay, when I sold N3 to Mr. Hugh Whalen, who, \(I\) believe, is on your list, Mr. Robillard, the three hundred and thirty thousand \((\$ 330,000.00)\) which \(I\) believe he paid me for N3 would have gone into my trust account. The thirty
thousand \((\$ 30,000.00)\) or the twenty thousand's (\$20,000.00's) that were advanced to Notary Hébert was advanced in accordance with the building being built and being finished.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
1226Q-But most of those payments were made prior to the
            sale of N3.
    A- The - prior to --
    1227Q-Given that --
    A- Prior to the effective date of the sale of N3.
    MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
    1228Q-Prior to the payment of the proceeds on N3.
    A- Yes, it would have been, yeah, but I knew that the
    sale --
    1229Q-Because there were --
    A- Was going through.
    1230Q-There were partial payments of approximately thirty
    thousand ( \(\$ 30,000.00\) ) on a regular basis --
    A- Right.
    12310 -As the condo was --
    A- Right.
    1232Q-Being built.
    A- Right, and then I reimbursed the in trust account
    with the three thirty \((\$ 330,000.00)\) that Mr. Whalen
    paid.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
12332-Alright, so, you first took out of the trust account and then reimbursed it.

A- Yes.
1234Q-Okay.
A- Now, all of that monies relating to the Beacon and the Rosedale, to the Gables Court, and to the Dorval, all originated from the original purchase of the Beaconsfield bungalow subject to some other monies, I guess.

1235Q-Well, when would you deposit monies to the trust account, and when would you deposit monies to your personal account? Why would you continuously comingle?

A- I - you know, I really don't have an answer for that. It was very sloppy on my part, to be honest
with you. The trust account, I - it was a
convenient way, because I very, very seldom - I
don't know if the girls had told you - ever went to
the bank and did any banking. And if I had a
transaction, the girls at the office were able to go
and do everything for me. So, it was --
1236Q-But they were able to do it for your personal
account as well as the so-called in trust account.
A- Yeah, I just - it was - well, in this case here,
because I had paid money from the trust account, it was my - I was reimbursing the trust account by putting that proceeds from the sale of \(N 3\) into it. 1237Q-Alright, so, your position is that you would have taken advances from the trust account --

A- Right.
1238Q-And then reimbursed it --
A- Right.
12390-When you sold the property.
A- Exactly.
1240Q-But on a - did you have any sort of thought process that you would use as to when funds would go to the trust account, as to when funds would go to your personal account? I showed you a cheque from your personal account to your daughter, which you said represented funds that were coming in from investments for your daughter.

A- Right.
12412-And why would you have those funds deposited to your personal account and, in other instances, deposited to the trust account?

A-
I really don't know. There may have been cheques
that I put in, because the trust account was a
little short, and I put some money in. Regarding
the cheque to my daughter, I think that was from my
personal account, wasn't it?
1242Q-Correct.
A- Yeah, so, I would have thought that the insurance or the investment fund cheque could have been made payable to me, because \(I\) was the owner of that contract. And I would have endorsed the cheque and put it into my personal account, and then wrote a cheque from my personal account to Kristine.

1243Q-And yet when you're purchasing these properties, you're again - and we'll come to the others, the same situation with the other properties that you purchased, it's always out of the trust account, never from your personal account. Is there any
reason why you wouldn't take money from the trust
account --
A- Well, I didn't have a --
0107 Q -If it belonged to you --

A- I didn't have - you know, when you're talking about
Gables, because that's what we're looking at now,
you know, I didn't have in my personal account
thirty (\$30,000.00), thirty (\$30,000.00), thirty
thousand dollars (\$30,000.00).
1245Q-I see. So, that's why it came out of the trust
account.
A- Right, knowing that \(I\) was - the sale was going
through, and I would get three hundred and thirty
thousand (\$330,000.00). I think if you look in the
trust account, you'll see a deposit of that amount. 1246 Q-Okay, now, you purchased a --

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

1247Q-Well, there was a two hundred and thirteen thousand dollar (\$213,000.00) mortgage on the N3.

A- Right.

1248Q-Was that paid? Had you finished paying that
mortgage at the time of the sale of N3?
A- I don't recall.

1249Q-So, we see only a deposit for the difference.

A- It could be.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

1250Q-Over the years, the payments for Gables Court and
for the condos at Dorval, the taxes, the insurance,
the condo fees, the repairs, the renovations, the
furnishing, those, from the Examination of your
wife, appear to be made again from the trust account
by way of cheques going to your wife or cheques
going directly from the trust account in payment of
those matters. Was there anything that was paid
from your personal account in respect of those?

A- Well, I believe going back a number of years ago,
the - I would take a fee and from my - I would take
a fee, put it in the company account, and \(I\) would take a draw from the company account, usually five thousand (\$5,000.00) or so on a month, and put it into my personal account. And a lot of the - and Maxine used to get some cheques from my personal account at times.

1251Q-Well, the cheques which we've seen, okay, and which she said she received are all from the in trust account. And she doesn't seem to have - she told us she never received cheques from your corporate account, and she didn't refer to any cheques from your personal account, not from what I recollect, in any event.

A- Well, what - you know, what you've seen in the in trust account obviously is accurate, because you have copies of the cheques.

1252Q-Right.

A- Right, and in order to determine what \(I\) could have paid her - I'll use the word - from my company account, which would have been a personal cheque, because she didn't work for the company, and/or from my own personal account, I guess those accounts would show.

1253Q-Alright, so, you would have the records to show from your personal account --

A- I don't have any records whatsoever. Every single file was taken from either my office or from my home. I have no records whatsoever.

1254Q-You have no records whatsoever.
A- No, nothing.
1255Q-Okay.

A- No.
MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
1256 Q-We have quite a bit.
A- I'm sure you do.
1257Q-We have the corporate and some of the personal.
A- Yeah.

1258Q-And we don't see many personal expenses paid out of those accounts. The majority, furniture, door repairs, window repairs, carpet, everything seems to be cheques from the trust account, all of it.

A- Right.
1259Q-From there, not from the - either the personal nor the joint account.

A- Well, he asked me a question, and I answered it. I think that \(I\) did pay.

1260Q-okay.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

1261Q-The property in Boca Raton, do you recall how that was paid for?

A-
That was - it was a - I think the purchase price was a hundred and twenty thousand \((\$ 120,000.00)\) or a hundred and thirty (\$130,000.00). I'm not sure, and there was a problem at the end that may not be reflected in the deed, that the owners would not show up, and the lawyer that was doing it, he ended up, I think, getting five (\$5,000.00) or ten thousand (\$10,000.00) off the purchase price, but I think the deeds and everything were already done. There was a hundred thousand dollar (\$100,000.00) mortgage put on there by...

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

1262Q-Wachovia?
A- No, the company before Wachovia bought.

Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:

1263Q-First Union.
A- Yeah, I think so, yeah.

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:

First Union National Bank.
Me NEIL H. STEIN:

1264Q-First Union National Bank.

A- Then, it went to Royal Savings or whatever.
Me DONALD R. MICHELIN:

1265Q-Royal Savings.
A- So, the only amount that was put down on that place
would have been the difference between the hundred thousand dollar (\$100,000.00) mortgage and...

Me NEIL H. STEIN:

1266Q-Well, the purchase price is supposed to be a hundred and forty thousand \((\$ 140,000.00)\) U.S.

A- Right.

1267Q-Do you recall where the money came from to purchase that?

A- No.

1268Q-Okay.
A- I know, at one forty \((\$ 140,000.00)\), \(I\) don't know if it really mattered, but as I said, I think there was a ten thousand dollar \((\$ 10,000.00)\) reduction which was not reflected, anyway.

1269Q-okay, once again, the cheques which we have, which are - we have a reconciliation of the numbers, and the transfers all appear to come from the in trust account. Do you recall?

A- You have copies of those cheques?
1270Q-Yes, we do.

A- Yeah.
1271Q-Do you recall yourself whether you had any personal
money that was put into that?
A- I think there was.
1272Q-Those are the cheques.

A- I think there was, but I don't recall. It was actually a family purchase that my brothers begged out at the last minute, so. I think I would have put some personal money in, but I can't --

1273Q-Alright, well --

A- I can't recall.

1274Q-Just so that you can see the cheques, you can take a look at the cheques and the transfers, and you'll see that they all come from the in trust account. No personal cheques there?

A- There doesn't appear to be.

1275Q-The Village de soleil or des soleils --

A- Yes.

1276Q-Condos, do you recall purchasing a condo there?

A- Yes.

1277Q-Okay, do you recall the purchase price?
A- The original condo I purchased there, I think, was for sixty-seven thousand (\$67,000.00) or fifty-seven
thousand (\$57,000.00). Then, I sold that, I think, for a hundred and twenty-five (\$125,000.00) or a hundred and fifty (\$150,000.00), and I used that money to purchase the second condo.

1278Q-Okay, I show you here a purchase - well, a cheque to Village des soleils from your in trust account March 8th, 1989, for a hundred and twenty-two thousand one
fifty \((\$ 122,150.00)\). Does this represent the purchase of the last condo?

AYeah, this would be the purchase of the last condo. I had a two (2) bedroom condo before, which was sold. I believe it was for a hundred and twentyfive thousand (\$125,000.00). And then, I used that money to purchase the three (3) bedroom. So, it may have gone into the trust account, the money I got from the sale.

1279Q-Now, you then purchased a property at 40 Allée Becs Scies --

A- Right.
1280Q-In Mont Tremblant. There were three (3) condos, I believe, at the Village des soleils. Do you recall that, that were purchased?

A- There was - I purchased one condo, sold it. Then, I think I repurchased it, and then my - we had purchased - I think I purchased another condo with a friend, which we shared, and then it was sold.

1281Q-And what were the proceeds used - or what did you do with the sale proceeds?

AI would have put them into our in trust account, I would say. I'm not sure whether there was any profit at all, because Village des soleils took off. Then, it came down and...

1282Q-Okay, the last purchases at Mont Tremblant, the Allée Becs Scies, 49 Allée Becs Scies, do you recall
that purchase?

A- Yes.
1283Q-Okay, again, from what we see, all the cheques come from the in trust account and a bank draft purchased from the in trust account.

A- There would have been a deposit in the in trust account from a notary in Three Rivers for the sale of that --

1284Q-Well, the first cheque is July 28th, 2003, to Dupré Bédard in trust --

A- Right.
1285Q-For thirty-one thousand eight eighty-eight \((\$ 31,888.00)\), cheque number 6631 from the in trust account. There was another one to the same notaries July 28th, 2003, for sixteen four four four \((\$ 16,444.00)\), the same trust account; another one the same date, July 28th, 2003, the same notaries, another sixteen thousand four four four (\$16,444.00). Was there any particular reason for
three (3) cheques being written on --
A- That's the same idea as the Dorval apartment. When they were building the complex, you had to pay a certain amount when the sides go up and the roof
goes up.
1286Q-No, but those three (3) cheques were all written on the same date.

A- For the same people?
1287Q-The same people.
A- I don't know why there was three (3) cheques written on the same date to the same people. The only
thing, that particular unit was, I think, pretty
well finished on the outside and the roof. So, they may have asked for three (3) separate cheques to...

1288Q-Okay, again, the monies for this condo came from
where, according to you?
A- Village des soleils.
12890-Well, how much money did you have from the Village
des soleils?
A- It was one eighty-four (\$184,000.00) - one eighty-
eight ( \(\$ 188,000.00\) ) I sold the condo in Grey Rocks.
1290Q-And, here, you put down two hundred and twenty
thousand seven seventy-six \((\$ 220,776.00)\). Plus, you
paid some other postdated cheques. Take a look at
the cheques. I'll ask you to take a look at the
cheques. They all come out of the trust account.
A- I used the in trust account in advancing those
monies against the money that I got from Grey Rocks,
but there's obviously a difference between the sale
price of Grey Rocks and the purchase price there, plus other expenses that I...

1291Q-Again, the support of that condominium or that unit, rather, the taxes, furnishings, etc., appear to have come out of the trust account. Would that be accurate?

A- Some of it would have, I believe. Others, I think I could have paid from my own personal account or monies, but certainly the cheques you showed me are from the trust accounts.

1292Q-Okay, we'll stop there for tonight at twenty to six (5:40).

MR. GILLES ROBILLARD:
We'll resume tomorrow morning.

Me NEIL H. STEIN:
Tomorrow morning, and we shouldn't be more than half a day at max. (Addressing the Witness) 1293Q-Alright, thank you very much. AND FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.```

