

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

Judges' Administration Court House 361 University Avenue, Room 170 TORONTO, ONTARIO M5G 1T3 Tel: (416-327-5284 Fax: (416) 327-5417

FAX / MAIL COVER SHEET

Date: October 15, 2013

| To | Fax No. |
|--------------|--------------|
| Grant Moffat | 416-304-1313 |
| | |
| | |

From:

Aggie Gomez

Secretary to C. Campbell J.

Total No. of Pages (incl. cover page):

Message:

ONTARIO SECURITIES COMMISSION

V.

NORSHIELD ASSET MANAGEMENT, et al

COURT FILE NO.: CV-05-CL-5965

— → Mr. Moffat:

The Endorsement on this matter is attached.

Justice Campbell requests that you kindly distribute to all counsel involved.

Thank you.

The information contained in this facsimile message is confidential information. If the person actually receiving this facsimile or any other reader of the facsimile is not the named recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address.

CITATION: Ontario Securities Commission v. Norshield Asset Management, 2013 ONSC 6223

COURT FILE NO.: CV-05-CL-5965

DATE: 20131015

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE - ONTARIO

RE:

ONTARIO SECURITIES COMMISSION, Plaintiffs

AND:

NORSHIELD ASSET MANAGEMENT et al, Defendants

BEFORE: Colin Campbell J.

ENDORSEMENT

- [1] The management of this proceeding in this Court is almost complete.
- [2] Recently an order was granted that approved the activities of the Receiver, its counsel and others associated with the efforts to recover investor funds.
- [3] The amount of the fees were substantial and were approved without opposition from any party entitled to notice.
- [4] Unfortunately for the investors recovery for them return on investment was very modest, however it was greater than was anticipated shortly after the Receivership commenced. The Court was concerned from the commencement about the fees and costs that were to be incurred in this very complex matter when recovery was likely to be slight.
- [5] Since fraudulent schemes are each different but continue with regularity notwithstanding all of the warnings I directed counsel to prepare a Summary of Receivership Proceedings which now forms part of this endorsement.
- [6] As will be seen from the Summary the entire process was complex and time consuming.
- [7] I am satisfied that at each step which was approved by the Court in advance, the effort by the Receiver and those associated, did with diligence and appropriate steps make the expedition possible and attempt to uncover or recover assets and provide a rationale for what had happened to investors. Not only did the efforts undertaken by competent and efficient professionals to the extent possible maximize the recovery (albeit modest) but did explain why the time and costs was necessary.
- [8] This story is a sad one of investors who were persuaded to part with hard earned money with the prospect of handsome return.

[9] The efforts of the professionals in the attached story establishes to my satisfaction that reasonable efforts were made by competent professionals both to recover the assets and uncover the story.

C. Campbell J.

Date: October 15, 2013