CANADA

PROVINCE OF OUÉBEC DISTRICT OF MONTRÉAL

No.: 500-11-033234-085

SUPERIOR COURT

(Commercial Division)

(Sitting as a court designated pursuant to the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36)

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF:

SHERMAG INC.

and

JAYMAR FURNITURE CORP.

and

SCIERIE MONTAUBAN INC.

and

MÉGABOIS (1989) INC.

and

SHERMAG CORPORATION

and

JAYMAR SALES CORPORATION

Petitioners

and

RSM RICHTER INC.

Monitor

MOTION FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING A CALL FOR TENDERS PROCESS (Sections 9 and 11 of the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C. (1985), c. C-36)

TO ONE OF THE HONOURABLE JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR COURT SITTING IN COMMERCIAL DIVISION IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTRÉAL, THE PETITIONERS RESPECTFULLY SUBMIT:

1. INTRODUCTION

1. By the present Motion for an Order Authorizing a Call for Tenders Process (the "Motion"), the Petitioners hereby seek the approval of this Court of the call for tenders process more fully described below (the "Tender Process").

2. THE PROCEEDINGS

- On May 5, 2008, an Initial Order was rendered by this Court pursuant to the Companies'
 Creditors Arrangement Act in relation to the Petitioners (the "Initial Order"), the whole
 as it appears from the Court record.
- 3. On March 2, 2009, Shermag Inc. ("Shermag") filed a Motion for an Order Authorizing the Reorganization of its Share Capital (the "Reorganization Motion") pursuant to which Shermag sought, *inter alia*, an order (i) authorizing the cancellation of all of its outstanding shares, (ii) authorizing the issuance of new shares and (iii) granting various ancillary reliefs, the whole as it appears from the Court record.
- 4. The granting of the Reorganization Motion was then necessary to the presentation to the Petitioners' creditors of the Plan of Arrangement the Petitioners had in mind.
- 5. On March 26, 2009, this Court dismissed the Reorganization Motion.
- On April 3, 2009, this Court extended the Stay Termination Date of the Stay Period (as defined in the Initial Order) to July 13, 2009, the whole as more fully appears from the Court Record.

3. THE TENDER PROCESS

7. Pursuant to the judgment dismissing the Reorganization Motion, the Petitioners with the help of the Monitor and the Petitioners' secured creditor, namely Geosam Investments Limited ("Geosam") analyzed the various options available to them which would (i) allow the continuation of their business and (ii) maximize the return to all stakeholders.

A2 - 3 3%

Mtl#: 1693962.3

- 8. The Petitioners, after discussion with Geosam, concluded that the Tender Process should be launched. Through the Tender Process, the Petitioners intend to solicit offers from a wide variety of entities which would be interested in purchasing the assets belonging to the Petitioners. The Tender Process will divide the sale of the Petitioners' property into three (3) distinct categories:
 - (i) the non-core assets of the Petitioners (the "Non-Core Assets"), which include eleven (11) land and facility lots, finished inventory, raw material, work in progress and machinery and equipment. Pursuant to the Tender Process, the Non-Core Assets will be divided in lots;
 - (ii) the sale of Jaymar Furniture Corp. ("Jaymar") which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Shermag. The Tender Process will provide that Jaymar can be acquired through an asset or share deal and will allow the sale of Jaymar "as a going concern"; and
 - (iii) the sale of Shermag's core business of importing and distributing furniture products (the "Shermag Business"). The Tender Process will allow the sale of the Shermag Business "as a going concern" through an asset deal.
- 9. In relation with the Tender Process, the Petitioners with the help of the Monitor and Geosam have prepared a confidentiality agreement, a press release and confidential information memorandums. Copies of said document will be filed *en liasse* and under seal at the hearing of the Motion as Exhibit R-1.
- 10. The Petitioners wish to ensure that the Tender Process (i) is fair and equitable to all the potential offerors, (ii) provides for a clear and final deadline known to all offerors and (iii) allows a closing for the sale of the Non-Core Assets, Jaymar and the Shermag Business in the best delays. Hence, the Petitioners are seeking an order of this Court approving:
 - a timetable outlining the various milestones which are necessary to complete the sale of the Non-Core Assets, Jaymar and the Shermag Business in the best delays in all fairness to the parties involved;

- (ii) the deadlines attributed to said milestones; and
- (iii) a list of terms and conditions which shall be included in all offers.
- 11. The Petitioners and the Monitor believe that that the following timetable is fair and reasonable for all parties and request the approval of same by this Court:

Steps	<u>Description</u>	Deadline
1.	Execution of the confidentiality and the non-disclosure agreement by the interested parties	June 26, 2009
2.	End of the due diligence period and access to the virtual data room	July 10, 2009
3.	Filing of the offers	July 10, 2009
4.	Opening of the offers	July 10, 2009
5.	Clarification and improvement of the offers, if needed	July 17, 2009
6.	Approbation of the offers by the Petitioners	July 17, 2009
7.	Approval by the Court of the various offers accepted	July 27, 2009

- 12. The Petitioners and the Monitor request that all the offers shall contain the following terms and conditions:
 - (i) an attestation from a Canadian financial institution confirming the financial capacity of the offeror to satisfy all the financial obligations arising from its offer.

 If the financing is provided by a third party or a financial institution, a copy of the offer to finance must be joined to the offer;
 - (ii) a deposit of ten percent (10%) of the purchase price offered will need to be remitted to the Monitor, who will keep such deposit in its trust account;
 - (iii) a provision that the offeror will purchase the assets (or possibly the shares in the case of Jaymar Inc.) on an "as is where is basis";
 - (iv) a provision that the offeror will purchase without representations and warranties, save that the relevant Petitioners are the owner of the purchased property;

- (v) the lots of the Non-Core Assets, Jaymar and the Shermag Business can only be purchased on an "en bloc" basis;
- (vi) the offer shall not be subject to any financing condition; and
- (vii) an undertaking to respect the timetable described above.
- 13. The Petitioners and the Monitor believe that the Tender Process currently provides the best opportunity to (i) maximize the value of the property which belongs to the Petitioners and (ii) allow Shermag and Jaymar to pursue their operations. However, the Petitioners and the Monitor will continue to canvas, analyze and entertain any scenario and option available which could or would be more profitable than the Tender Process.
- 14. Geosam is aware of the Tender Process and supports it.
- 15. The Tender Process is also supported by the Monitor.
- 16. The Petitioners respectfully submit that the notices given of the presentation of the Motion are proper and sufficient.
- 17. The Motion is well founded both in fact and in law.

WHEREFORE, MAY IT PLEASE THIS COURT TO:

- [1] GRANT the present Motion for an Order Authorizing a Call For Tenders Process (the "Motion");
- [2] **DECLARE** that notices given of the presentation of the Motion are proper and sufficient;
- [3] APPROVE the following timetable with the steps described therein and the deadlines provided for the fulfillment of such steps, the whole subject to modifications authorize by the Monitor RSM Richter Inc.:

<u>Steps</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Deadline</u>
8.	Execution of the confidentiality and the non-disclosure agreement by the interested parties	June 26, 2009
9.	End of the due diligence period and access to the virtual data room	July 10, 2009
10.	Filing of the offers	July 10, 2009
11.	Opening of the offers	July 10, 2009
12.	Clarification and improvement of the offers, if needed	July 17, 2009
13.	Approbation of the offers by the Petitioners	July 17, 2009
14.	Approval by the Court of the various offers accepted	July 27, 2009

- [4] ORDER that any offer which the Petitions will receive pursuant to this Order shall include the following terms and conditions:
 - (i) an attestation from a Canadian financial institution confirming the financial capacity of the offeror to satisfy all the financial obligations arising from its offer. If the financing is provided by a third party or a financial institution, a copy of the offer to finance must be joined to the offer;
 - (ii) a deposit of ten percent (10%) of the purchase price offered will need to be remitted to the Monitor, who will keep such deposit in its trust account;
 - (iii) a provision that the offeror will purchase the assets (or possibly the shares in the case of Jaymar Inc.) on an "as is where is basis";
 - (iv) a provision that the offeror will purchase without representations and warranties, save that the relevant Petitioners are the owner of the purchased property;
 - (v) the lots of the Non-Core Assets, Jaymar and the Shermag Business can only be purchased on an "en bloc" basis;
 - (vi) the offer shall not be subject to any financing condition; and

- (vii) an undertaking to respect the timetable described above.
- [5] ALLOW the Petitioners and the Monitor RSM Richter Inc. to seize this Court of any difficulty which might arise during the sale process that the Petitioners and the Monitor will undertake pursuant to this Order;
- [6] APPROVE the confidentiality agreement, the press release and the confidential information memorandums filed *en liasse* as Exhibit R-1, subject to any amendments approved by the Monitor RSM Richter Inc.;
- [7] **ORDER** the sealing of the confidentiality agreement, the press release and the confidential information memorandums filed *en liasse* as Exhibit R-1:
- [8] **DECLARE** that unless required by any purchaser, no other Court approval should be required to sell and dispose of the Non-Core Assets, Jaymar or the Shermag Business as defined at paragraph 8 of the Motion;
- [8] ORDER the provisional execution of this Order notwithstanding any appeal and without the necessity of furnishing any security;

THE WHOLE without costs, except if contested, and then with costs against each opposing party.

MONTRÉAL, June 2, 2009

DAVIES WARD PHILLIPS & VINEBERG LLP
Attorneys for Petitioners Shermag Inc., Jaymar
Furniture Corp., Scierie Montauban Inc., Mégabois
(1989) Inc., Shermag Corporation and Jaymar Sales

Corporation

JUN-02-2009 16:18

From:514 841 6499

NOTICE OF PRESENTATION

TO: Me Martin Desrosiers

Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP

1000 De La Gauchetière Street West, Suite 2100

Montréal (Québec) Canada H3B 4W5

Attorneys for Geosam Investments Limited

AND TO: Me Louis Gouin

Ogilvy Renault LLP

1981 McGill College Avenue, Suite 1100 Montréal (Québec) Canada H3A 3C1

Attorneys for the Monitor

AND TO: Mr. Yves Vincent, CA

RSM Richter Inc.

2 Place Alexis Nihon, Suite 2200 Montréal, (Québec) Canada H3Z 3C2

Monitor

AND TO: Me Claude Gravel

Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP

1, Place Ville Marie

Montréal (Québec) Canada H3B 3P4

Attorneys for Godbout, Plante associés enr.

AND TO: Me François Viau

Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP

1. Place Ville Marie

Montréal (Québec) Canada H3B 3P4

Attorneys for Industries Wajax

AND TO: Me Annie Claude Beauchemin

BCF LLP

1100 René-Lévesque Blvd. West, 25th Floor

Montréal (Québec) Canada H3B 5C9

Attorneys for Hachette Filipacchi Media U.S. Inc.

- 2 -

AND TO: Me Marc-André Blain / Me Jonathan Brochu
Marchand Melançon Forget, LLP
1 Place Ville-Marie
Suite 1900
Montréal QC H3B 2C3

Attorneys for Bermex Group Inc.

TAKE NOTICE that the present "Motion for an Order Authorizing a Call For Tenders Process" will be presented for adjudication before one of the judges of the Superior Court, sitting in the Commercial Division, in and for the judicial district of Montréal, on June 4, 2009 at the Montréal Courthouse, located at 1 Notre-Dame Street East, in the City of Montréal, Province of Québec, at a time and in a room to be determined.

DO GOVERN YOURSELVES ACCORDING.

MONTRÉAL, June 2, 2009

DAVIES WARD PHILLIPS & VINEBERG LDP

Attorneys for Petitioners Shexmag Inc., Jaymar Furniture Corp., Scierie Montauban Inc., Mégabois (1989) Inc., Shermag Corporation and Jaymar Sales Corporation

CANADA

PROVINCE OF QUÉBEC DISTRICT OF MONTRÉAL

No.: 500-11-033234-085

SUPERIOR COURT

(Commercial Division)

(Sitting as a court designated pursuant to the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36)

IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF:

SHERMAG INC.

and

JAYMAR FURNITURE CORP.

and

SCIERIE MONTAUBAN INC.

and

MÉGABOIS (1989) INC.

and

SHERMAG CORPORATION

and

JAYMAR SALES CORPORATION

Petitioners

and

RSM RICHTER INC.

Monitor

LIST OF EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING A CALL FOR TENDERS PROCESS (Sections 9 and 11 of the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C. (1985), c. C-36)

Exhibit R-1: Copy of a confidentiality agreement, a press release and confidential information memorandums, en liasse.

MONTRÉAL, June 2, 2009

DAVIES WARD PHILLIPS & VINEBERG LLP

Attorneys for Petitioners Shermag Inc., Jaymar Furniture Corp., Scierie Montauban Inc., Mégabois (1989) Inc., Shermag Corporation and Jaymar Sales

Corporation

No. 500-11-033234-085

~ \Box ERIOR CO (Commercial Division) District of Montréal ۵. Þ Ś

COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF: IN THE MATTER OF THE PLAN OF

SHERMAG INC. ET ALS.

Petitioners

and

RSM RICHTER INC.

Monitor

MOTION FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE SALE OF A REAL PROPERTY AND RELATED

(Sections 9 and 11of the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act, R.S.C. (1985), c. C-36) VESTING ORDER

λ(u); ٠.

ORIGINAL



Me Christian Lachance Attorneys for Petitioners Per: Me Denis Ferland Dir 514 841 6423 O/F 223966 DAVIES WARD PHILLIPS & VINEBERG 11.P

1501 McGill College Avenue 26° Floor Montréal Canada H3A 3N9