

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)

THE HONOURABLE)
JUSTICE HAINEY)
)

WEDNESDAY, THE 11TH
DAY OF APRIL, 2018



Estate/Court File No.31-2363758

IN THE MATTER OF THE NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MAKE A PROPOSAL OF JONES
CANADA, INC., A CORPORATION WITH A HEAD OFFICE IN THE CITY OF TORONTO
IN THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

Applicant

Estate/Court File No.31-2363759

IN THE MATTER OF THE NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MAKE A PROPOSAL OF NINE
WEST CANADA LP, A PARTNERSHIP WITH A HEAD OFFICE IN THE CITY OF
TORONTO IN THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

Applicant

ADMINISTRATION ORDER

THIS MOTION made by Jones Canada, Inc. ("**Jones Canada**") and Nine West Canada LP ("**NW Canada**" and, together with Jones Canada, the "**Applicants**") pursuant to the *Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act* R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3 (the "**BIA**") for an order, among other things, extending the time for filing a proposal (the "**Proposal Period**") pursuant to s. 50.4(9) of the BIA, approving the substantive consolidation of the Applicants' proposal proceedings and certain related relief was heard this day at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

ON READING the Notice of Motion of the Applicants, the affidavit of Ralph Schipani sworn April 6, 2018 and exhibits thereto (the "**Schipani Affidavit**"), the First Report (the "**First Report**") of Richter Advisory Group Inc. ("**Richter**") in its capacity as proposal trustee of the Applicants (in such capacity, the "**Proposal Trustee**"), and the confidential appendices thereto, filed, and on hearing the submissions of respective counsel for the Applicants, the Proposal Trustee, Riocan Management, Ivanhoe Cambridge, CEC Leaseholds Inc., 20 Vic

Management, Brookfield Properties, The Cadillac Fairview Corporation Limited and the Oxford Properties Group and such other counsel as were present, no one else appearing although duly served as appears from the Affidavits of Service of Elizabeth Pillon sworn April 9, 2018 and Sanja Sopic sworn April 10, 2018, filed;

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service of the Notice of Motion, the Motion Record and the First Report is hereby abridged and validated so that this motion is properly returnable today and that service, including the form, manner and time that such service was actually effected on all parties, is hereby validated, and where such service was not effected such service is hereby dispensed with.

SUBSTANTIVE CONSOLIDATION

2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the proposal proceedings of Jones Canada (Estate Number 31-2363758) and NW Canada (Estate Number 31-2363759) (collectively, the “**Proposal Proceedings**”) are hereby administratively and substantively consolidated and the Proposal Proceedings are hereby authorized and directed to continue under the following joint title of proceedings, *nunc pro tunc*:

Estate/Court File No. 31-2363758
Estate/Court File No. 31-2363759

IN THE MATTER OF THE NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MAKE A PROPOSAL OF JONES CANADA, INC., A CORPORATION WITH A HEAD OFFICE IN THE CITY OF TORONTO IN THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO, AND NINE WEST CANADA LP, A PARTNERSHIP WITH A HEAD OFFICE IN THE CITY OF TORONTO IN THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that all further materials in the Proposal Proceedings shall be filed with the Court only in the NW Canada Estate and Court file, being Estate / Court File No. 31-2363759.

4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that Richter, in its capacity as the Proposal Trustee of the consolidated Proposal Proceedings may administer the Proposal Proceedings on a consolidated basis, as follows:

- (a) the Proposal Trustee is authorized to administer the Proposal Proceedings as if they were a single proposal proceeding for the purpose of carrying out its administrative duties and responsibilities as proposal trustee under the BIA with respect to the administration of proposal proceedings generally, including without limitation:
 - i. the Proposal Trustee is authorized to issue consolidated reports in respect of the Proposal Proceedings; and
 - ii. the Proposal Trustee is authorized to perform a consolidated making, filing, advertising and distribution of all filings and notices in the Proposal Proceedings required under the BIA;
- (b) the Proposal Trustee is authorized to combine the pool of assets and liabilities of the Proposal Proceedings into one;
- (c) the Proposal Trustee is authorized to file a joint proposal for Jones Canada and NW Canada and convene a single meeting of the creditors of Jones Canada and NW Canada for the purpose of voting on the proposal; and
- (d) the Proposal Trustee is authorized to pay its reasonable fees out of the combined pool of assets of the Proposal Proceedings.

CASH MANAGEMENT

5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Applicants shall be entitled to continue to utilize the cash management system currently in place as described in the Schipani Affidavit or replace it with another substantially similar central cash management system (the “**Cash Management System**”) provided that any cash currently in NW Canada’s Canadian or U.S. dollar accounts and any amounts received into those accounts following the date of this Order shall not be directed to the NW U.S. Entities (as defined and described in the Schipani Affidavit) without the prior approval of the Proposal Trustee.

APPROVAL OF THE D&O CHARGE

6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Applicants shall indemnify their current and future directors and officers (the “**Directors and Officers**”) against obligations and liabilities that they may incur as directors or officers of the Applicants after the commencement of the within proceedings, including, without limitation, in respect of any failure to pay wages and source deductions and vacation pay, except to the extent that, with respect to any director or officer, the obligation or liability was incurred as a result of the director’s or officer’s gross negligence or willful misconduct.

7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Directors and Officers shall be entitled to the benefit of and are hereby granted a charge (the “**D&O Charge**”) on all assets, rights, undertakings and properties of the Applicants, of every nature and kind whatsoever, and wherever situated including all proceeds thereof (the “**Property**”), which charge shall not exceed an aggregate amount of \$700,000, as security for the indemnity provided in paragraph 6 of this Order. The D& O Charge shall have the priority set out in paragraphs 13 and 14 herein.

8. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, notwithstanding any language in any applicable insurance policy to the contrary, (a) no insurer shall be entitled to be subrogated to or claim the benefit of the D&O Charge, and (b) the Directors and Officers shall only be entitled to the benefit of the D&O Charge to the extent that they do not have coverage under any directors’ and officers’ insurance policy, or to the extent that such coverage is insufficient to pay amounts indemnified in accordance with paragraph 6 of this Order.

APPROVAL OF THE KERA AND KERA CHARGE

9. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the KERA attached as Confidential Appendix “2” to the First Report is hereby approved and the Applicants are authorized and directed to make the payments contemplated thereunder in accordance with the terms and conditions of the KERA.

10. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the employees who are the beneficiaries of the KERA (the “**KERA Beneficiaries**”) shall be entitled to the benefit of and are hereby granted a charge (the “**KERA Charge**”) on the Property as security of all amounts now or hereafter owing under the

KERA to the KERA Beneficiaries, before and after the making of this Order. The KERA Charge shall have the priority set out in paragraphs 13 and 14 herein.

APPROVAL OF THE ADMINISTRATION CHARGE

11. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Proposal Trustee, Aird & Berlis LLP as counsel for the Proposal Trustee (the "**Proposal Trustee's Counsel**") and Stikeman Elliott LLP as counsel to the Applicants in connection with these proceedings (the "**Company's Counsel**") shall be paid their reasonable fees and disbursements, in each case at their standard rates and charges, by the Applicants as part of the costs of these proceedings. The Applicants are hereby authorized and directed to pay the accounts of the Proposal Trustee, the Proposal Trustee's Counsel and the Company's Counsel (for work performed in connection with these BIA proceedings) on a weekly basis.

12. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Proposal Trustee, the Proposal Trustee's Counsel and the Company's Counsel shall be entitled to the benefit of and are hereby granted a charge (the "**Administration Charge**") on the Property, which Administration Charge shall not exceed an aggregate amount of \$750,000, as security for their professional fees and disbursements incurred at their standard rates and charges, both before and after the making of this Order in respect of these proceedings. The Administration Charge shall have the priority set out in paragraphs 13 and 14 herein.

PRIORITY OF CHARGES

13. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the priorities of the D&O Charge, the KERA Charge and the Administration Charge (together, the "**Charges**"), as among them, be as follows:

First - the Administration Charge (to the maximum amount of \$750,000);

Second - the D&O Charge (to the maximum amount of \$700,000); and

Third - the KERA Charge.

14. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that each of the Charges shall constitute a charge on the Property and such Charges shall rank in priority to all other security interests, trusts (including constructive trusts), liens, charges and encumbrances, claims of secured creditors, statutory or otherwise (collectively, the “**Encumbrances**”) in favour of any individual, firm, corporation, governmental body or agency or any other entity (each of the foregoing being a “**Person**”), other than any secured creditors who have not been served with the Applicants’ Motion Record dated April 6, 2018, perfected purchase money security interest under the Ontario Personal Property Registry or such other applicable provincial legislation unless otherwise provided herein.

15. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the filing, registration or perfection of the Charges shall not be required, and that the Charges shall be valid and enforceable for all purposes, including as against any right, title or interest filed, registered, recorded or perfected subsequent to the Charges coming into existence, notwithstanding any such failure to file, register, record or perfect.

16. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that except as otherwise expressly provided for herein, or as may be approved by this Court, the Applicants shall not grant any Encumbrances over any Property that rank in priority to, or *pari passu* with, any of the Charges unless the Applicants also obtain the prior written consent of the Proposal Trustee and the other beneficiaries of the Charges, or further Order of this Court.

17. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Charges shall not be rendered invalid or unenforceable and the rights and remedies of the chargees entitled to the benefit of the Charges (collectively, the “**Chargees**”) thereunder shall not otherwise be limited or impaired in any way by (a) the pendency of these proceedings and the declarations of insolvency made herein; (b) any application(s) for bankruptcy order(s) issued pursuant to the BIA, or any bankruptcy order made pursuant to such applications; (c) the filing of any assignments for the general benefit of creditors made pursuant to the BIA; (d) the provisions of any federal or provincial statutes; or (e) any negative covenants, prohibitions or other similar provisions with respect to borrowings, incurring debt or the creation of Encumbrances, contained in any existing loan documents,

lease, sublease, offer to lease or other agreement (collectively, an “**Agreement**”) which binds the Applicants, and notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in any Agreement:

- (a) the creation of the Charges shall not create or be deemed to constitute a breach by the Applicants of any Agreement to which it is a party;
- (b) none of the Chargees shall have any liability to any Person whatsoever as a result of any breach of any Agreement caused by the creation of the Charges; and
- (c) the granting of the Charges, do not and will not constitute preferences, fraudulent conveyances, transfers at undervalue, oppressive conduct, or other challengeable or voidable transactions under any applicable law.

18. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any Charge created by this Order over leases of real property in Canada shall only be a Charge in the Applicants’ interest in such real property leases.

SEALING

19. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that Confidential Appendix “1” and Confidential Appendix “2” to the First Report are hereby sealed and shall not form part of the public record pending further order of the Court.

STAY EXTENSION

20. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Proposal Period is hereby extended in accordance with subsection 50.4(9) of the BIA, to and including June 20, 2018.

SERVICE AND NOTICE

21. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the E-Service Protocol of the Commercial List (the “**Protocol**”) is approved and adopted by reference herein and, in this proceeding, the service of documents made in accordance with the Protocol (which can be found on the Commercial List website at <http://www.ontariocourts.ca/scj/practice/practice-directions/toronto/eservice->

commercial/) shall be valid and effective service. Subject to Rule 17.05 of the Rules of Civil Procedure (Ontario) (the “**Rules**”), this Order shall constitute an order for substituted service pursuant to Rule 16.04 of the Rules. Subject to Rule 3.01(d) of the Rules and paragraph 21 of the Protocol, service of documents in accordance with the Protocol will be effective on transmission. This Court further orders that a Case Website shall be established in accordance with the Protocol with the following URL: <http://www.richter.ca/Folder/Insolvency-Cases/N/Nine-West-Canada-LP>.

22. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that if the service or distribution of documents in accordance with the Protocol is not practicable, the Applicant and the Proposal Trustee are at liberty to serve or distribute this Order, any other materials and orders in these proceedings and any notices or other correspondence, by forwarding true copies thereof by prepaid ordinary mail, courier, personal delivery or facsimile transmission to the Applicants’ creditors or other interested parties at their respective addresses as last shown on the records of the Applicants and that any such service or distribution by courier, personal delivery or facsimile transmission shall be deemed to be received on the next business day following the date of forwarding thereof, or if sent by ordinary mail, on the third business day after mailing.

23. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Applicants, the Proposal Trustee and their counsel are at liberty to serve or distribute this Order, any other materials and orders as may be reasonably required in these proceedings, including any notices, or other correspondence, by forwarding true copies thereof by electronic message to the Applicants’ creditors or other interested parties and their advisors. For greater certainty, any such distribution or service shall be deemed to be in satisfaction of a legal or juridical obligation, and notice requirements within the meaning of clause 3(c) of the *Electronic Commerce Protection Regulations*, Reg. 81000-2-175 (SOR/DORS).

24. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Proposal Trustee continues to be and is hereby authorized to take all steps required to fulfill its duties under the BIA or as an officer of the Court, including, without limitation, to:

- (a) monitor the Applicants’ receipts and disbursements;

- (b) report to this Court at such times and intervals as the Proposal Trustee may deem appropriate with respect to matters relating to the Property, and such other matters as may be relevant to the proceedings herein;
- (c) assist the Applicants in their preparation of the Applicants' cash flow statements, which information shall be reviewed with the Proposal Trustee;
- (d) assist the Applicants in their development of a proposal to their creditors and any amendments to such proposal;
- (e) assist the Applicants, to the extent required by the Applicants, with the holding and administering of creditors' or shareholders' meetings for voting on a proposal;
- (f) have full and complete access to the Property, including the premises, books, records, data, including data in electronic form, and other financial documents of the Applicants, to the extent that is necessary to adequately assess the Applicants' business and financial affairs or to perform its duties arising under the BIA or this Order;
- (g) be at liberty to engage such Persons as the Proposal Trustee deems necessary or advisable respecting the exercise of its powers and performance of its obligations under the BIA or this Order; and
- (h) perform such other duties as are required by the BIA, this Order or by this Court from time to time.

25. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Proposal Trustee shall not take possession of the Property and shall take no part whatsoever in the management or supervision of the management of the Applicants' business and shall not, by fulfilling its obligations hereunder, be deemed to have taken or maintained possession or control of the Applicants' business or the Property, or any part thereof.

26. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, in addition to the rights and protections afforded to the Proposal Trustee under the BIA or as an officer of this Court, the Proposal Trustee shall incur no

liability or obligation as a result of its appointment or the carrying out of the provisions of this Order, save and except for any gross negligence or wilful misconduct on its part. Nothing in this Order shall derogate from the protections afforded to the Proposal Trustee under the BIA or any applicable legislation.

GENERAL

27. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that this Order shall have full force and effect in all provinces and territories in Canada.

28. **THIS COURT HEREBY REQUESTS** the aid and recognition of any Court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative bodies, having jurisdiction in Canada or in the United States of America, to give effect to this Order and to assist the Applicants, the Proposal Trustee and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order. All courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are hereby respectfully requested to make such orders and to provide such assistance to the Applicants and to the Proposal Trustee, as an officer of this Court, as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this Order, to grant representative status to the Proposal Trustee in any foreign proceeding, or to assist the Applicants and the Proposal Trustee and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order.

29. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that any interested party (including the Applicants and the Proposal Trustee) may apply to this Court to vary or amend this Order on not less than seven (7) days' notice to any other party or parties likely to be affected by the order sought or upon such other notice, if any, as this Court may order.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Hainey J.", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is written in black ink and is positioned in the lower right quadrant of the page.

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)
Proceeding commenced at Toronto

ADMINISTRATION ORDER

STIKEMAN ELLIOTT LLP
Barristers & Solicitors
5300 Commerce Court West
199 Bay Street
Toronto, Canada M5L 1B9

Elizabeth Pillon LSUC#: 35638M
Tel: (416) 869-5236

Maria Konyukhova LSUC #: 52880V
Tel: (416) 869-5230

Sanja Sopic LSUC#: 66487P
Tel: (416) 869-6825

Patrick Corney LSUC#: 65462N
Tel: (416) 869-5668
Fax: (416) 947-0866

Lawyers for the Applicants