#### RELIEF REQUESTED WITHOUT A HEARING

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MAINE

_	
ln	ro.
	IC.

MONTREAL, MAINE & ATLANTIC RAILWAY, LTD.,

Debtor.

Bk. No. 13-10670 Chapter 11

# NINTH CONSENT MOTION TO EXTEND THE OBJECTION DEADLINE AND CONTINUE THE HEARING ON THE TRUSTEE'S MOTIONS FOR RULE 2004 EXAMINATIONS

Robert J. Keach, as trustee (the "<u>Trustee</u>") of Montreal Maine & Atlantic Railway, Ltd. (the "<u>Debtor</u>"), hereby requests that this Court enter an Order continuing the hearing (the "<u>Hearing</u>") and extending the deadline to file a response or objection (the "<u>Objection Deadline</u>") with respect to the following motions (together, the "<u>Rule 2004 Motions</u>"):

- Motion for Order Compelling Trinity Industries, Inc. to Appear for Rule 2004 Examination and to Produce Documents in Connection Therewith [D.E. 1145];
- Motion for Order Compelling Trinity Rail Group, LLC to Appear for Rule 2004 Examination and to Produce Documents in Connection Therewith [D.E. 1146];
- Motion for Order Compelling Trinity Rail Leasing 2012 LLC to Appear for Rule 2004 Examination and to Produce Documents in Connection Therewith [D.E. 1147];
- Motion for Order Compelling General Electric Railcar Services Corporation to Appear for Rule 2004 Examination and to Produce Documents in Connection Therewith [D.E. 1148];
- Motion for Order Compelling Union Tank Car Company to Appear for Rule 2004 Examination and to Produce Documents in Connection Therewith [D.E. 1149]; and
- Motion for Order Compelling First Union Rail to Appear for Rule 2004 Examination and to Produce Documents in Connection Therewith [D.E. 1151].

Case 13-10670 Doc 1846 Filed 11/10/15 Entered 11/10/15 12:45:24 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 4

In further support of this consented-to motion, the Trustee states as follows:

- 1. On November 4, 2014, the Trustee filed the *Consent Motion to Extend the Deadline to Respond to the Trustee's Motions for Rule 2004 Examinations* [D.E. 1186] (the "Consent Motion"), which proposed to extend the Objection Deadline from November 4, 2014 to November 12, 2014. On November 6, 2014, the Court entered an order grating the Consent Motion [D.E. 1200].
- 2. On November 12, 2014, the Trustee filed the Second Consent Motion to Extend the Deadline to Respond to the Trustee's Motions for Rule 2004 Examinations [D.E. 1210] (the "Second Consent Motion"), which proposed to extend the Objection Deadline from November 12, 2014 to November 17, 2014. On November 13, the Court entered an order granting the Second Consent Motion [D.E. 1215].
- 3. On November 17, 2014, the Trustee filed the *Consent Motion to Extend the Objection Deadline and Continue the Hearing on the Trustee's Motions for Rule 2004 Examinations* [D.E. 1224] (the "Third Consent Motion"), which proposed to extend the Objection Deadline from November 17, 2014 to January 6, 2015 and to reschedule the Hearing for January 13, 2015. On November 18, 2014, the Court entered an order granting the Third Consent Motion [D.E. 1227].
- 4. On January 5, 2015, the Trustee filed the *Fourth Consent Motion to Extend the Objection Deadline and Continue the Hearing on the Trustee's Motions for Rule 2004 Examination* [D.E. 1330] (the "Fourth Consent Motion"), which proposed to extend the Objection Deadline from January 6, 2015 to February 3, 2015 and to reschedule the Hearing for February 10, 2015. On January 6, 2015, the Court entered an order granting the Fourth Consent Motion [D.E. 1332].

Case 13-10670 Doc 1846 Filed 11/10/15 Entered 11/10/15 12:45:24 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 4

- 5. On February 2, 2015, the Trustee filed the *Fifth Consent Motion to Extend the Objection Deadline and Continue the Hearing on the Trustee's Motions for Rule 2004 Examinations* [D.E. 1351] (the "Fifth Consent Motion"), which proposed to extend the Objection Deadline from February 3, 2015 to March 3, 2015 and to reschedule the Hearing for March 10, 2015. On February 3, 2015, the Court entered an order granting the Fifth Consent Motion [D.E. 1354].
- 6. On March 2, 2015, the Trustee filed the *Sixth Consent Motion to Extend the Objection Deadline and Continue the Hearing on the Trustee's Motions for Rule 2004 Examinations* [D.E. 1371] (the "Sixth Consent Motion"), which proposed to extend the Objection Deadline from March 3, 2015 to April 21, 2015 and to reschedule the Hearing for April 28, 2015. On March 3, 2015, the Court entered an order granting the Sixth Consent Motion [D.E. 1373].
- 7. On April 20, 2015, the Trustee filed the Seventh Consent Motion to Extend the Objection Deadline and Continue the Hearing on the Trustee's Motions for Rule 2004 Examinations [D.E. 1394] (the "Seventh Consent Motion"), which proposed to extend the Objection Deadline from April 21, 2015 to September 1, 2015 and to reschedule the Hearing for September 22, 2015. On April 21, 2015, the Court entered an order granting the Seventh Consent Motion [D.E. 1403].
- 8. On August 24, 2015, the Trustee filed the *Eighth Consent Motion to Extend the Objection Deadline and Continue the Hearing on the Trustee's Motions for Rule 2004 Examinations* [D.E. 1611] (the "<u>Eighth Consent Motion</u>"), which proposed to extend the Objection Deadline from September 1, 2015 to November 10, 2015 and to reschedule the Hearing for December 2, 2015. On August 25, 2015, the Court entered an order granting the

Case 13-10670 Doc 1846 Filed 11/10/15 Entered 11/10/15 12:45:24 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 4

Seventh Consent Motion [D.E. 1616].

9. Counsel for (i) Trinity Industries, Inc., (ii) Trinity Rail Group, LLC, (iii) Trinity

Rail Leasing 2012 LLC, (iv) General Electric Railcar Services Corporation, (v) Union Tank Car

Company, and (vi) First Union Rail (together, the "Requesting Parties") have requested that the

Hearing be continued from December 2, 2015 to February 23, 2016. The Requesting Parties

have also requested a further extension of the Objection Deadline to February 2, 2016. The

Trustee consents to such request.

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests that the Court enter an Order: (a)

continuing the Hearing to February 23, 2016 at 9:00 a.m.; (b) extending the Objection Deadline

with respect to the Requesting Parties to February 2, 2016; and (c) granting such other and

further relief as may be granted.

Dated: November 10, 2015

ROBERT J. KEACH CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE OF MONTREAL MAINE & ATLANTIC RAILWAY, LTD.

By his attorneys:

/s/ Timothy J. McKeon

Timothy J. McKeon Bernstein, Shur, Sawyer & Nelson, P.A. 100 Middle Street

P.O. Box 9729

Portland, ME 04104-5029

Tel: (207) 774-1200 Fax: (207) 774-1127

4

Case 13-10670 Doc 1846-1 Filed 11/10/15 Entered 11/10/15 12:45:24 Desc Proposed Order Page 1 of 2

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MAINE

In re:

MONTREAL, MAINE & ATLANTIC RAILWAY, LTD.,

Debtor.

Bk. No. 13-10670 Chapter 11

## ORDER GRANTING NINTH CONSENT MOTION TO EXTEND THE OBJECTION DEADLINE AND CONTINUE THE HEARING ON THE TRUSTEE'S MOTIONS FOR RULE 2004 EXAMINATIONS

Upon consideration of the *Ninth Consent Motion to Extend Objection Deadline and Continue the Hearing on the Trustee's Motions for Rule 2004 Examinations* (the "Ninth Consent Motion") filed by Robert J. Keach, as trustee (the "Trustee") of Montreal Maine & Atlantic Railway, Ltd. (the "Debtor"), and the Trustee and the Requesting Parties consenting to the relief sought in the Ninth Consent Motion, and without hearing pursuant to D. Me. LBR 9013-1(d)(1) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9006(b)(1), it is hereby **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED** and **DECREED** as follows:

- 1. The Ninth Consent Motion is granted.
- 2. The Hearing shall take place on **February 23, 2016** at **9:00 a.m. E.S.T.**
- 3. The Objection Deadline is extended, as it relates only to the Requesting Parties, up to and including **February 2, 2016**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, all capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the same meaning as ascribed to such terms in the Ninth Consent Motion.

Case 13-10670 Doc 1846-1 Filed 11/10/15 Entered 11/10/15 12:45:24 Desc Proposed Order Page 2 of 2

4.	This Order shall become fin	nal in fourteen (14) days unless a party in interest
sooner object	ts, in which case the matter sha	all be set for hearing and considered by the Court as it
this Order had	d not been entered.	
Dated:	, 2015	
		The Honorable Peter G. Cary
		United States Bankruptcy Judge for the District of Maine